



**Special Council Meeting August 25, 2015 – 9:00 a.m.
The Kingston Frontenac Rotary Auditorium,
2069 Battersea Road, Glenburnie, ON**

AGENDA

Page

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Adoption of Agenda**
- 3. Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and General Nature Thereof**
- 4. Deputations and/or Presentations**
- 5. Recommend Reports from the Chief Administrative Officer**
 - a) **2015-078**
Planning and Economic Development
Response to Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing comments
on the County of Frontenac Official Plan
- 6. Communications**
 - a) From Weston Consulting regarding the MMAH Draft Decision of the County of Frontenac Official Plan
- 7. Public Question Period**
- 8. Closed Session**
 - a) **Resolved That** Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole closed meeting as authorized under Section 239 of The Municipal Act, to consider:
 1. Advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose and Deployment Options with respect to the Wolfe Island volunteers.

9. By-Laws – General By-laws and Confirmatory By-law

a) First and Second Reading

Resolved That leave be given the mover to introduce by-law a) that has been circulated to all Members of County Council and that by-law a) be read a first and second time.

b) Third Reading

Resolved That by-law a) be read a third time, signed, sealed and finally passed.

By-laws

137 - 138

To Confirm the Proceedings of Council

10. Adjournment



Report 2015-078

Recommend Report

To: Warden and Council Members of the County of Frontenac
From: Kelly J. Pender, Chief Administrative Officer
Prepared by: Joe Gallivan, Director of Planning & Economic Development
Date of meeting: August 25, 2015

**Re: Planning & Economic Development – County Official Plan – Review of
Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing ‘Draft Decision’**

Recommendation

Resolved That the Council of the County of Frontenac receive the Planning and Economic Development – County Official Plan – Response to Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing comments on the County of Frontenac Official Plan;

And Further That Council endorse the changes recommended by staff based on Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing comments noted as Appendix 2 to this report.

Background

County Council endorsed the initiation of a County Official Plan process almost four years ago. At the meeting held on December 14, 2011 the following resolution was passed:

Resolved That the Council of the County of Frontenac receive this Sustainability – County Official Plan report;

And Further That Council endorse the initiation of the development of a County Official Plan with the goal to having a draft plan to be considered by Council for adoption within its current term;

And Further That Council direct staff to prepare a detailed work plan and consultation program to be presented to Council in early 2012;

And Further That a County Official Plan be prepared under the following parameters:

- (1) **That** the Official Plan be prepared as a 'high level' planning document using a regional planning perspective, recognizing that detailed planning policies are now in place for the four Townships' Official Plans;
- (2) **That** the Official Plan use a systems-based approach and act as a guide for dealing with cross-boundary and cross-jurisdictional planning issues;
- (3) **Ensure That** the Official Plan is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement while offering a solutions-oriented planning approach to deal with land use planning issues that are common to the Frontenacs; and
- (4) **That** community consultation regarding the vision and content of the Official Plan be extensive.

After three different drafts of the Official Plan that were developed through extensive consultation with citizens, the member municipalities, and other government agencies, County Council adopted the plan on October 29, 2014. Following adoption, the plan was sent to MMAH for approval.

Comment

MMAH Draft Decision

It is standard practice for MMAH to send a 'Draft Decision' of approval to a respective Council for their review and endorsement prior to issuing final approval of an Official Plan. MMAH requests a formal resolution from Council that can provide the Ministry with an understanding of what proposed changes will be accepted, and what changes to the plan that are not acceptable.

A copy of the 'Draft Decision' from MMAH dated August 21, 2015 is attached as Appendix "1".

A detailed review of the changes that have been made to the draft Plan, section by section, are attached as Appendix "2" with County planning staff response and recommendations to each proposed modification.

A copy of the adopted Frontenac County Official Plan is attached as Appendix "3". The adopted plan has been highlighted to identify sections of the plan that have been changed based on proposed changes from MMAH.

Staff Review of Draft Decision

The recommended County response to the Ministry's Decision is based on two consistent themes that have led the development of the County Official Plan:

- (1) the direction from Council to create a high level plan that focusses on cross-boundary and regional issues; and
- (2) to create a strategic document that serves as a bridge between the Provincial Policy Statement (PPA) and local Official Plans (see 'Strategic Planning' chart on next page).

The result is that the adopted County Official Plan is not a standard template that other Counties have developed. It includes few verbatim PPS policies that are found in other

Official Plans that are approved by the Ministry. It is the opinion of staff that such an approach would deflect from the focus of the regional plan, and these policies are more suited for the detailed local Official Plans. Furthermore, any planning decision by County Council must not only be consistent with the new Official Plan, but it also is required under the *Planning Act* to be consistent with the PPS.



Summary and Conclusions

It is the opinion of planning staff that the County Official Plan adopted by Council on October 29th, 2014, meets the balance of establishing a vision for a regional plan for the Frontenacs and at the same time being consistent with the 2014 Provincial Policy Statement. The draft Plan as revised provides a clear understanding of how County Council will be involved in regional planning issues to help with the stability and growth of the Frontenacs, and in association with the PPS will guide the development of more detailed land use policies for the Township Official Plans.

Some of the proposed changes to the plan as expressed by MMAH are positive and provide clarification and a better 'fit' between the County Plan and relevant PPS policies. There are other policy sections, however – such as Growth Management, Servicing, and Natural Heritage – where the changes to the Plan would detract from the land use planning priorities for the Frontenacs.

Next Steps

Once Council has passed a resolution regarding the MMAH Draft Decision, this resolution will be formally be provided to the Ministry for review prior to their final approval.

Once the approval is issued, Council will have up to twenty (20) days from the date of the decision for file an appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board on any of the Ministry changes. A resolution from Council will be required for an appeal, so a special meeting of Council may be necessary.

Sustainability Implications

This project is specifically highlighted in the County's Sustainability Plan. It touches on all four pillars of the plan: social, cultural, economic, and environmental.

The adoption of the County Official Plan should be considered as one of the cornerstone efforts in the implementation of *Directions for Our Future*. It will serve as the County's land use planning document that can be used to implement a number of regional studies such as the Municipal Housing Strategy, the Natural Heritage Study, Community Improvement Planning, Population Projections, the Seniors Housing initiative, and a number of economic development programs. Also, it will promote local decision-making and '*Made in the Frontenacs*' solutions as County Council will become the approval authority for local Official Plan Amendments and five year updates to Official Plans.

From a broader perspective, the County Official Plan will serve as a regional plan to help deal with some of the issues affecting the Frontenacs over the next twenty-five years.

Financial Implications

Not applicable at this stage of the planning process.

Organizations, Departments and Individuals Consulted and/or Affected

All Four Townships
Ministry of Municipal Affairs & Housing

Attachments

Appendix 1 – Draft Decision' from MMAH dated August 21, 2015
Appendix 2 – Review of the changes with staff response and recommendations
Appendix 3 – Frontenac County Official Plan showing proposed changes from MMAH.

Appendix 1

**Ministry of
Municipal Affairs
And Housing****Ministère des
Affaires municipales
et du Logement**

Municipal Services Office	Bureau des services aux municipalités
Eastern Region	Région de l'Est
8 Estate Lane	8 chemin Estate
Rockwood House	Maison Rockwood
Kingston ON K7M 9A8	Kingston ON K7M 9A8
Phone: (613) 545-2100	Téléphone: (613) 545-2100
Fax: (613) 548-6822	Télécopieur: (613) 548-6822
Toll Free: 1-800-267-9438	Sans frais: 1-800-267-9438

August 21, 2015

Mr. Joe Gallivan, MCIP, RPP
Manager of Sustainability Planning
County of Frontenac
2069 Battersea Road
Glenburnie, ON
K0H 1S0

Re: Official Plan – Frontenac County
File: 14-OP-147822

Dear Mr. Gallivan,

We have completed our review of the adopted County Official Plan. We would like to thank you for providing us with the opportunity to work collaboratively with you through consultation and meetings to work toward a plan that meets the needs of the County and which reflects provincial interest as expressed in the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2014.

Please find attached a copy of the Ministry's Draft Decision which outlines proposed modifications to the Official Plan. The purpose of the modifications is to ensure the Official Plan adequately reflects the policy contained in the PPS. You will also find attached a table which provides a brief explanation pertaining to each modification to support the decision.

At this time, we request that this draft decision be presented to Council and that a Council Resolution in support of the changes to the adopted Official Plan be provided prior to the Ministry's issuance of a final Decision.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 613-545-2121 or by email at damien.schaefer@ontario.ca.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. Schaefer".

Damien Schaefer, RPP, MCIP
Planner –Municipal Services Office-Eastern

cc: Kelly Pender, Chief Administrative Officer

Rationale for Modification

Modification	Note
1	This modification is to provide the correct Ministry names throughout the Plan
2	This modification is to clarify that in the future the County may take an enhanced regional role in matters that concern the County as a whole.
3	Modification three provides clarification and policy direction regarding Prime Agricultural Areas in order to ensure consistency with Section 2.3 of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2014.
4	This modification is to provide clarity regarding mineral aggregate development in the County.
5	This modification ensures that aggregate resources are appropriately managed at the County level and ensures consistency with Section 2.5 of PPS.
6	Modification six ensures that the County appropriately allocates growth as an upper tier planning authority.
7	This modification is to ensure the County appropriately manages growth throughout the Townships in accordance with policy 1.2.4 of the PPS.
8	This modification is to ensure consistency with the settlement area expansion policies of the PPS.
9 & 10	This modification is to provide clarity regarding the permitted uses in Rural Areas.
11	This modification is to provide clarity regarding where the Waterfront policies shall apply.
12	This modification is to ensure consistency with Section 1.6 of the PPS.
13	This modification is to recognize the Private Roads Study that the County is currently undertaking.
14	This modification is to ensure consistency with the servicing policies of Section 1.6 of the PPS.
15	This modification provides clarification regarding the design of waste management systems.
16	This modification ensures consistency with the affordable housing requirements of the PPS.
17	This modification is to ensure consistency with the Strong Communities Through Affordable Housing Act, 2011
18, 19 & 20	These modifications are to ensure consistency with the Cultural Heritage and Archaeology policies of the PPS.
21	This modification is to ensure consistency with PPS policy 1.1.5.8
22-26	These modifications are to ensure consistency with the Natural Heritage policies of the PPS.
27	This modification is for the purposes of clarity regarding where the PPS applies.
28	This modification is to ensure the alignment of the relevant Source Protection Plan with the County Official Plan.
29	This modification is to ensure consistency with the natural hazards policies of the PPS.
30	This modification adds "Geotechnical Karst Study" to the list of studies that may be required.
31 & 32	This modification is for the purposes of clarity regarding the identification of particular natural heritage features.

Visit us at www.mah.gov.on.ca/OnRAMP
 Veuillez nous visiter à www.mah.gov.on.ca/OnRAMP

DRAFT DECISION

**With respect to County of Frontenac Official Plan
Subsection 17(34) of the Planning Act**

I hereby approve the County of Frontenac Official Plan as adopted by Council of the County of Frontenac by By-law No. 2014-0047, subject to the following modifications:

1. Ministry Names Throughout the Official Plan are hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting "Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR)" and replacing it with "Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF)".
- b. Deleting "Ministry of the Environment (MOE)" and replacing it with "Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC)".
- c. Deleting "Ministry of Tourism and Culture (MTC)" and replacing it with "Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS)".

2. Section 1.2 – How to Read the Plan is hereby modified by:

- a. Adding the following new paragraph at the end of the section:

"In the future, the County may undertake an enhanced regional role concerning matters which cross municipal boundaries and are considered to be of a County wide and/or Provincial interest. This may include conducting County wide studies on cross-jurisdictional issues and enhanced policy direction on matters such as, but not limited to, mineral aggregate resources, source protection planning and economic development"

3. Section 2.1.1 – Agriculture is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting the word "lands" from policy 2 and replacing it with the word **"areas"**.
- b. Deleting in the first sentence of policy 3 the words "provided they are located in the farm building complex and primarily serve the surrounding rural and agriculture community."
- c. Inserting the following new second sentence in policy 3:

"For these types of uses located in Prime Agricultural Areas designated in the Township Official Plans, the Agriculture – Permitted Uses section of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), shall apply."

- d. Inserting the following new sentence at the end of policy 4:

“The MDS formulae shall apply in both the rural and prime agricultural land use designations in the Township Official Plans, outside of settlement areas”.

- e. Inserting the following new policy 5:

“The Townships shall designate Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)”.

- f. Inserting the following new policy 6:

“The Townships shall permit agricultural uses, agriculture-related uses and on-farm diversified uses in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)”.

- g. Inserting the following new policy 7:

“The Townships may only permit lot creation and lot adjustments in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)”.

- h. Inserting the following new policy 8:

“The Townships may only permit non-agricultural uses in Prime Agricultural Areas in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)”.

- i. Insert the following new policy 9:

“The Townships may only permit extraction in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)”.

4. **Section 2.1.2 Mining and Mineral Aggregate Resources** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the words ***“and aggregate resources”*** to the end of the first sentence.
- b. Inserting into the second sentence the words ***“and mineral aggregate”*** after the word “Mineral”.
- c. Deleting from the second sentence the word “mining”
- d. Inserting into the third sentence the words ***“and mineral aggregate”*** after the word “mineral”.

5. Section 2.1.2.2 Mineral Aggregates is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following new sentence at the end of policy 1:

"These resources shall be mapped on Township Official Plan Schedules."

- b. Inserting a new policy 2 which states:

"2. Recognizing that mineral aggregate resources cross municipal boundaries, the County will undertake a mineral aggregate resources study, in consultation with the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry to identify unconstrained aggregate resources that are a high priority for protection. The findings of this study shall be implemented through Official Plan Amendment. In the interim, the County and the Townships shall use the Township Official Plans and Appendix 1D and 1E to identify aggregate resources."

And renumber subsequent sections accordingly.

- c. Deleting in the first sentence of the current policy 3 the words "and known significant deposits of mineral aggregate resources".

- d. Inserting the following sentences at the end of the current policy 3:

"The Townships shall map the locations of all existing licensed mineral aggregate operations on their official plan land use schedules and zoning by-laws. Existing mineral aggregate operations shall be permitted to continue without the need for an official plan amendment, rezoning or development permit under the Planning Act."

- e. Inserting the following new policy 5:

"5. Within or adjacent to known deposits of mineral aggregate resources, development and activities which may preclude or hinder the establishment of new operations or access to the resources may only be permitted if:

- Resource use would not be feasible; or***
- The proposed land use or development serves a greater long-term public interest; and***
- Issues of public health, public safety and environmental impact are addressed***

The County or the Townships may require the completion of a study by a qualified professional to demonstrate that the proposed use is consistent with the above policies for development proposals. For the purposes of the above policy, adjacent lands shall be those

lands contiguous to lands on the surface of known deposits of mineral aggregate resources where it is likely that development would constrain future access to the resources. The extent of the adjacent lands may be recommended by the Province."

6. **Section 3 – Growth Management** is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the second sentence "sets out expected" and replacing it with "allocates".

7. **Section 3.1 Growth Projections for Frontenac County** is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the second sentence of policy (a) the words "projected to handle" and replacing it with "***allocated***".
- b. Inserting the following new policy (b):

"(b) The remaining growth shall be allocated accordingly: Frontenac Islands (7%), Central Frontenac (16%) and South Frontenac (7%)".

And renumber subsequent sections accordingly.

- c. Deleting from the current policy (b) the words "or provide incentive to focus new development within these settlement areas".
- d. Inserting the following new second sentence into policy (d):

"Demonstration of limited rural residential development in Township Official Plans may include such measures as limits to the number of lots granted through consent and plans of subdivision."

- e. Inserting the following new policy (f):

"(f) Settlement areas are identified in the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2014 as villages and hamlets where development is concentrated and which have a mix of land uses. It is recognized that some of the historical settlement areas identified on Schedule 'A' of this Plan may not meet this definition and are better described as a crossroad. As a result, the County will undertake a settlement area study to examine and rationalize settlement areas identified on Schedule 'A' in order to determine where growth shall be focused over the long term planning horizon".

- f. Inserting the following new Section 3.1.1:

"3.1.1 – Employment

In order to meet the long term needs of the County by providing a mix and range of employment opportunities, the County shall explore ways to enhance the local employment base in strategic

locations such as settlement areas and along major transportation routes.”

- g. Inserting the following new Section 3.1.2:

“3.1.2 – Housing Supply

“In accordance with the growth allocations of this section the Townships shall maintain the 10 year and 3 year residential land supply requirements as set out in the Housing section of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2014.

8. **Section 3.2.2.2 Settlement Area Boundary Expansions** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following new paragraph after policy e.:

“It is not anticipated that any of the settlement areas in the Frontenacs will require expansion in the life of this plan. If a settlement area expansion is being considered, in addition to the aforementioned criteria, the settlement area expansion policies of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), shall also apply.”

9. **Section 3.3.1 Introduction** is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting the first dash in its entirety *“Waterfront Areas as described in Section 3.3.3.4”*
- b. Deleting in the last paragraph the words “rural lands shall permit uses such as.” and replacing it with ***“on rural lands, permitted uses are:”***
- c. Inserting the following new paragraph at the end of the section:

“Development shall proceed in accordance with the policies of Section 4.2 – Servicing, Section 6 – Heritage & Culture and Section 7 – Environmental Sustainability and Section 4.2 - Servicing.”

10. **Section 3.3.3 Policies** is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting the first paragraph of this section.

11. **Section 3.3.3.4.1 Special Policies – Waterfront Areas - Introduction** is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting the word *“generally”* from the last sentence of this section.

12. **Section 4 – Community Building** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following at the end of the introductory section:

“Infrastructure, electricity generation facilities and transmission and distribution systems, and public service facilities shall be provided

in a coordinated, efficient and cost-effective manner that considers impacts from climate change while accommodating projected needs. Planning for infrastructure, electricity generation facilities and transmission and distribution systems, and public service facilities shall be coordinated and integrated with land use planning so that they are:

- *financially viable over their life cycle, which may be demonstrated through asset management planning; and*
- *available to meet current and projected needs.*

Public service facilities should be co-located in community hubs, where appropriate, to promote cost-effectiveness and facilitate service integration, access to transit and active transportation."

13. Section 4.1.1 Roads is hereby modified by:

a) Inserting the following new policy section

"4.1.1.6 Private Roads

The County is undertaking a private roads study in 2015. The results of this work will assist the County and its lower-tiers in developing a measurable and enforceable mechanism aimed at creating reasonable and safe development of private roads."

14. Section 4.2.1.4.5 Long Range Planning for Municipal Services is hereby modified by:

a) Deleting 4.2.1.4.5 in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

"4.2.1.5 – Municipal Water and Wastewater Services

With the exception of the village of Sydenham, not one of the villages and hamlets in Frontenac County has a municipal water supply. Villages such as Sharbot Lake, Marysville, Verona, Plevna, and Harrowsmith are historical settlements that date back to the mid-nineteenth century in development. Many of the building lots in the village cores are too small relative to today's health and safety standards with regard to the minimum lot size of approximately 1 hectare (2 acres) to ensure a long term potable water supply on private well and septic systems. The fact that most of the County is set on the Precambrian Shield, which is prone to cracking and seepage, increases the risk of septage movement over a large area. Scientific research has identified the bacteriological contamination of groundwater as a local concern in parts of the Shield area.

Significant investment in public infrastructure is common in all villages in the Frontenacs including road maintenance, sidewalk construction, street lighting, signage, and parks and recreation facilities. Also, the majority of commercial assessment in the County is located in the

villages. This reinforces the importance of the stability and improvement of all the County's villages which serve as community focal points for the surrounding region.

The following servicing policies shall apply throughout the County:

- 1) Municipal sewage services and municipal water services are the preferred form of servicing for settlement areas.*
- 2) Where municipal sewage services and municipal water services are not provided, Townships may allow the use of private communal sewage services and private communal water services.*
- 3) Partial services shall only be permitted in the following circumstances:*

a) where they are necessary to address failed individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services in existing development; or

b) within settlement areas, to allow for infilling and minor rounding out of existing development on partial services provided that site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision of such services with no negative impacts.

Subject to the hierarchy of services provided in the above policies and Section 4.2.1.6 – Private Services, planning authorities may allow lot creation only if there is confirmation of sufficient reserve sewage system capacity and reserve water system capacity within municipal sewage services and municipal water services or private communal sewage services and private communal water services. The determination of sufficient reserve sewage system capacity shall include treatment capacity for hauled sewage from private communal sewage services and individual on-site sewage services.”

- b) Inserting the following new policy 4.2.1.6 – Private Services :*

“4.2.1.6 - Private Services

- 1) Where municipal sewage services and municipal water services or private communal sewage services and private communal water services are not provided, individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services may be used provided that site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision of such services with no negative impacts. In settlement areas, these services may only be used for infilling and minor rounding out of existing development.*

Negative Impacts shall be defined for the purposes of this section and Section 4.2.1.5 as degradation to the quality and quantity of

water, sensitive surface water features and sensitive groundwater features, and their related hydrologic functions, due to single, multiple or successive development. Negative impacts should be assessed through environmental studies including hydrogeological or water quality impact assessments, in accordance with provincial standards."

15. Section 4.3.4 – Waste Management - Policies is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following new statement at the end of this section:

"Waste management systems shall be located and designed in accordance with provincial legislation and standards."

16. Section 5.2.2 Affordable Housing - Policies is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the first sentence of the second paragraph the words "housing targets for affordable housing" and replacing it with *"an affordable housing target of 35%"*.

17. Section 5.6.2 Secondary Suites – Policies is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the first sentence "may include policies to allow, where appropriate" and replacing it with *"shall permit"*.

18. Section 6 – Heritage and Culture is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the first sentence of the second paragraph "will encourage their conservation" and replacing it with *"shall identify and conserve those resources"*.

19. Section 6.1 – Heritage and Culture – General Policies is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the first paragraph "enhance whenever practical" and replacing it with *"whenever practical, enhanced,"*
- b. Deleting in the second paragraph "are encouraged to" and replacing it with *"shall"*.
- c. Inserting at the end of the last sentence in the second paragraph *"to ensure their cultural heritage value or interest is retained under the Ontario Heritage Act"*.
- d. Inserting the following new section after the fourth paragraph:

"The County and the Townships shall consider the interests of Aboriginal communities in conserving cultural heritage and archaeological resources."

20. Section 6.2 - Archaeological Resources is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting into the second sentence after "significant archaeological resources" ***"including significant marine archaeological resources"***.
- b. Adding the following new paragraphs after the second paragraph:

"Township Official Plans shall require the preparation of archaeological assessments on lands containing archaeological resources or in areas or archaeological potential. Areas of archaeological potential shall be determined through the use of provincial screening criteria, or criteria developed by a licensed consultant archaeologist based on the known archaeological record of the municipality and its surrounding region. Such criteria may include a range of environmental, physiographic and historical features, information from local stakeholders and the effects of past land use."

21. Section 7.1.1 Introduction is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following as a new paragraph following the last paragraph:

"Nothing in Section 7 is intended to limit the ability of the agricultural uses to continue".

22. Section 7.1.4.1 – Wetlands Introduction – Policies is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the first sentence of the third bullet "identify" and replacing it with ***"designate on a land use schedule"***.
- b. Adding to the first sentence of bullet three after the words "wetlands and" the word ***"significant"***.
- c. Adding to the second sentence of the fifth bullet after "in or adjacent to them, and" the following ***"a wetland evaluation prepared by a qualified person in accordance with the Ontario Wetland Evaluation System and submitted to MNRF for approval and/or an"***
- d. Inserting a new bullet 6 which states:

"Development and site alteration shall not be permitted within lands adjacent to provincially significant wetlands or significant coastal wetlands unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on their features or their functions. Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the wetland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the wetland. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective".

- e. Inserting a new bullet 7 which states:

“Development and site alteration in or adjacent to coastal wetlands (other than significant coastal wetlands) shall not be permitted unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on their features or their functions. Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the wetland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the wetland. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective”.

23. Section 7.1.4.2 Significant Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI)

Introduction is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting into the second bullet the words ***“in or adjacent to them”*** after the words “shall be permitted”
- b. Inserting the following new second sentence to the second bullet:

“Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the ANSI or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the ANSI. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective.”

24. Section 7.1.4.3 – Significant Wildlife Habitat - Policies is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following new third sentence to the second bullet:

“Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the habitat or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the habitat. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective.”

25. Section 7.1.4.5 – Endangered and Threatened Species – Policies is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the second bullet of the first sentence, the word “significant”.
- b. Deleting in its entirety the third bullet and replacing it with:

“Information regarding occurrences of endangered and threatened species will be obtained from provincial databases and used, in confidence, for development application screening purposes. The

Municipality recognizes that information regarding the locations of endangered and threatened species and their habitat is incomplete."

c. Inserting the following new third sentence to the fourth bullet:

"Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the habitat of endangered and threatened species or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the habitat. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective."

d. Deleting in the fifth bullet the words "as determined by the Ministry of Natural Resources".

e. Inserting the following new second sentence in the fifth bullet:

"MNRF can be contacted for technical advice."

26. Section 7.1.4.6 – Significant Woodlands – Policies is hereby modified by:

a. Inserting the following new third bullet:

"Township Official Plans within Ecoregion 6E shall identify and protect significant woodlands that meet the following criteria:

- ***South Frontenac Township:** In the portion of South Frontenac Township that is within Ecoregion 6E, woodland cover is approximately 55% of the land area. Therefore, development proposals within or adjacent to woodlands that are:*
 - *50 hectares in size or larger will be required to undertake a site-specific study to confirm whether the woodland meets the significance criteria outlined in the Natural Heritage Reference Manual prior to approving new land use planning applications."*
- ***Frontenac Islands Township:** In Frontenac Islands Township, woodland cover is approximately 18% of the land area. Therefore, development proposals within or adjacent to woodlands that are:*
 - *20 hectares in size or larger will be required to undertake a site-specific study to confirm whether the woodland meets the significance criteria outlined in the Natural Heritage Reference Manual prior to approving new land use planning applications."*

b. Inserting the following new second sentence to the current third bullet:

"Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the significant woodland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the woodland. The extent of the adjacent

lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective”.

27. Section - 7.1.4.12 – Stewardship Planning - Introduction is hereby modified by:

- a. Delete in their entirety the second and third sentences in the first paragraph.

28. Section 7.2.1 – Source Protection Plans is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting the second sentence of the first paragraph and replacing it with the following three sentences:

“The Source Water Protection Plans were developed for each major watershed in the Frontenacs. The Quinte Source Protection Plan and the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plans took effect January 1, 2015 and the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan took effect April 1, 2015. Decisions made under the Planning Act shall conform to the significant threat policies and have regard for other policies.”

- b. Deleting within the third sentence of the first paragraph the words “include relevant Source Protection Plan policies and standards in their” and replace it with ***“amend their”***.

- c. Inserting the following new second bullet into the policies section:

“Prohibit the establishment of new waste disposal sites or wastewater treatment plants in the Sydenham intake protection zones 1 and 2 (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan).”

And renumbering accordingly.

- d. Inserting the following new third bullet into the policies section:

“Require the submission of a notice from the Risk Management Official under Section 59 of the Clean Water Act with all planning applications or proposed land use changes in the Sydenham intake protection zones 1 and 2 (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan).”

And renumbering accordingly.

- e. Delete the current second bullet and replace it with the following:

“Ensure that groundwater and surface water quality and quantity will not be negatively impacted by development in vulnerable areas for the protection of drinking water, including highly vulnerable

aquifers and significant groundwater recharge areas (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan)."

29. Section 7.3.2 Natural Hazard Policies is hereby modified by:

a. Inserting the following introductory statement to this section:

"The County and the Townships shall consider the potential impacts of climate change that may increase the risk associated with natural hazards".

b. Inserting the following at the end of the last bullet in Section 7.3.2.1

"such as unstable soils (sensitive marine clays [leda], organic soils) or unstable bedrock (karst topography)".

c. Inserting the following new section:

"7.3.2.6 Hazardous Forest Types For Wildland Fire

Definition

Hazardous forest types for wildland fire are forest types assessed as being associated with the risk of high to extreme wildland fire using risk assessment tools established by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, as amended from time to time.

Development limitations

Potential risk areas for wildland fire within the Townships shall be identified in consultation with MNRF. MNRF is working on more detailed risk mapping and guidance for hazard assessment and mitigation that may inform additional policies dealing with development in these areas. Once more detailed guidance and mapping is available, an amendment to this Plan may be required. In the interim, the following policies will apply:

- *Development shall generally be directed to areas outside of lands that are unsafe for development due to the presence of hazardous forest types for wildland fire.*
- *Development may be permitted in lands with hazardous forest types for wildland fire where the risk is mitigated in accordance with wildland fire assessment and mitigation standards.*
- *Planning Act applications located within potential risk areas may be required to complete a Wildland Fire Assessment. This assessment should consider and document the following factors for the subject lands (to the extent possible):*
 - *predominant vegetation (fuel types), particularly those that are high to extreme risk for wildland fire*
 - *forest condition (e.g., presence of storm or insect damage)*
 - *topography and slope*
 - *presence of water source(s)*
 - *distance to organized response resources (e.g., fire station) access.*

30. Section 8.7 – Planning Applications – Consultation and Complete Application Requirements is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting into the list of studies that may be required ***“Geotechnical Karst Study”***.

31. Appendix “1A” – Natural Heritage System is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the legend “Provincial Significance” and replacing it with ***“Provincially Significant Wetland”***

32. Appendix “2” – Designated ‘At Capacity’ Lake Trout Lakes and “Not at Capacity” Lake Trout Lakes is hereby modified by:


- a. Deleting in the first bullet “Hungry Lake”.

DRAFT

8/21/2015

DRAFT DECISION

List of Modifications from MMAH
To be read in conjunction with
adopted County Official Plan:
“Revisions from MMAH Draft
Decision” dated August 21, 2015)



Planning & Economic Development Department
COUNTY OF FRONTENAC

DRAFT DECISION
With respect to County of Frontenac Official Plan
Subsection 17(34) of the Planning Act

I hereby approve the County of Frontenac Official Plan as adopted by Council of the County of Frontenac by By-law No. 2014-0047, subject to the following modifications:

1. **Ministry Names Throughout the Official Plan** are hereby modified by:
 - a. Deleting "**Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR)**" and replacing it with "**Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF)**".
 - b. Deleting "**Ministry of the Environment (MOE)**" and replacing it with "**Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC)**".
 - c. Deleting "**Ministry of Tourism and Culture (MTC)**" and replacing it with "**Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS)**".

Staff Comment: This modification reflects the new names of Provincial Ministries.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

2. **Section 1.2 — How to Read the Plan** is hereby modified by:
 - a. Adding the following new paragraph at the end of the section:

"In the future, the County may undertake an enhanced regional role concerning matters which cross municipal boundaries and are considered to be of a County wide and/or Provincial interest. This may include conducting County wide studies on cross jurisdictional issues and enhanced policy direction on matters such as, but not limited to, mineral aggregate resources, source protection planning and economic development"

Staff Comment: This modification reflects that the County Official Plan policies will evolve over time based on the direction of County Council.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

3. **Section 2.1.1 — Agriculture** is hereby modified by:
 - a. Deleting the word "**lands**" from policy 2 and replacing it with the word "**areas**".

Staff Comment: This modification reflects wording in the Provincial Policy Statement.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

- b. Deleting in the first sentence of policy 3 the words "provided they are located in the farm building complex and primarily serve the surrounding rural and agriculture community."

Staff Comment: The proposed modification clarifies the types of value added uses for Rural and Prime Agricultural land designations.

Recommendation: That Council endorse the proposed modification.

- c. Inserting the following new second sentence in policy 3:

"For these types of uses located in Prime Agricultural Areas designated in the Township Official Plans, the Agriculture Permitted Uses section of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), shall apply."

Staff Comment: The proposed modification adds clarification with respect to the PPS.

Recommendation: That Council endorse the proposed modification.

- d. Inserting the following new sentence at the end of policy 4:

"The MDS formulae shall apply in both the rural and prime agricultural land use designations in the Township Official Plans, outside of settlement areas".

Staff Comment: This modification ensures consistency with Sections 1.1.5 (Rural Lands) and 2.3 (Agriculture) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

- e. Inserting the following new policy 5:

"The Townships shall designate Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)".

- f. Inserting the following new policy 6:

"The Townships shall permit agricultural uses, agriculture-related uses and on-farm diversified uses in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)".

- g. Inserting the following new policy 7:

"The Townships may only permit lot creation and lot adjustments in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)".

- h. Inserting the following new policy 8:

"The Townships may only permit non-agricultural uses in Prime Agricultural Areas in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)".

- i. Insert the following new policy 9:

"The Townships may only permit extraction in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)".

Staff Comment: Proposed modifications 3(d) to (h) reflect the policies contained in Section 2.3 of the PPS and do not detract from the 'high level' perspective of the County Official Plan.

Recommendation: Endorse modifications (d) to (h).

4. **Section 2.1.2 Mining and Mineral Aggregate Resources** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the words ***"and aggregate resources"*** to the end of the first sentence.
- b. Inserting into the second sentence the words ***"and mineral aggregate"*** after the word ***"Mineral"***.
- c. Deleting from the second sentence the word ***"mining"***
- d. Inserting into the third sentence the words ***"and mineral aggregate"*** after the word ***"mineral"***.

Staff Comment: Proposed modifications 4(a) to (d) will clarify the wording of this section so that it is consistent with Section 2.5 (Mineral Aggregate Resources) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Endorse modifications 4 (a) to (d).

5. **Section 2.1.2.2 Mineral Aggregates** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following new sentence at the end of Policy 1:
"These resources shall be mapped on Township Official Plan schedules."

Staff Comment This modification will formally require that Mineral Aggregate Resources areas be identified on the Land Use Schedule of the Township Official Plans. These resources are already identified in each Township plan.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

- b. Inserting a new policy 2 which states:
"2. Recognizing that mineral aggregate resources cross municipal boundaries, the County will undertake a mineral aggregate resources study, in consultation with the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, to identify unconstrained aggregate resources that are a high priority for protection. The findings of this study shall be implemented through Official Plan Amendment. In the interim, the County and the Townships shall use Appendix ID and IE to identify aggregate resources."

And renumber subsequent sections accordingly.

Staff Comment: A mineral aggregate resources study is regional in nature and should be prepared at the County level.

Recommendation: That Council endorse the proposed modification.

- c. Deleting in the first sentence of the current policy 3 the words "**and known significant deposits of mineral aggregate resources**".

Staff Comment: Proposed modification will clarify the wording of this section so that it is consistent with Section 2.5 (Mineral Aggregate Resources) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

- d. Inserting the following sentences at the end of the current policy 3:
"The Townships shall map the locations of all existing licensed mineral aggregate operations on their official plan land use schedules and zoning by-laws. Existing mineral aggregate operations shall be permitted to continue without the need for an official plan amendment, rezoning or development permit under the Planning Act."

Staff Comment: This modification will formally require that Mineral Aggregate Resources areas be identified on maps of the Official Plans and Zoning By-laws of each respective Township. These resources are already identified in these Township planning documents.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

- e. Inserting the following new policy 5:
"5. Within or adjacent to known deposits of mineral aggregate resources, development and activities which may preclude or hinder the establishment of new operations or access to the resources may only be permitted if:

- **Resource use would not be feasible; or**
- **The proposed land use or development serves a greater long-term public interest; and**
- **Issues of public health, public safety and environmental impact are addressed**

The County or the Townships may require the completion of a study by a qualified professional to demonstrate that the proposed use is consistent with the above policies for development proposals. For the purposes of the above policy, adjacent lands shall be those lands contiguous to lands on the surface of known deposits of mineral aggregate resources where it is likely that development would

constrain future access to the resources. The extent of the adjacent lands may be recommended by the Province."

Staff Comment With the exception of the second paragraph, this modification duplicates Section 2.5.2.5 of the PPS. Adding this to the policy section will help the public understand possible land use restrictions to adjacent properties.

The second paragraph is prescriptive and is too detailed to be included in a 'high level' regional plan. It may be considered for additions to the Township Official Plans.

Recommendation: Endorse modification in part. It is recommended that the second paragraph be deleted.

6. **Section 3 — Growth Management** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting in the second sentence **"sets out expected"** and replacing it with **"allocates"**.

Staff Comment The definition of allocate is to "assign, designate, or set aside, for a specific purpose" (source: Canadian Oxford Dictionary). The purpose of the growth projections prepared for Frontenac County as part of the development of the Official Plan was to get an understanding of how new residential growth would spread throughout the County based on a number of factors, including the impact on the County as a result of the growth of the City of Kingston. Since the growth projections are relatively low in the Frontenacs (with the exception of South Frontenac), the use of the word 'allocate' suggests a rigidity that is not applicable for the slow and irregular growth that is taking place. To 'allocate' growth in our region is an impractical exercise.

Recommendation: Council not endorse the proposed modification.

7. **Section 3.1 Growth Projections for Frontenac County** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting in the second sentence of policy (a) the words **"projected to handle"** and replacing it with **"allocated"**.

Staff Comment See staff comments with respect to Item #6, above.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.

- Inserting the following new policy (b):
"(b) The remaining growth shall be allocated accordingly: Frontenac Islands (7%), Central Frontenac (16%) and South Frontenac (7%)".

Staff Comment The growth allocation numbers originate from the population projections prepared on behalf of the County. As stated previously, this study was not intended to strictly control growth in any of the Townships. Further, these percentages do not reflect growth in seasonal cottages. It is important for the County to have flexibility in its Official Plan with respect to growth – this flexibility will allow for better economic development opportunities.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.

- c. Deleting from the current policy (b) the words "**or provide incentive to focus new development within these settlement areas**".

Staff Comment: The intent of this proposed modification is unclear. It is a factual statement that lack of public water and sewer systems is one of the major obstacles to increase development in any of the settlement areas of the County. If there was such infrastructure, then there would be incentive to develop within those boundaries as the lot sizes could be much smaller.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.

- d. Inserting the following new second sentence into policy (d):
"**Demonstration of limited rural residential development in Township Official Plans may include such measures as limits to the number of lots granted through consent and plans of subdivision.**"

Staff Comment: Proposed modification clarifies that the ability to manage rural residential development will be determined by each individual Township.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

- e. Inserting the following new policy (f):
"**(f) Settlement areas are identified in the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2014 as villages and hamlets where development is concentrated and which have a mix of land uses. It is recognized that some of the historical settlement areas identified on Schedule 'A' of this Plan may not meet this definition and are better described as a crossroad. As a result, the County will undertake a settlement area study to examine and rationalize settlement areas identified on Schedule 'A' in order to determine where growth shall be focused over the long term planning horizon)**".

Staff Comment: There are currently thirty-six (36) settlement areas identified on Schedule 'A' of the County Official Plan.

The settlement areas are as follows:

North Frontenac (13)

Canoto
Plevna
Ompah
Donaldson
Cloyne
Myers Cave
Fernleigh
Ardoch
Coxvale
Snow Road Station
Mississippi Station
Robertsville
Harlowe

Central Frontenac (9)

Henderson
Elm Tree
Arden
Mountain Grove
Sharbot Lake
Parham
Crow Lake
Piccadilly
Godfrey

South Frontenac (13)

Bellrock
Verona
Petworth
Hartington
Wilmer
Perth Road
Harrowsmith
Sydenham
Spaffordton
Battersea
Railton
Inverary
Sunbury

Frontenac Islands (1)

Marysville

It is likely that many of these hamlets/crossroads were designated as settlement areas for historic reasons when Official Plans were first created for the amalgamated Townships in 1998. Now that a County Official Plan has been created it is reasonable to prepare a region-wide analysis of

which of these areas meet the definition of 'settlement area' as defined in the PPS.

Recommendation: Council support the proposed modification.

- a) Inserting the following new Section 3.1.1:

"3.1.1 — Employment

In order to meet the long term needs of the County by providing a mix and range of employment opportunities, the County shall explore ways to enhance the local employment base in strategic locations such as settlement areas and along major transportation routes."

Staff Comment This modification recognizes that the County has a role from both a planning and economic development perspective to collaborate with the Townships and other agencies to improve local employment opportunities. These resources are already identified in these Township planning documents.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

- b) Inserting the following new Section 3.1.2:

"3.1.2 - Housing Supply

"In accordance with the growth allocations of this section the Townships shall maintain the 10 year and 3 year residential land supply requirements as set out in the Housing section of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) 2014."

Staff Comment: This proposed modification, which is a duplication of Section 1.4.1.a) of the PPS is better placed in the local Official Plans rather than the regional plan.

Recommendation: Council not endorse the modification.

8. **Section 3.2.2.2 Settlement Area Boundary Expansions** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following new paragraph after policy e:

"It is not anticipated that any of the settlement areas in the Frontenacs will require expansion in the life of this plan. If a settlement area expansion is being considered, in addition to the aforementioned criteria, the settlement area expansion policies of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), shall also apply."

Staff Comment: This new addition ensures that the settlement policies of the PPS must also be applied when considering changes to settlement boundaries.

Recommendation: That Council endorses proposed modification.

9. **Section 3.3.1 Introduction** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting the first dash in its entirety "Waterfront Areas as described in Section 3.3.3.4"

Staff Comment: Proposed modification reflects wording changes that were made as part of the 2014 PPS. Rural lands are defined as "lands which are located outside settlement areas and which are outside prime agricultural areas". Therefore, Waterfront Areas described in the County Official Plan are also included within the 'Rural Lands' definition.

Recommendation: Endorse modification for clarity purposes.

- Deleting in the last paragraph the words "rural lands shall permit uses such as:" and replacing it with "on rural lands, permitted uses are:"

Staff Comment: Proposed modification will clarify permitted uses listed under Section 1.1.5.2 of the PPS.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

- Inserting the following new paragraph at the end of the section:
"Development shall proceed in accordance with the policies of Section 4.2 — Servicing, Section 6 — Heritage & Culture and Section 7 —Environmental Sustainability and Section 4.2 - Servicing."

Staff Comment: This proposed modification serves as a reminder that there are other policy sections within the County Official Plan that need to be reviewed in considering new development within the Rural Lands area.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

10. **Section 3.3.3 Policies** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting the first paragraph of this section.

Staff Comment: The proposed modification improves the clarity of this policy section.

Recommendation: That Council support the proposed modification.

11. **Section 3.3.3.4.1 Special Policies — Waterfront Areas - Introduction** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting the word "generally" from the last sentence of this section.

Staff Comment: This proposed modification has no impact on the intent of the Waterfront Areas policy section. This section is intended as a reminder that any new development located with 150 metres (500 feet) may have an impact on a waterbody and that a planning application should have regard to the relatively close vicinity of the waterbody from the property.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

12. **Section 4 — Community Building** is hereby modified by:
a. Inserting the following at the end of the introductory section:

"Infrastructure, electricity generation facilities and transmission and distribution systems, and public service facilities shall be provided in a coordinated, efficient and cost-effective manner that considers impacts from climate change while accommodating projected needs. Planning for infrastructure, electricity generation facilities and transmission and distribution systems, and public service facilities shall be coordinated and integrated with land use planning so that they are:

- **financially viable over their life cycle, which may be demonstrated through asset management planning; and**
- **available to meet current and projected needs.**

Public service facilities should be co-located in community hubs, where appropriate, to promote cost-effectiveness and facilitate service integration, access to transit and active transportation."

Staff Comment: This proposed modification reflects Section 1.6 (Infrastructure and Public Services) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Endorse modification.

13. **Section 4.1.1 Roads** is hereby modified by:
a. Inserting the following new policy section:

"4.1.1.6 Private Roads"

The County is undertaking a private road study in 2015. The results of this work will assist the County and its lower tiers in developing a measurable and enforceable mechanism aimed at creating reasonable and safe development of private roads."

Staff Comment: In discussion of the adopted official plans between County staff and MMAH, the Province has recognized that Frontenac County is doing its due diligence and working with its member municipalities to provide good planning policy as part of the County-wide private road study.

Recommendation: That Council support this modification.

14. **Section 4.2.1.5 Long Range Planning for Municipal Services** is hereby modified by:

a. Deleting 4.2.1.4.5 in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

"4.2.1.5 — Municipal Water and Wastewater Services

With the exception of the village of Sydenham, not one of the villages and hamlets in Frontenac County has a municipal water supply. Villages such as Sharbot Lake, Marysville, Verona, Plevna, and Harrowsmith are historical settlements that date back to the mid-nineteenth century in development. Many of the building lots in the village cores are too small relative to today's health and safety standards with regard to the minimum lot size of approximately 1 hectare (2 acres) to ensure a long term potable water supply on private well and septic systems. The fact that most of the County is set on the Precambrian Shield, which is prone to cracking and seepage, increases the risk of septage movement over a large area. Scientific research has identified the bacteriological contamination of groundwater as a local concern in parts of the Shield area.

Significant investment in public infrastructure is common in all villages in the Frontenacs including road maintenance, sidewalk construction, street lighting, signage, and parks and recreation facilities. Also, the majority of commercial assessment in the County is located in the villages. This reinforces the importance of the stability and improvement of all the County's villages which serve as community focal points for the surrounding region.

The following servicing policies shall apply throughout the County:

- 1. Municipal sewage services and municipal water services are the preferred form of servicing for settlement areas.*
- 2. Where municipal sewage services and municipal water services are not provided, Townships may allow the use of private communal sewage services and private communal water services.*
- 3. Partial services shall only be permitted in the following circumstances:*
 - a. where they are necessary to address failed individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services in existing development; or*
 - b. within settlement areas, to allow for infilling and minor rounding out of existing development on partial services provided that site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision of such services with no negative impacts.*

Subject to the hierarchy of services provided in the above policies and Section 4.2.1.6 — Private Services, planning authorities may allow lot creation only if there is

confirmation of sufficient reserve sewage system capacity and reserve water system capacity within municipal sewage services and municipal water services or private communal sewage services and private communal water services. The determination of sufficient reserve sewage system capacity shall include treatment capacity for hauled sewage from private communal sewage services and individual on-site sewage services."

Staff Comment: The existing policy section in the adopted Official Plan is specific to the land use, economy, and fiscal capacity of Frontenac County. The proposed modification is prescriptive rather than providing direction on finding solutions to protecting future water supply of a number of villages and hamlets in the County. The policy that was adopted by County Council with respect to "Future Village Water Supply Protection" (Section 4.2.1.4.1) indicates that the County intends to take a proactive approach with respect to ensuring safe drinking water is available for village residents.

Recommendation: No change. PPS policies are very clear.

- b. Inserting the following new policy 4.2.1.6 — Private Services:

"4.2.1.6 - Private Services

1. **Where municipal sewage services and municipal water services or private communal sewage services and private communal water services are not provided, individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services may be used provided that site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision of such services with no negative impacts. In settlement areas, these services may only be used for infilling and minor rounding out of existing development. Negative Impacts shall be defined for the purposes of this section and Section 4.2.1.5 as degradation to the quality and quantity of water, sensitive surface water features and sensitive groundwater features, and their related hydrologic functions, due to single, multiple or successive development. Negative impacts should be assessed through environmental studies including hydrogeological or water quality impact assessments, in accordance with provincial standards."**

Staff Comment: The proposed modification is a duplication of most of Section 1.6.6 (Sewage, Water and Stormwater) of the PPS and is not helpful in understanding the infrastructure challenges of the Frontenacs. The County will be required to be consistent with this section of the PPS and in that context this modification is unnecessary.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.

15. **Section 4.3.4 — Waste Management - Policies** is hereby modified by:
- Inserting the following new statement at the end of this section:

"Waste management systems shall be located and designed in accordance with provincial legislation and standards."

Staff Comment: The proposed modification makes clear that any waste management systems must meet the standards established by the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.
16. **Section 5.2.2 Affordable Housing - Policies** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting in the first sentence of the second paragraph the words **"housing targets for affordable housing"** and replacing it with **"an affordable housing target of 35%"**.

Staff Comment: The affordable housing target of 35% is a goal contained in the City of Kingston / Frontenac County Municipal Housing Strategy (MHS).

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.
17. **Section 5.6.2 Secondary Suites — Policies** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting in the first sentence **"may include policies to allow, where appropriate"** and replacing it with **"shall permit"**.

Staff Comment: The Township Official Plans must have policies to allow for secondary suites, but it is the opinion of staff that the location of these suites can be restricted (e.g., City of Kingston Official Plan does not allow for secondary suites in the rural area of the municipality). Allowing secondary suites on waterfront properties could allow for additional cottage development and place pressure on the natural environment, and this is not contemplated in any of the four Township Official Plans.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.
18. **Section 6 — Heritage and Culture** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting in the first sentence of the second paragraph **"will encourage their conservation"** and replacing it with **"shall identify and conserve those resources"**.
19. **Section 6.1 — Heritage and Culture — General Policies** is hereby modified by:
- Deleting in the first paragraph **"enhance whenever practical"** and replacing it with **"whenever practical, enhanced,"**
 - Deleting in the second paragraph **"are encouraged to"** and replacing it with **"shall"**.

- c. Inserting at the end of the last sentence in the second paragraph **"to ensure their cultural heritage value or interest is retained under the Ontario Heritage Act"**.

- d. Inserting the following new section after the fourth paragraph:

"The County and the Townships shall consider the interests of Aboriginal communities in conserving cultural heritage and archaeological resources."

Staff Comment: The proposed modifications to Section 6 (Heritage and Culture) ensures that the policies are consistent with Section 2.6 (Cultural Heritage and Archaeology) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modifications for item #18 and #19.

- 20. **Section 6.2 - Archaeological Resources** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting into the second sentence after "significant archaeological resources" **"including significant marine archaeological resources"**.

Staff Comment: Adding marine archaeological resources to this policy section will ensure that it will comply with the Ontario Heritage Act.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

- b. Adding the following new paragraphs after the second paragraph:

"Township Official Plans shall require the preparation of archaeological assessments on lands containing archaeological resources or in areas or archaeological potential. Areas of archaeological potential shall be determined through the use of provincial screening criteria, or criteria developed by a licensed consultant archaeologist based on the known archaeological record of the municipality and its surrounding region. Such criteria may include a range of environmental, physiographic and historical features, information from local stakeholders and the effects of past land use."

Staff Comment: The proposed modification is very detailed and should be included in all Township Official Plans rather than the County Official Plan.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.

- 21. **Section 7.1.1 Introduction** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following as a new paragraph following the last paragraph:
"Nothing in Section 7 is intended to limit the ability of the agricultural uses to continue".

Staff Comment: The proposed modification ensures consistency with Section 2.1.9 of the PPS (Natural Heritage) and will make it clear to existing agricultural operations that their current farms will not be impacted.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

22. **Section 7.1.4.1 — Wetlands Introduction — Policies** is hereby modified by:
- a. Deleting in the first sentence of the third bullet "identify" and replacing it with **"designate on a land use schedule"**.

Staff Comment: The Appendices in the County Official Plan do not part of the Plan. In other words, they do not meet the technical description of a 'land use schedule' which in most cases require a formal Official Plan Amendment to change the mapping of the land use designations. "Identify" is the terminology used in the Provincial Policy Statement. Section 2.1.3 of the Provincial Policy Statement states that "Natural heritage systems shall be identified in Ecoregions 6E & 7E", and does not require designation. The definition of Significant: means "a) in regard to wetlands, coastal wetlands and areas of natural and scientific interest, an area identified as provincially significant by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources using evaluation procedures established by the Province, as amended from time to time." It should also be noted that the Provincial Policy Statement protections would apply regardless of whether the wetland is formally shown on the mapping.

With regard to the Natural Heritage System illustrated on Appendices 1A to 1C, the intent is to provide an overview of the connections of the system throughout the County and into the City of Kingston, and will be updated each year when new information is provided by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.

- b. Adding to the first sentence of bullet three after the words "wetlands and" the word **"significant"**.

Staff Comment: The proposed change would add the word "Significant" in front of "Coastal Wetlands." In discussions with MMAH, it was clarified that current Significant Coastal Wetlands have been identified by MNRF and are included within the Provincially Significant Wetlands designation currently in place in the Frontenac Islands Official Plan.

Recommendation: Council support the proposed modification.

- c. Adding to the second sentence of the fifth bullet after **"in or adjacent to them, and"** the following **"a wetland evaluation prepared by a qualified person in accordance with the Ontario Wetland Evaluation System and submitted to MNRF for approval or an"**

Staff Comment: This modification would specify that a wetland evaluation may be necessary to evaluate the impacts for some development, such as for a major boundary change or to determine whether a coastal wetland is provincially significant.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification. It is recommended that the sentence be re-phrased to identify that in some cases both a wetland evaluation and EIS may be required.

- d. Inserting a new bullet 6 which states:

"Development and site alteration shall not be permitted within lands adjacent to provincially significant wetlands or significant coastal wetlands unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on their features or their functions. Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the wetland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the wetland. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective".

Staff Comment: Ensures consistency with Section 2.1.4 (Natural Heritage) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

- e. Inserting a new bullet 7 which states:

"Development and site alteration in or adjacent to coastal wetlands (other than significant coastal wetlands) shall not be permitted unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on their features or their functions. Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the wetland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the wetland. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective".

Staff Comment: At the time of this report, the Province has not yet provided a clear definition of the parameters of "coastal wetlands" or mapping to specify which wetlands have formally been identified as "coastal" County planning staff have been working with MMAH and MNR for over a year to achieve an understanding of the land areas to which the coastal wetland definition would apply as it could have significant impacts on waterfront development on both Howe and Wolfe Islands.

Recommendation: No change at this time. Continue consultation with MMAH and MNR on the definition of “coastal wetland”.

23. **Section 7.1.4.2 Significant Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI) Introduction** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting into the second bullet words “**in or adjacent to them**” after the words “shall be permitted”
- b. Inserting the following new second sentence to the second bullet
“Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous the ANSI or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the ANSI. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective.”

Staff Comment: Ensures consistency with Section 2.1.2 of the PPS.

Recommendation: Council endorses proposed modification 23 (a) and (b).

24. **Section 7.1.4.3 — Significant Wildlife Habitat - Policies** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following new third sentence to the second bullet:
“Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the habitat or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the habitat. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective.”

Staff Comment: Ensures consistency with Section 2.1.5 (Natural Heritage) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

25. **Section 7.1.4.5 — Endangered and Threatened Species — Policies** is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the second bullet of the first sentence, the word “significant”.

Staff Comment: Ensures consistency with Section 2.1.7 (Natural Heritage – Endangered Species) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

- b. Deleting in its entirety the third bullet and replacing it with:

"Information regarding occurrences of endangered and threatened species will be obtained from provincial databases and used, in confidence, for development application screening purposes. The Municipality recognizes that information regarding the locations of endangered and threatened species and their habitat is incomplete."

Staff Comment: At this time the County does not have access to the provincial databases that include information on endangered and threatened species. Until such time as permission is granted by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, the existing policy should remain in place and the County will work with MNRF to obtain the necessary information.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.

- c. Inserting the following new third sentence to the fourth bullet:

"Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the habitat of endangered and threatened species or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the habitat. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective."

Staff Comment: Ensures consistency with Section 2.1.7 (Natural Heritage – Endangered Species) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

- d. Deleting in the fifth bullet the words ***"as determined by the Ministry of Natural Resources"***.

- e. Inserting the following new second sentence in the fifth bullet:
"MNRF can be contacted for technical advice."

Staff Comment: Once County Council becomes the approval authority for Township Official Plans and Official Plan Amendments, MNRF will no longer provide formal comments on a planning application. However, they can be involved in ensuring that the County and Townships are interpreting the PPS and also providing new information on natural heritage when available.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modifications in items (d) and (e).

26. **Section 7.1.4.6 — Significant Woodlands — Policies** is hereby modified by:

a. Inserting the following new third bullet:

"Township Official Plans within Ecoregion 6E shall identify and protect significant woodlands that meet the following criteria:

- ***South Frontenac Township: In the portion of South Frontenac Township that is within Ecoregion 6E, woodland cover is approximately 55% of the land area. Therefore, development proposals within or adjacent to woodlands that are:***
 - ***50 hectares in size or larger will be required to undertake a site-specific study to confirm whether the woodland meets the significance criteria outlined in the Natural Heritage Reference Manual prior to approving new land use planning applications."***
- ***Frontenac Islands Township: In Frontenac Islands Township, woodland cover is approximately 18% of the land area. Therefore, development proposals within or adjacent to woodlands that are:***
 - ***20 hectares in size or larger will be required to undertake a site-specific study to confirm whether the woodland meets the significance criteria outlined in the Natural Heritage Reference Manual prior to approving new land use planning applications."***

Staff Comment: - The Natural Heritage Study had the following recommendations relating to significant woodlands"

"Information on the risks to woodlands in the County or their general quality is not well understood. Although the Natural Heritage Reference Manual (NHRM) (MNR 2010) provides criteria for the identification of significant woodlands, no specific study has been conducted at either the County or Township level to identify significant woodlands, which occur in Ecoregion 6E. It is recommended that the County works with their partners to apply the NHRM criteria to woodlands within Ecoregion 6E. This approach will provide the County with the information to proactively manage the protection of important woodlands for the long-term versus on a case by case basis."

Given the lack of clear data regarding woodlands in Frontenac County, detailed policies may be too broad until a comprehensive evaluation of woodlands in the two affected Townships has been completed. The adopted policies and existing PPS protections already would allow evaluation of significance and a request for an environmental impact study on a site-specific basis if necessary.

Recommendation: Council not support the proposed modification.

- b. Inserting the following new second sentence to the current third bullet:
"Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the significant woodland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the woodland. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective".

Staff Comment: Ensures consistency with Section 2.1.8 of the PPS.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

27. **Section - 7.1.4.12 - Stewardship Planning - Introduction** is hereby modified by:

- a. Delete in their entirety the second and third sentences in the first paragraph.

Staff Comment: The sentences proposed to be deleted by MMAH are descriptive in nature and have no impact on the policy section.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

28. **Section 7.2.1 - Source Protection Plans** is hereby modified by:

- a. Deleting in the second sentence of the first paragraph and replacing it with the following three sentences:

"The Source Water Protection Plans were developed for each major watershed in the Frontenacs. The Quinte Source Protection Plan and the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plans took effect January 1, 2015 and the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan took effect April 1, 2015. Decisions made under the Planning Act shall conform to the significant threat policies and have regard for other policies."

- b. Deleting the third sentence of the first paragraph the words "include relevant Source Protection Plan policies and standards in their" and replace it with **"amend their"**

Staff Comment: These proposed modifications reflect the fact that the Source Water Protection Plans that cover the Frontenacs have been approved during the development of this plan.

Recommendation: Council endorse modifications numbers 28 (a) and (b).

- c. Inserting the following new second bullet into the policies section:
"Prohibit the establishment of new waste disposal sites or wastewater treatment plants in the Sydenham intake protection zones 1 and 2 (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan)."

And renumbering accordingly.

- d. Inserting the following new third bullet into the policies section:
"Require the submission of a notice from the Risk Management Official under Section 59 of the Clean Water Act with all planning applications or proposed land use changes in the Sydenham intake protections zones 1 and 2 (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan)."
- e. Delete the current second bullet and replace it with the following:
"Ensure that groundwater and surface water quality and quantity will not be negatively impacted by development in vulnerable areas for the protection of drinking water, including highly vulnerable aquifers and significant groundwater recharge areas (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan)."

Staff Comment: These proposed modifications relate to the Village of Sydenham water system and should be part of the South Frontenac Township Official Plan rather than the County Official Plan.

Recommendation: Council does not endorse numbers 28 (c), (d), and (e).

29. **Section 7.3.2 Natural Hazard Policies** is hereby modified by:

- a. Inserting the following introductory statement to this section:
"The County and the Townships shall consider the potential impacts of climate change that may increase the risk associated with natural hazards".

Staff Comment: Ensures consistency with Section 3.1.3 (Natural Hazards) of the PPS.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

- b. Inserting the following at the end of the last bullet in Section 7.3.2.1
"such as unstable soils (sensitive marine clays, organic soils) or unstable bedrock (karst topography)".

Staff Comment: This modification helps to clarify the various types of natural hazards.

Recommendation: Council endorse the proposed modification.

- c. Inserting the following new section:
"7.3.2.6 Hazardous Forest Types For Wildland Fire
Definition

Hazardous forest types for wildland fire are forest types assessed as being associated with the risk of high to extreme wildland fire using risk assessment tools established by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, as amended from time to time.

Development limitations

Potential risk areas for wildland fire within the Townships shall be identified in consultation with MNRF. MNRF is working on more detailed risk mapping and guidance for hazard assessment and mitigation that may inform additional policies dealing with development in these areas. Once more detailed guidance and mapping is available, an amendment to this Plan may be required. In the interim, the following policies will apply:

- **Development shall generally be directed to areas outside of lands that are unsafe for development due to the presence of hazardous forest types for wildland fire.**
- **Development may be permitted in lands with hazardous forest types for wildland fire where the risk is mitigated in accordance with wildland fire assessment and mitigation standards.**
- **Planning Act applications located within potential risk areas shall be required to complete a Wildland Fire Assessment. This assessment should consider and document the following factors for the subject lands (to the extent possible):**
 - **predominant vegetation (fuel types), particularly those that are high to extreme risk for wildland fire**
 - **forest condition (e.g., presence of storm or insect damage)**
 - **topography and slope**
 - **presence of water source(s)**
 - **distance to organized response resources (e.g., fire station) access.**

Staff Comment: At this time, it is the understanding of County staff that the work on hazardous forest types and wildland fires is still being developed. Additionally the current available hazard mapping does not appear to be accurate (the designations are off by 300 metres in some parts of the County) and the mapping designates many developed areas such as parts of Sharbot Lake as hazard areas.

Recommendation: In order to be consistent with Section 3.1.8 (Natural Hazards) it is recommended that Council agree to add the definition section with respect to wildland fire. However Council not support the detailed policies proposed, but commit to adding such policies on 'Hazardous Forest Types' when MNRF has completed its research.

30. **Section 8.7 — Planning Applications — Consultation and Complete Application Requirements** is hereby modified by:
- a. Inserting into the list of studies that may be required **"Geotechnical Karst Study"**.
- Staff Comment:* Modification will allow the Townships and/or County Council to require such a study if deemed necessary.
- Recommendation:* Council endorse the proposed modification.
31. **Appendix "1A" — Natural Heritage System** is hereby modified by:
- a. deleting in the legend **"Provincial Significance"** and replacing it with **"Provincially Significant Wetland"**
- Staff Comment:* Ensures consistency with Section 2 (Natural Heritage of the PPS).
- Recommendation:* Council endorse the proposed modification.
32. **Appendix "2" — Designated 'At Capacity' Lake Trout Lakes and "Not at Capacity" Lake Trout Lakes** is hereby modified by:
- a. Deleting in the first bullet **"Hungry Lake"**.
- Staff Comment:* MMAH have advised that Hungry Lake in Central Frontenac Township is not an 'at capacity' lake.
- Recommendation:* Council endorse the proposed modification.



SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Purpose, Basis and Context of the Plan	1
1.2 How to Read the Plan	7
SECTION 2 – ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY	10
2.1 Natural Resources	10
2.1.1 Agriculture	10
2.1.2 Minerals and Mineral Aggregate Resources	11
2.1.2.1 Mineral Mining	11
2.1.2.2 Mineral Aggregates	12
2.1.3 Forestry	13
2.1.4 Renewable Energy	13
2.1.4.1 Introduction	13
2.1.4.2 Policies	14
2.2 Built Economic Resources	14
2.2.1 Commercial	14
2.2.2 Tourism	14
2.2.2.1 Introduction	14
2.2.2.2 Policies	14
2.2.3 Business Parks	15
SECTION 3 – GROWTH MANAGEMENT	16
3.1 Growth Projections for Frontenac County	16
3.1.1 — Employment	17
3.2 Settlement Areas	17
3.2.1 Introduction	17
3.2.2 Settlement Area Policies	18
3.2.2.1 General	18
3.2.2.2 Settlement Area Boundary Expansions	18
3.3 Rural Lands	19
3.3.1 Introduction	19
3.3.2 Objectives	20
3.3.3 Policies	20
3.3.3.4 Special Policies – Waterfront Areas	21
3.3.3.4.1 Introduction	21
3.3.3.4.2 Goal	22
3.3.3.4.3 Objectives	22
3.3.3.4.4 Policies	23
3.4 Crown Lands	24
SECTION 4 – COMMUNITY BUILDING	24

4.1	Transportation	25
4.1.1	Roads	25
4.1.1.1	Introduction	25
4.1.1.2	Goals	25
4.1.1.3	Regional Road Network	26
4.1.1.4	Provincial Highway 7	26
4.1.1.5	Provincial Highway 41	26
4.1.1.6	Private Roads	27
4.1.2	Rural Public Transportation	27
4.1.2.1	Introduction	27
4.1.2.2	Goal	27
4.1.2.3	Policies	27
4.1.3	Pedestrian	28
4.1.3.1	Policies	28
4.1.4	Trail Development	28
4.1.4.1	Policies	28
4.1.4.2	Special Policies: K & P Trail	29
4.1.4.2.1	Policies	30
4.1.5	Ferry Services	30
4.1.5.1	Background	30
4.1.5.2	Policies	30
4.1.6	Scenic Routes	31
4.2	Servicing	31
4.2.1	Long Range Planning For Municipal Services	31
4.2.1.1	Introduction	31
4.2.1.2	Goal	32
4.2.1.3	Objectives	32
4.2.1.4	Policies	32
4.2.1.4.1	Special Policies: Future Village Water Supply Protection	32
4.2.1.5	Municipal Water and Wastewater Services	33
4.2.1.6	Private Services	34
4.2.2	Storm Water Management Planning	35
4.2.2.1	Introduction	35
4.2.2.2	Policies	35
4.3	Waste Management	35
4.3.1	Introduction	35
4.3.2	Goal	36
4.3.3	Objectives	36
4.3.4	Policies	36
4.4	Utility and Communications Facilities Corridors	36
4.4.1	Introduction	36
4.4.2	Policies	37
4.5	Community Improvement Plans	37
4.5.1	Introduction	37
4.5.2	Goal	38
4.5.3	Objectives	38
4.5.4	Policies	38

4.5.4.1	Special Policy – Regional Community Improvement Plans	38
4.6	Parks and Open Space	39
4.6.1	Introduction	39
4.6.2	Policies	39
SECTION 5 – HOUSING AND SOCIAL SERVICES		40
5.1	Municipal Housing Strategy	40
5.1.1	Introduction	40
5.1.2	Policies	40
5.2	Affordable Housing	41
5.2.1	Introduction	41
5.2.2	Policies	41
5.3	Seniors Housing	42
5.3.1	Introduction	42
5.3.2	Policies	42
5.4	Group Homes	42
5.4.1	Introduction	42
5.4.2	Policies	43
5.5	Homes for the Aged (Long-Term Care Complexes)	43
5.5.1	Introduction	43
5.5.2	Policies	43
5.6	Secondary Suites	44
5.6.1	Introduction	44
5.6.2	Policies	44
5.7	Accessibility	44
5.7.1	Introduction	44
5.7.2	Policies	44
SECTION 6 – HERITAGE AND CULTURE		44
6.1	General Policies	45
6.2	Archaeological Resources	45
6.3	Algonquin Aboriginal Interests	46
6.3.1	Policies	46
6.4	Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site	49
6.5	Frontenac Arch UNESCO Biosphere Reserve	49
SECTION 7 – ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY		51

7.1	Natural Environment	51
7.1.1	Introduction	51
7.1.2	Goal	52
7.1.3	Objectives	52
7.1.4	Policies	53
7.1.4.1	Wetlands	53
7.1.4.2	Significant Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI)	54
7.1.4.3	Significant Wildlife Habitat	55
7.1.4.4	Fish Habitat	56
7.1.4.4.1	Lake Trout Lakes	56
7.1.4.5	Endangered and Threatened Species	58
7.1.4.6	Significant Woodlands	59
7.1.4.7	Significant Valleylands	60
7.1.4.8	Linkages and Biodiversity Areas	61
7.1.4.8.1	Linkages	61
7.1.4.8.2	Biodiversity Areas (Overlay)	62
7.1.4.9	Mineral Aggregate Operations	62
7.1.4.10	Environmental Impact Study	62
7.1.4.11	Land Uses and Zoning	63
7.1.4.12	Stewardship Planning	63
7.1.4.13	Lake Management Plans	64
7.2	Water Resources	64
7.2.1	Source Protection Plans	64
7.2.2	Wellhead Protection Areas and Intake Protection Zones	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.3	Hazard Lands	65
7.3.1	Introduction	65
7.3.2	Natural Hazards Policies	66
7.3.2.1		66
7.3.2.2		66
7.3.2.3		66
7.3.2.4		66
7.3.2.5		67
7.3.2.6	Hazardous Forest Types for Wildland Fire	67
7.3.3	Human-Made Hazards	68
SECTION 8 – IMPLEMENTATION		68
8.1	Interpretation of Land Use Boundaries	68
8.2	Amendments to the Plan	68
8.3	Amendments to the Township Official Plans	69
8.4	Public Participation	69
8.5	Zoning By-laws	69
8.6	Subdivision and Condominium Approvals and Agreements	69

8.7	Planning Applications – Consultation and Complete Application Requirements	70
8.8	Development Charges	71
8.9	Land Use Compatibility	71

DRAFT

Introductory Note

This document serves as the Official Plan for Frontenac County adopted by County Council on October 29, 2014. It is based on direction provided by County Council to develop a 'high level' regional plan to deal with planning issues that cross political jurisdictions, unlike the Township Official Plans that are detailed and which focus on growth within their municipal boundaries.

This version contains modifications based on the Provincial Draft Decision from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing dated August 21, 2015.

Proposed changes are highlighted. A green highlight indicates Staff recommendation for endorsement and a yellow highlight indicates modifications that Staff recommends that Council do not support.

Contact: Joe Gallivan, Director of Planning and Economic Development

Email: jgallivan@frontenaccounty.ca

Telephone: 613.548.9400 ext. 350

Mail: County of Frontenac, 2069 Battersea Rd, Glenburnie ON K0H 1S0

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose, Basis and Context of the Plan

Purpose

The Frontenac County Official Plan creates the framework for guiding land use changes in the County over the next 20 years to 2034 by protecting and managing the natural environment, directing and influencing growth patterns and facilitating the vision of the County as expressed through its residents. This Plan also provides the avenue through which Provincial Policy is implemented into the local context. The Plan is also a major cornerstone in the implementation of Directions for Our Future, the County's sustainability plan.

Basis

The Official Plan for Frontenac County has its basis in the Planning Act; the Provincial Policy Statement (2014); and the four sustainability pillars identified in Directions for Our Future.


Context of the Plan

Without question Frontenac County is characterized by a predominantly rural landscape with small communities such as Plevna, Cloyne, Ompah, Sharbot Lake, Verona, Harrowsmith, Sydenham, Marysville, Howe Island and many more villages and hamlets throughout the geography.

The County has one of the smallest populations of all counties in Eastern Ontario. The County surrounds the City of Kingston to the north and south and extends beyond Highway 7 northerly towards the Madawaska River and Renfrew County and to the south across Wolfe and Howe Islands to the United States border on the southern side of the St Lawrence River. In terms of surrounding local governments, the Frontenacs are bounded to the east by the Counties of Lanark and Leeds & Grenville, to the north by Renfrew County, and the west by Lennox & Addington County.

In terms of municipal government, the County is composed of four lower-tier municipalities – the Townships of South, Central and North Frontenac and Frontenac Islands. (See Figure 1 – Local Municipalities)

The small population (26,600) and the large geographic area of the Frontenacs (4,000 km²) result in a low population density. There are only small communities. Of the settlement areas in the County the largest villages have between 200 to 300 homes within their boundaries. In terms of demographics, the number of retirees is increasing as is the number of seasonal residents, in part because of the spectacular natural features of the County. On the other hand, the trends show young people continue to move away, to larger urban centres.



Because the population lives predominately in villages or rural areas, municipal services, such as water and wastewater systems are not provided (with the exception of the water system in Sydenham). As a result, access to clean, reliable drinking water is an issue for some residents. The small size of the communities also means that residents may need to travel to urban centres for health and professional services, cultural and recreational opportunities.


The County boasts some of the most beautiful natural features to be found in Ontario, possessing 1000 lakes, Wolfe and Howe Islands that form part of the 1000 Islands, and stretches of uninterrupted forests that include Frontenac and Bon Echo Provincial Parks. The attractiveness of these features draws seasonal residents, outdoor recreationists, and artists, artisans and craftspeople. These people all seek nature for their own reasons, whether it is for adventure and exploration, peace and solitude, or inspiration. The tourism sector is also strongly linked to a pristine environment. The Frontenacs are home to enviable natural resources such as numerous lakes and islands, protected areas, an uninterrupted night sky, and a world renowned biosphere.


In addition to the many lakes within Frontenac, the major waterways of the Cataraqui, Salmon, Mississippi, Napanee, and Fall Rivers all cross through part of the County, and both Wolfe and Howe Islands along with a number of smaller islands are located on the St. Lawrence River at the exit from Lake Ontario. The Rideau Canal system, a designated UNESCO World Heritage site, travels through the southeastern part of the County. The Frontenac Arch Biosphere Reserve is one of sixteen biosphere reserves in Canada and is designated under UNESCO's Man and Biosphere Programme; it covers parts of the United Counties of Leeds and Grenville and Frontenac County (South Frontenac Township). All of these features reinforce the value of the natural heritage system we have in the Frontenacs.


The wealth of our natural resources is reinforced by the amount of Crown land, lakes, rivers, and wetlands that are within the County. Figures 2 to 4 illustrate the location and amount of these resources throughout the Frontenacs.

Frontenac County's history is rich in agricultural activity which continues today in the form of commodity farms, small family farms, hobby farms, horse ranches, forestry and other specialty farms. The agricultural roots of the community are celebrated in fairs, ploughing matches, church socials, and other events. Agriculture continues to play an important role in the County's economy.

The Frontenacs are also at the forefront of renewable energy in Eastern Ontario, the most visible project being the eighty-six wind turbines located across Wolfe Island. Over the past few years, numerous ground-mounted and roof-mounted solar panels have been set up on homes, businesses and farms throughout the County.







1.2 How to Read the Plan

This Official Plan is prepared by the County of Frontenac to guide the actions of local municipalities and the County in policy planning and physical planning on a broad 'high level' basis. It is based on a watershed planning approach as set out in Figure 5.

The County Plan serves as the upper tier Official Plan for the County. It establishes a vision in which planning and sustainability protect and enhance the natural landscape, rural lifestyle, and sense of community for the Frontenacs.


This document, hereafter is referred to as "the Plan" or "this Plan" implements a strategic approach to land use planning based on a watershed planning process. This Plan sets out the general direction for planning and development in Frontenac County by defining strategic goals, broad objectives and policies.

It is the intention of the Plan to set the context for planning in the County as a whole and provide regional direction on planning issues.

It is not the intention of the Frontenac County Official Plan to interfere with those planning matters which are considered to be the responsibility of the local municipalities. Local municipal Official Plans complement the Frontenac County Official Plan by providing detailed strategies, policies, and land use designations for planning and development at the local level.

The structure of the Plan is based on six sustainability themes. Each of the themes is developed to function as part of the building blocks that encourage a sustainable balance between the economy, community building, and the environment.

The Economic Sustainability theme highlights the natural resources of the Frontenacs and the best management practices for developing and managing those resources for future use. This theme also covers the built economy and how economic practices of commercial, tourism, and industrial type development should take place. Trying to develop a strong and diverse economy in Frontenac County is a major component of these building blocks.



The *Growth Management* theme deals with future residential development in the County, using the County-wide growth projections as a starting point. This section will include policies to support existing hamlets and new development in rural and waterfront areas.

Community Building is a theme that has the goal of encouraging the development of our community through interaction, collaboration, and cohesiveness. This includes supporting a regional road system, trail development, and ideas for pedestrian movement and rural transit – all of these issues are essential to strengthening both communities and the strength of the economy.

Housing and Social Services will focus on key regional housing issues that are recognized in the Kingston-Frontenac County Municipal Housing Strategy and which are especially important over the long term to the rural area, including seniors housing and affordable housing.

The *Heritage and Culture* theme has the goal of encouraging identification and conservation of cultural heritage resources that are valued for the important contribution that they make to our understanding of the history of places, events, or people in the Frontenacs. This theme will also include policies with regard to the Algonquin Land Claim which covers a large portion of the north and central part of the County and which will result in a variety of potential land use changes in the future.

The *Environmental Sustainability* theme identifies significant natural areas that need to be protected and managed to form a basis for future land use decisions. A level of protection for the environment is required under Provincial policy to ensure development is sustainable to ensure a healthy and high quality of life for existing and future residents of the County.

Appropriate policies for each sustainability theme are included in the Plan to ensure all aspects necessary for a healthy community are protected, managed and made available to existing and future residents.

In addition to the six themes that form the bulk of the policies in the Official Plan, there are two other sections which follow that complete the Plan:

- a) The Implementation and Interpretation section which describes interpretation and implementation tools available to the County through the Planning Act, public participation, and consultation for planning applications; and
- b) The Mapping section which contain Schedule(s) which show the location of the Official Plan designations for all of Frontenac County as well as the regional natural heritage system.

In the future, the County may undertake an enhanced regional role concerning matters which cross municipal boundaries and are considered to be of a County wide and/or Provincial interest. This may include conducting County wide studies on cross jurisdictional issues and enhanced policy direction on matters such as, but not limited to, mineral aggregate resources, source protection planning and economic development.

SECTION 2 – ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY

The Economic Sustainability section is divided into two subsections. The first subsection identifies policies relating to the natural resources of the County. The significant natural resources include agriculture, minerals and mineral aggregates, forestry, and renewable energy.

The second subsection highlights policy directions relating to those economic activities stemming from the natural and built environment such as commercial, tourist and potential business park development.

Both of these sections highlight the importance of these sectors to the economic health of Frontenac County.

2.1 Natural Resources

2.1.1 Agriculture

Introduction

A prime component of the County's economy is the extensive area of lands that are used for farming, both in prime agricultural and rural areas. Farming is fundamental to the economic base and rural lifestyle of the County. It is in the County's interest to preserve that lifestyle and to foster the agricultural community. The land base should be protected and the use of the lands must be predominantly agriculturally oriented to achieve these objectives. The farming community forms a core economic basis for the rural community

Policies

1. The agricultural community should be fostered and protected to ensure its viability for the economic and social benefit of the County. In order to accomplish this the County will encourage the Townships to:
 - a) protect the prime agricultural land for agricultural purposes; and
 - b) encourage the development of agricultural support services within designated hamlets.
2. Township Official Plans shall identify and protect prime agricultural **areas.**
3. Value-Added Uses – land uses that add value to farm products may also be permitted on farms in both Agricultural and Rural designations **provided they are located in the farm building complex and primarily serve the surrounding rural and agriculture community.** For these types of uses located in Prime Agricultural Areas designated in the Township Official Plans, the Agriculture – Permitted Uses section of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), shall apply. These uses may include processing, preserving, storing and packaging of farm products and outlets for the retail sale of agricultural products from the farm operation on the property. Facilities that add value to farm products may be used co-operatively; however, the scale of operations will be secondary to the farming activity of the property. The size of the building or facility for these uses will be limited in the implementing zoning by-law of each Township.
4. The standard for separating residential uses from existing, new or expanding livestock facilities shall be the Minimum Distance Separation (MDS) formulae, as revised from time to time. The MDS formulae shall also be used when

considering the creation of new lots and new development in proximity to livestock facilities. Notwithstanding policies relating to new developments on existing lots of record, where there is a vacant lot of record that is impacted by MDS, a dwelling may be permitted provided the dwelling is located on the lot at the furthest distance possible from the impacted livestock facilities. **The MDS formulae shall apply in both the rural and prime agricultural land use designations in the Township Official Plans, outside of settlement areas.**

- 5. The Townships shall designate Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS).**
- 6. The Townships shall permit agricultural uses, agriculture-related uses and on-farm diversified uses in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS).**
- 7. The Townships may only permit lot creation and lot adjustments in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS).**
- 8. The Townships may only permit non-agricultural uses in Prime Agricultural Areas in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS).**
- 9. The Townships may only permit extraction in Prime Agricultural Areas in their respective Official Plans in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS).**

2.1.2 Minerals and Mineral Aggregate Resources

The County of Frontenac contains many unique minerals **and aggregate resources**. Mineral **and mineral aggregate** deposits and **mining** operations will be protected. Township Official Plans will identify mineral **and mineral aggregate** resources, protect them from incompatible uses so their future use is ensured and establish buffer areas where incompatible development is not permitted. These resources are identified in Appendices '1D' and '1E' of the Plan.

The following policies are intended to address both mineral mining operations as well as mineral aggregate extraction.

2.1.2.1 Mineral Mining

1. Mining and related activities shall only be permitted outside identified settlement areas. **These resources shall be mapped on Township Official Plan Schedules.**
2. The creation of new mining and mining related activities shall be subject to the approval of the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines under the Mining Act and the Environmental Protection Act, and shall be subject to Township Official Plan policies and Township Zoning By-law regulations.
3. An 'Influence Area' shall be used in order to protect existing land uses in the vicinity of a proposed mineral mining operation. This 'Influence Area' shall also be applied to protect mineral mining operations from the encroachment of incompatible land uses. Township Official Plans shall establish the extent of an influence area in consultation with the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines and the **Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change**. Development may be permitted in an 'Influence Area' as set out in Township

Official Plans and only where the impacts of a mining operation can be properly mitigated.

2.1.2.2 Mineral Aggregates

Frontenac County has a variety of mineral aggregate deposits. The County recognizes that these non-renewable resources are an important component of the economy of the County which must be protected for future use. It is also recognized that the extraction of the aggregates must be undertaken in an environmentally sound manner that adequately protects significant natural environment features and minimizes community disruption.

1. Mineral aggregate resources shall be recognized and managed by the Township Official Plans. **These resources shall be mapped on Township Official Plan Schedules.**
2. **Recognizing that mineral aggregate resources cross municipal boundaries, the County will undertake a mineral aggregate resources study, in consultation with the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry to identify unconstrained aggregate resources that are a high priority for protection. The findings of this study shall be implemented through Official Plan Amendment. In the interim, the County and the Townships shall use Appendix ID and IE to identify aggregate resources.**
3. The Townships, in consultation with the **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF)** and the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines shall review development proposals with respect to mineral aggregate resources.
4. **The Townships shall identify and protect all existing mineral aggregate operations and known significant deposits of mineral aggregate resources from incompatible uses and activities that would preclude or hinder their expansion or continued use, or which would be incompatible for reasons of public health, public safety, or environmental impact. The Townships shall map the locations of all existing licensed mineral aggregate operations on their official plan land use schedules and zoning by-laws. Existing mineral aggregate operations shall be permitted to continue without the need for an official plan amendment, rezoning or development permit under the Planning Act.**
5. **Within or adjacent to known deposits of mineral aggregate resources, development and activities which may preclude or hinder the establishment of new operations or access to the resources may only be permitted if:**
 - **Resource use would not be feasible; or**
 - **The proposed land use or development serves a greater long-term public interest; and**
 - **Issues of public health, public safety and environmental impact are addressed**

The County or the Townships may require the completion of a study by a qualified professional to demonstrate that the proposed use is consistent with the above policies for development proposals. For the purposes of the above policy, adjacent lands shall be those lands contiguous to lands on the surface of

known deposits of mineral aggregate resources where it is likely that development would constrain future access to the resources. The extent of the adjacent lands may be recommended by the Province.

2.1.3 Forestry

Council shall consider the incorporation of “good forestry practices” along with the impact of the development on the ability to provide a continuous, sustainable forestry industry in the County.

For the purposes of this Plan, “good forestry practices” means the proper implementation of harvest, renewal and maintenance activities known to be appropriate for the forest and environmental conditions under which they are being applied and that minimize detriments to forest productivity and health, and the aesthetics and recreational opportunities of the landscape. Good forestry practices will ensure that no forest values are diminished by protecting key stand components and by minimizing environmental damage during tree harvesting. Properly managed tree harvest activities shall assure the maintenance of all forest values and a continuous flow of forest products that provide for both short and long-term economic benefits to landowners. Similarly, forest management plans should recognize and recommend mitigation measures on the impacts of forestry operations on the tourism industry and visual amenities of the County.

In partnership with County and local governments, forestry operators will consider developing an inventory of forest resources.

Forest operators will consider undertaking sustainable approaches and are encouraged to develop sustainable forestry plans which may include the development of a plan for rehabilitation and reforestation to preserve the biodiversity and ecological health of the region.

2.1.4 Renewable Energy

2.1.4.1 Introduction

One of the primary ‘focus areas’ of Directions for Our Future deals with the future of energy demand and production in the Frontenacs. The objective is to reduce the amount of energy consumed over the next generation, combined with renewable energy production and encouragement. It is hoped that conservation measures combined with clean, low-impact electrical generation will contribute to a gradual shift away from the reliance on fossil fuels.

2.1.4.2 Policies

The County is committed to further the community's vision of the 'Energy Focus Area' in Directions for Our Future.

The County and the Townships will be encouraged to work collaboratively to assist in Green Energy activities such as municipal solar installation, energy conservation, community power, and other related projects.

The County will support the creation of a Community Energy Plan for the Frontenacs to encourage local energy production and increase community capacity with renewable energy.

The County will support economic development opportunities in the local energy sector as they arise.

The County will promote energy conservation to the public and will seek opportunities to facilitate conservation.

2.2 Built Economic Resources

2.2.1 Commercial

Commercial development in Frontenac County is identified under two general policy areas. Both types of commercial use are important for ensuring that a wide range of commercial opportunities as possible exist for County residents, seasonal and year round alike, as well as tourists staying in or traveling through Frontenac County. The two general types of commercial development are:

- a) Community Commercial uses which may according to Township Official Plans be located in a village, hamlet, historic crossroad or rural area, and also includes highway commercial which serves both travelling and local public; and
- b) Resort Commercial uses which provide for commercial facilities and services for tourists and seasonal residents.

The Townships will establish appropriate commercial land use policies in their respective Official Plans.

2.2.2 Tourism

2.2.2.1 Introduction

Tourism and leisure opportunities are some of the key aspects to Frontenac County's economy. This sector includes, but is not limited to, golf courses, resorts, campgrounds, trailer parks, marinas, tourist accommodation facilities, museums, historical and scenic tours and heritage sites. The many lakes and rivers in the Frontenacs play a significant role in providing opportunities for tourism and leisure activities.

2.2.2.2 Policies

The County will work with the local Townships, the Province, Frontenac Community Futures Development Corporation (CFDC), the City of Kingston, and various tourism agencies and organizations to promote tourism activity.

The County will continue to work on development of a regional trail network to help create a recreational use that will travel through the Frontenacs and act as a regional tourist destination.

The County will work with and support tourism-related projects with all of the Townships that are of benefit to tourists and to the community.

2.2.3 Business Parks

Frontenac County currently has a very small industrial base. The majority of industries make up small pockets of uses in the agricultural, rural, and hamlet areas. These uses include home-based activities.

Directions for Our Future also recognizes the opportunity of a new business park in the County as a key economic pillar of sustainability. It notes that the possibility of developing a green business park would be beneficial in having infrastructure in place for businesses considering relocation and could also act as a catalyst by grouping businesses as a hub.

There are very few planned business parks designated in the four Township Official Plans. This Plan recognizes the importance of the goal of attracting businesses to the County to encourage local job creation and investment in the rural economy.

The following policies should be considered as part of the location and development of a business park(s) in the Frontenacs:

1. In order to ensure appropriate and efficient access, business parks should be located on or close to one of the regional roads that are identified on Schedule 'A' (Land Use);
2. A business park development proposal should consider the following development policies:
3. Business parks may include buildings designed for individual or multiple occupancy on a leasehold or condominium basis;
4. Business park development may occur on a multiple lot basis or as a single land parcel with single or multiple buildings; and
5. Buildings in a business park should be designed to a similar and high-quality standard to promote an attractive setting.
6. The County and/or the Townships may assist in the creation of a business park in the following ways:
 - i. land acquisition and site preparation;
 - ii. development of the infrastructure to prepare the business park for development, such as power, servicing, lighting, road construction, and broadband connection;
 - iii. the preparation of any planning, engineering or other studies to ensure the business park is developed to appropriate standards; and

- iv. municipal fiscal incentives to encourage new development such as grants to cover the costs of building permit fees or development charges.

Section 3 – Growth Management

The Growth Management section sets out policies that are intended to help guide new development (residential and non-residential) across Frontenac County. The policies are intended to manage change from a regional level.

The Growth Projections section **allocates sets out expected** population and employment growth across the Frontenacs to 2034.

The Settlement Areas section is intended to support and strengthen existing communities and their infrastructure.

Rural Lands policies are intended to recognize the importance of the rural lands of the Frontenacs for future growth and to create guidelines for new development that will be sensitive to its surroundings.

Waterfront Development policies will recognize the importance of the abundant lakes and rivers within the Frontenacs and the need to protect the natural environment and character of these areas for future generations and to also establish parameters for new development along or near the water.

3.1 Growth Projections for Frontenac County

In 2014 the County completed the Population, Housing and Employment Projections for the Frontenacs. The projections indicate that the permanent population base of the County is forecasted to steadily increase over the next 25 years from 27,900 in 2011 to 32,900 in 2034.

A share of the population and household unit growth is projected throughout the four Townships in the County. Also included is projected growth of the seasonal population of the County, which has a significant influence throughout the Frontenacs but especially in the northern portion. The future share of household growth is allocated upon a number of factors including:

- a) **Frontenac County's adjacency to the City of Kingston will allow some areas of the County, notably South Frontenac Township, to attract new growth. South Frontenac is **allocated projected to handle** 70% of the projected growth of permanent population in the County because of the employment opportunities available in the city;**
- b) **The remaining growth shall be allocated accordingly: Frontenac Islands (7%), Central Frontenac (16%) and South Frontenac (7%)**
- c) **The lack of full municipal water and wastewater services in any of the hamlets or villages of the County will constrain the ability to increase the**

density of these areas, ~~or provide incentive to focus new development within these settlement areas;~~

- d) Rural residential development will continue to be an important component of household growth in the County, given that overall residential development is projected to be limited; **Demonstration of limited rural residential development in the Township Official Plans may include such measures as limits to the number of lots granted through consent and plans of subdivision.**
- e) The population, housing and employment forecast will be monitored and reviewed periodically to determine its accuracy. Adjustments to the forecasted growth will be made during the five year reviews of the Official Plan.
- f) **Settlement areas are identified in the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2014 as villages and hamlets where development is concentrated and which have a mix of land uses. It is recognized that some of the historical settlement areas identified on Schedule 'A' of this Plan may not meet this definition and are better described as crossroad. As a result, the County will undertake a settlement area study to examine and rationalize settlement areas identified on Schedule 'A' in order to determine where growth shall be focus over the long term planning horizon.**

3.1.1 — Employment

In order to meet the long term needs of the County by providing a mix and range of employment opportunities, the County shall explore ways to enhance the local employment base in strategic locations such as settlement areas and along major transportation routes."

3.1.2 – Housing Supply

In accordance with the growth allocations of this section the Townships shall maintain the 10 year and 3 year residential land supply requirements as set out in the Housing section of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS, 2014).

3.2 Settlement Areas

3.2.1 Introduction

As Frontenac County has developed over time, it contains of a number of small villages and hamlets, scattered rural housing, waterfront cottages and homes, and rural subdivisions. There is no village or hamlet that has full municipal services. The village of Sydenham had water servicing installed in 2006 to service the existing community.

These settlement area policies are intended to set a planning framework that will encourage and support the existing Settlement Areas, both mixed use and primarily residential.

The policies will allow each Township to have the ability to develop their communities based on local characteristics and needs, as well as fiscal capacity. The policies also recognize that due to the lack of municipal water and sewer services, the County supports new development in both the settlement areas as well as in rural locations.

Settlement area locations are identified on Schedule "A" of this Plan.

3.2.2 Settlement Area Policies

3.2.2.1 General

Based on its historic settlement pattern, Frontenac County has many villages and hamlets scattered throughout the region. Some of these villages have a mix of residential, commercial, and institutional uses, while other hamlets are primarily residential and small in size. Traditionally, these communities have developed as residential, social and commercial centres serving the surrounding agricultural, mining, or forestry community. While this traditional role will continue to be encouraged, it is also recognized that changes and improvements to transportation facilities over time have lessened the emphasis on hamlets as rural service centres and increased their role as residential settlements.

The following general policies shall apply to Settlement Areas which are identified on Schedule 'A' of this Plan:

1. Township Official Plans shall designate Settlement Areas and determine their boundaries.
2. Efficient development patterns and road connections will be encouraged in Settlement Areas to optimize public services and to make the most efficient use of land and resources.
3. Township Official Plans shall, where feasible, promote mixed use development in Settlement Areas including residential, commercial, institutional, parks, and employment areas.

3.2.2.2 Settlement Area Boundary Expansions

The County's long term prosperity, environmental health, and social well-being depend on wisely managing change and promoting efficient land use and development patterns. On this basis, Settlement Area boundary expansions shall be determined by the Township Official Plans and shall require an Official Plan Amendment to the Township Plan. As none of the Settlement Areas in the Frontenacs have full municipal services, a settlement area capability study (comprehensive review) is required by this Plan for any boundary expansion to determine:

- a) that the Settlement Area can adequately accommodate new development without having a negative impact on groundwater used for drinking purposes and/or the ability of the soils in the area to assimilate effluent;

- b) the potential impacts of new development on the road network and other municipal infrastructure such as community facilities;
- c) justification for the need to expand the settlement area;
- d) an analysis of alternatives that may be considered to settlement expansion, including redevelopment and infill; and
- e) a review to determine compliance with the Minimum Distance Separation (MDS) formulae.

It is not anticipated that any of the settlement areas in the Frontenacs will require expansion in the life of this plan. If a settlement area expansion is being considered in addition to the aforementioned criteria, the settlement area expansion policies of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), shall also apply.

3.3 Rural Lands

3.3.1 Introduction

The Rural designation comprises all lands outside of settlement areas in the County that are not:

- ~~Waterfront Areas as described in Section 3.3.3.4;~~
- Natural Heritage Areas or other natural resources listed in Section 7;
- Resource Lands such as minerals, mineral aggregate, forestry, and prime agricultural set out in Section 2.1.; and
- Waste Disposal Sites

Traditionally, these lands have been utilized as an alternative location for those persons preferring a rural residential lifestyle.

These non-resource lands have also provided a location for commercial and industrial uses requiring a location in the rural area because of land use requirements and/or proximity to natural resources. The Rural designation also includes areas containing viable farming operations that need to be protected, through the application of the MDS I and II formulas.

Low density residential development as well as rural-related commercial, industrial, recreational and institutional development is desirable, provided it is appropriately located. The Rural designation is intended to guide rural type development while at the same time protecting the rural character, heritage and natural resources of the County. In addition, the designation is intended to manage growth in an environmentally and fiscally responsible manner.

More specifically, rural lands shall permit uses such as: **on rural lands, permitted uses are:**

- The management and use of resources;
- Resource-based recreational uses (including recreational/cottage dwellings);
- Residential development of a limited scale;
- Home based industries and home occupations;
- Cemeteries
- Other rural land uses that are compatible with the nature of the rural area.

Development shall proceed in accordance with the policies of Section 4.2 — Servicing, Section 6 — Heritage & Culture and Section 7 —Environmental Sustainability and Section 4.2 – Servicing.

3.3.2 Objectives

1. To preserve the open space, rural character, topography and landscape of the rural area;
2. To promote rural living in a manner sensitive to the ecological balance, sensitive to the farming and forestry communities and sensitive to the protection of groundwater and surface water quantity and quality;
3. To maintain economic stability in the County by considering factors such as municipal servicing limitations, environmental factors, compatibility of land uses, and land capability when reviewing development proposals;
4. To promote the tourism economy of the County by ensuring suitable lands are available to satisfy demands for tourism and tourism-related development;
5. To encourage economic diversification including greater flexibility for on-farm activities, home-based businesses, and agri-tourism, and new small scale industrial-type ventures that are connected to the farm economy such as milk processing, cheese factories, and craft breweries; and
6. To preserve the farming community as an important economic and cultural resource for the Frontenacs;

3.3.3 Policies

Although Section 3.3 above separates some rural-based land use activities into distinct policy sections in this Plan, the policies in this section may apply wherever relevant throughout the County.

1. In recognition of the potential impacts that new growth and development may have on entire watershed systems, the County encourages communication between the Townships within the same watershed area when a new development proposal is considered to have a potential impact on the quality and function of the watershed.
2. Residential development is permitted in the Rural designation in accordance with the following policies:
 1. Lot creation should take place either through Plan of Subdivision, Plan of Condominium, or Consent;
 2. Rural residential development shall reflect the intent of preserving the rural, open space character of the County. This will mean that, to the extent possible, the appearance of such development should be unobtrusive and blend in with the rural landscape.
 3. New residential development should not be located on lands which would involve major public expense in opening up or maintaining access routes, providing drainage, or providing other public services and facilities, unless major public services, access, and/or facilities are provided at the developer's expense.

4. It is recognized that the majority of existing and new rural residential development will be serviced by private wells and septic tanks; however, the County and the Townships may be interested in the investigation of new technologies and communal servicing options where it is deemed feasible for such areas and is supported by the **Ministry of the Environment and Climate**.
5. In determining the location and suitability of any proposed residential plan of subdivision, the following criteria shall be considered by both the County and the Townships:
 - i. the design of the subdivision should provide for a range of lot sizes directly related to the site's topography, vegetation and soil and drainage characteristics;
 - ii. based on the varying topography across the County, the Township Official Plans should establish a base minimum lot size;
 - iii. the minimum lot area should be determined by a hydrogeological study and a terrain analysis;
 - iv. the subdivision should have direct access to a public road that is maintained year round and is improved to acceptable municipal standards;
 - v. Lots need to be of adequate size to provide for proper installation of private services;
 - vi. Any proposed subdivision should not land lock any other adjacent parcel of land, and future connection links to adjacent properties shall be provided where determined appropriate;
 - vii. In order to maintain the rural character of the landscape, the development should be located in areas having natural amenities such as varied topography, mature tree cover, scenic views and should blend in with the natural landscape so that the rural environment is left relatively undisturbed;
 - viii. Rural residential development should avoid locating on lands having significant agricultural capability and near areas where any significant impact on established agricultural activities will occur; and
 - ix. The use should be compatible with adjacent land uses.

3.3.3.4 Special Policies – Waterfront Areas

3.3.3.4.1 Introduction

Frontenac County is blessed with hundreds of lakes and rivers covering its geography, including pristine lakes on the Canadian Shield, Lake Ontario and the Saint Lawrence River waterbodies surrounding Wolfe and Howe Islands, as well as the southern section of the Rideau Canal National Historic Site and UNESCO World Heritage Site.

In terms of sustainability, waterfront protection covers all four 'pillars' contained in the County's sustainability plan, Directions for Our Future: social, cultural, environmental, and economic.

Cottage development and tourist operations have developed on many of the County's lakes and rivers since the early twentieth century. While originally summer areas with basic cabin structures, more and more buildings have been converted to year round use and the majority of new development is being built to be habitable all year. Almost all of this development relies on private water and sewage systems.

For the purposes of this Plan, Waterfront Areas shall generally include all lands extending inland 150 metres (500 feet) from the ordinary high water mark of any lake, river, or waterway. This is a general boundary intended to recognize that development within this area may have an impact on lake quality and those impacts may need to be considered for any development within the boundary. Lands and land uses that are more than 150 metres from shore but which are physically or functionally related to the Waterfront Areas shall be considered to be part of the Waterfront Area. All lands that are less than 150 metres from shore but which do not physically or functionally relate to the Waterfront Areas are not considered to be part of a Waterfront Area. The entire areas of islands, excluding Wolfe and Howe Islands, shall normally be considered to be part of a Waterfront Area. The Waterfront Area shall not **generally** extend into any Settlement Area identified in this Plan, or into any prime agricultural or other agricultural areas that are identified in the Township Official Plans.

Infrastructure, electricity generation facilities and transmission and distribution systems, and public service facilities shall be provided in a coordinated, efficient and cost-effective manner that considers impacts from climate change while accommodating projected needs. Planning for infrastructure, electricity generation facilities and transmission and distribution systems, and public service facilities shall be coordinated and integrated with land use planning so that they are:

- financially viable over their life cycle, which may be demonstrated through asset management planning; and**
- available to meet current and projected needs.**

Public service facilities should be co-located in community hubs, where appropriate, to promote cost-effectiveness and facilitate service integration, access to transit and active transportation."

3.3.3.4.2 Goal

The overall goal of this Plan is to improve and protect the waterfront areas in Frontenac County as a significant cultural, recreational, economic, and natural environment resource and to maintain or enhance the quality of the land areas adjacent to the shore.

3.3.3.4.3 Objectives

1. To encourage appropriate public access to Waterfront Areas where accessibility is permitted;

2. To permit shoreland development that allows for sustainable growth of existing and new tourist developments and innovative and appropriately designed new residential developments;
3. To protect and enhance the heritage character of the Rideau Canal National Historic Site and UNESCO World Heritage Site and its associated cultural and natural heritage resources and scenic landscape setting;
4. To maintain or improve water quality on a watershed-wide basis;
5. To ensure that the built form along a shoreline is not overly concentrated or dominating to the detriment of the natural form;
6. To maintain, enhance and/or restore the majority of the developed and undeveloped shorelines in their natural state by promoting property stewardship; and
7. To preserve and enhance fish and wildlife habitat areas and other natural heritage features that are within and along Waterfront Areas.

3.3.3.4.4 Policies

1. The character of Waterfront Areas is linked to the natural and built form that is associated with the lakes and rivers in the County. Generally the *natural* form includes vegetated shorelines with thin soils over bedrock. The *built* form is predominated by residential development including resorts and marinas. In this context, new development or redevelopment occurring in the Waterfront Areas should, where possible enhance and protect those qualities that contribute to the area's character;
2. All Waterfront Areas should be considered to be a major recreation resource area that, where appropriate, should be accessible to both public and private users;
3. Shoreline alterations shall require approval by the municipality and/or the appropriate agency (Conservation Authority, **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry**, Canada Fisheries and Oceans, Parks Canada). Township Official Plans may include shoreline alteration policies that are more restrictive than those of the approval agencies;
4. The County may participate with the Townships and/or community groups and cottage associations in promoting public responsibility for water quality and the visual objectives of shoreline management on a watershed basis;
5. The County will encourage and support lake associations to conduct lake stewardship planning. Any such lake plan may be considered as part of the input to planning decisions at the Township level;
6. Tree cover and vegetation is encouraged to be retained along the shoreline to maintain the visual and environmental integrity of Waterfront Areas. Where development is proposed along shorelines, Township Official Plans should contain policies relating to the preservation of a natural undisturbed buffer between the water's edge and new development;
7. To maintain the shoreline character and water quality, Township Official Plans and Zoning By-laws shall require that:
 - a) For new lot creation, buildings and structures, including the septic system tile field, must be set back at least 30 metres (100 feet) from the ordinary high

water marks of all waterbodies with non-disturbance of the native soils and very limited shoreline removal.

- b) For existing lots of record, new buildings and structures, including the septic system tile field, should be set back 30 metres if possible, otherwise as far back as the lot permits
- c) Township Official Plans shall include criteria for determining an appropriate setback where an existing lot of record cannot achieve the minimum setback of 30 metres (100 feet). However, the greatest setback possible will be required.
- d) A setback of greater than 30 metres from the ordinary high water mark may be required in some locations as determined by the approval authority;
- e) Subsections 7) a) b) and c) shall not apply to shoreline structures such as boathouses and docks, which may be regulated separately in Township Official Plan and Zoning By-laws

3.4 Crown Lands

Crown lands are a significant resource for the County and most of the Townships. The policies in this Plan are not binding on Crown land activities; use of Crown land will be determined by the Province with regard for established planning policies of the County and the local municipalities. Township Official Plans will contain policies that state that where Crown land becomes privately-owned, the policies of the Official Plan apply. Township official plans shall map Crown lands as a distinct land use category on their Schedules. Conversion will not require an amendment to the County Official Plan but change of use following disposition may require an amendment to the Township Official Plan and/or Zoning By-law.

Section 4 – Community Building

The Community Building section sets out policies that are intended to help ensure that the public infrastructure that ties the region together and is key to its sustainability can be maintained and possibly enhanced over the next twenty years. The provision of transportation, water, waste water, and solid waste is crucial to ensuring that Frontenac County can accommodate any future growth in a manner which is environmentally, socially, and economically sustainable.

This Plan recognizes that it is the responsibility of the local municipalities to plan, construct, and maintain most of the public infrastructure. Continued efforts to find solutions to local infrastructure problems by local municipalities are considered appropriate and in conformity with the policies of the County Official Plan. County Council may also consider undertaking regional level studies in order to help plan future capital improvements.

The Transportation section sets out the vision for a regional transportation system that is key for helping citizens and visitors move through the Frontenacs, including roads, transit, trail development, pedestrian safety, ferries, and important scenic routes.

The Servicing section is intended to establish a long-term approach to dealing with servicing in some of the County's villages to ensure safe and plentiful drinking water. The section also contains policy direction for applying storm water management over a watershed area.

Solid Waste Management policies are intended to recognize the importance of long term planning for waste management across the County and to support a collaborative approach to ensure a positive outcome from both an environmental, economical, and health perspective.

Hydro Corridors and Communication/Telecommunication Facilities policies will establish a set of general policies for direction to Provincial and Federal agencies to reduce incompatibility with the existing County land base.

The Community Improvement Plan policies will establish goals and objectives for creating new plans throughout the County, and supporting County Council's financial investment as a basis for local improvements.

The Parks and Open Space policy section will recognize the importance of providing a full range of parks, open space, and recreational facilities for the enjoyment, health and welfare of Frontenac residents as a means of increasing the County's appeal as a tourist destination. It will also recognize the importance of Crown lands and Provincial Parks as recreation resource areas.

4.1 Transportation

4.1.1 Roads

4.1.1.1 Introduction

Frontenac County is served by an extensive road network that includes municipal roads that serve both a local and regional purpose as well as Provincial Highways 7 and 41 which travel across the upper portion of the County. Following municipal amalgamation in 1998, more than 400 kilometres (250 miles) of Provincial Highways and County Roads were downloaded to the four Frontenac Townships. As a result, it is the responsibility of each Township to carry the financial cost of maintaining and repairing these roads, including those roads which handle regional traffic which were never designed for local traffic.

The importance of our roads in maintaining and improving the economy of Frontenac County cannot be underestimated. The Frontenacs are dependent on the road system for the movement of goods and services, as well as for access to health and social services and for tourism use.

4.1.1.2 Goals

The goal of recognizing a County-wide road network is to support the long-term viability of a regional road system to ensure access throughout the Frontenacs and connections to the surrounding region.

4.1.1.3 Regional Road Network

This Plan identifies a regional road network on Schedule "A". These are roads that are recognized as having regional importance in moving people and goods within and outside the County. It is recognized that these roads are owned and maintained by each of the four Townships. It is the intention of County Council to recognize that this road system is an essential part of the infrastructure that supports the County.

The County supports a cross-jurisdictional collaborative approach to managing and maintaining these regional roads, and to develop a transportation system that will encourage unity within the County and will satisfy local municipal transportation demands.

The County will investigate and support all senior government programs that will provide financial investment in the regional transportation infrastructure.

The County supports collaboration with the City of Kingston on efforts to maintain and improve road connections between the County and the City.

4.1.1.4 Provincial Highway 7

Highway 7 is identified on Schedule "A". Highway 7 is a Provincial Highway that runs east-west through the middle of the County just north of the main village of Sharbot Lake. Historically, Highway 7 has been an important transportation route within Frontenac County. As growth continues in the Greater Toronto Area as well as the Ottawa Region it is expected that Highway 7 will undergo changes and handle additional traffic. The County will support improvements to Highway 7 that enhance safety and convenience for its residents and the travelling public.

Highway 7 is designated by the Province as a special controlled access highway. Any development adjacent to or impacting Highway 7 requires the issue of permits from the Ministry of Transportation so that the long term function of the highway is maintained.

The Highway 7 / Road 38 intersection is an important commercial node for both the travelling public and for local residents. The County supports the continued viability of this area and any policies or measures to strengthen the commercial uses at this intersection. The County will support and work with the Township of Central Frontenac on any measures to request the Ministry of Transportation to reduce speed levels through this area for safety and also to allow for easier access to the stores and gas stations at this intersection.

4.1.1.5 Provincial Highway 41

Highway 41 is identified on Schedule "A" and is the major north-south link in the northwestern part of the County. It provides access to Bon Echo Provincial Park and contains many services for residents and cottagers in the northern Frontenac County. Highway 41 is a Provincial Highway that provides access to Pembroke and beyond, and should continue to be maintained by the Province.

4.1.1.6 Private Roads

The County is undertaking private roads study in 2015. The results of this work will assist the County and its lower-tiers in developing a measurable and enforceable mechanism aimed at creating reasonable and safe development of private roads.

4.1.2 Rural Public Transportation**4.1.2.1 Introduction**

Providing public transportation options in a rural setting such as Frontenac County is challenging. The rural transportation problem is based on the fact that residents need mobility of some form in order to access their basic needs in a rural context, where distances are long and people and services are spread out. Transportation of some form is essential. Frontenac County is not unique in that reliable alternatives to the automobile to a large degree do not exist, and transportation access directly equates to personal vehicle access or ownership.

Transportation provides access to social programs, pharmacies, banking facilities, post offices, and health centres. In terms of economic development, public transportation can provide access to training, education, and employment opportunities that allow people to remain living in the rural area of the Frontenacs.

4.1.2.2 Goal

To provide a safe, convenient, effective transportation system for all citizens of Frontenac County, including those citizens who do not have access to a personal vehicle.

4.1.2.3 Policies

The County will support efforts to develop a public transportation system that is sustainable in the long term and which is based on four cornerstones of a functional system: affordability; availability; accessibility; and acceptability.

The County recognizes and supports the efforts of Frontenac Transportation Services (FTS) to provide volunteer-led transportation for individuals throughout the Frontenacs. County Council will consider a longer term investment (e.g., five years) to FTS to allow it to plan services beyond an annual basis.

The County will explore alternatives and consider various rural transportation models that could be effective in the context of the rural density of the Frontenacs, including community-based models.

The County will work with community groups such as community care organizations, charities, and volunteers to review their potential involvement and operation of a rural transportation system.

The County will investigate various funding options from senior levels of government and other organizations to operate and maintain a public rural transportation system.

The County will work with the Townships to support carpooling initiatives and the development of new and expanded carpool lots along major transportation routes, including the Road 38 corridor, Perth Road, Battersea Road, and/or Sydenham Road.

The County will investigate collaborative operations with the City of Kingston to improve public transportation options into the rural area of the City and into the County.

The County encourages the development of transit-supportive communities in order to increase the future potential of efficient rural transit in the Frontenacs. This includes the creation of active transportation connections within and between settlement areas and the clustering of transit-supportive uses such as schools, businesses, social services, and health facilities within settlement areas.

4.1.3 Pedestrian

4.1.3.1 Policies

Providing facilities for convenient pedestrian movement is important. The provision of sidewalks on one side of local roads and both sides of collector and arterial roads is encouraged wherever practical.

To encourage pedestrian travel, streetscapes in villages and hamlets should be safe, convenient, and attractive for pedestrians. This may include providing sidewalks, locating commercial uses at street level, providing appropriate lighting, street furniture, landscaping, and ensuring that it meets accessibility requirements.

Sidewalks are encouraged in conjunction with the development of new roads within settlement areas.

The County will encourage the development and enhancement of pedestrian trails throughout the Frontenacs, including multiple use trails.

The County supports trail routes created by a linked system between community facilities and major parks and open space areas.

4.1.4 Trail Development

4.1.4.1 Policies

County Council recognizes that trails (both for walking and cycling) can provide significant health, transportation, environmental, and economic benefits, including the following:

- Active transportation modes use no fossil fuels and emit no gas emissions;
- Cycling and walking provide an enjoyable, convenient, and affordable means of travel;
- Physical activity improves cardiovascular and mental health, and is linked to the prevention of a number of diseases; and
- Cycle tourism can benefit the economy of businesses across the Frontenacs.

The County's goal is to establish a well-connected system of trails throughout the Frontenacs that will provide residents and visitors the opportunity to engage in active healthy lifestyles, to travel to key destinations, and experience the vistas provided by the County's natural features and cultural resources.

The County will use the County of Frontenac Trails Master Plan (2009) to direct trail development, uses, location, and implementation that will guide future development of a trails system for the next 20 years.

The County will build on existing trail systems in the Frontenacs, including the Frontenac K&P Trail, the Rideau Trail, and the Cataraqui (TransCanada) Trail, to connect into the County's hamlets and villages, roads and waterbodies, with the goal of developing a user-friendly network.

The public shall be consulted on trail development and the implementation of routes and facilities.

The County will use the following trail selection principles as a basis for new trail creation:

- Safety
- Visibility
- Destination-Oriented
- Attractive and Scenic
- Connectivity

4.1.4.2 Special Policies: K & P Trail

The Frontenac K&P Trail is named after the Kingston & Pembroke Railway, a rail line that was built from Kingston to Renfrew between 1871 and 1885. The railway was established by a local group of Kingston businessmen who wanted to see the construction of a rail line north to the Ottawa Valley for the purpose of gaining access to the natural resources (logging and mining) and to potential markets in the north. The expected volume of rail activity never materialized and the railway was eventually purchased by the Canadian Pacific Railway in 1901. Over time the entire line was abandoned, with the final active portion between Tichborne and Kingston shut down in 1986.

The Frontenac K&P Trail right-of-way runs in a north-south direction across the mainland of the County and into the City of Kingston. The County owns a large portion of the southern section of the right-of-way, other portions are owned by North and Central Frontenac Townships, and other portions are privately owned. To the south, the City of Kingston owns the K&P right-of-way and has converted the majority of it to an active trail which the County trail connects to.

Conversion of the rail right-of-way to an active trail began in 2012 with the trail developed between Orser Road – connecting with the City trail – north to Harrowsmith, and the trail has since continued northward.

The main purpose of the Frontenac K&P Trail is to develop a trail spine through the Frontenacs that can provide linkages with other trails throughout the area.

The completion of the Frontenac K&P Trail through to the developed portion of the K&P which starts in the Township of Central Frontenac at Sharbot Lake, travels through the Township of North Frontenac, into Lanark Highlands and finally meeting up with Greater Madawaska south of Calabogie, will greatly enhance trail systems in the Frontenacs and recognize our rich heritage.

4.1.4.2.1 Policies

The County will use the K&P Implementation Plan (2009) as the guiding policy document to direct trail development, uses, land acquisition, and phasing program to guide the development of the K&P trail system.

In conjunction with the Townships the County will endeavour to keep the trail continuous in order to make it more attractive to users and more identifiable as a linear trail. Re-routing may take place where the County does not own the property and an easement agreement cannot be established with the landowner.

The County will work with the City of Kingston to ensure that the K&P trail system is maintained and/or enhanced to a common standard.

4.1.5 Ferry Services

4.1.5.1 Background

The Township of Frontenac Islands relies on ferry services to access the mainland.

Howe Island is served by two ferries: a fifteen car cable ferry located at the west end of the island that is operated by the County and owned and maintained by the Ministry of Transportation which operates on demand on a continual basis and docks at Howe Island Ferry Road in the City of Kingston; and a three car cable ferry (known as the Foot Ferry) located at the east end of the island which also operates on demand over an 18 hour period and which docks at Howe Island Ferry Road East in the Township of Leeds and the Thousand Islands.

Wolfe Island is served by a fifty-five car ferry (Wolfe Islander III) that docks at the Island at both the village of Marysville and, in the winter, at Dawson's Point, and at the Barrack Street dock in downtown Kingston.

Simcoe Island is served by a three car cable ferry operated by the Township of Frontenac Islands that connects to Wolfe Island.

There is also a privately operated ferry (Horne's Ferry) that runs from May to October from the south side of Wolfe Island to Cape Vincent, New York.

4.1.5.2 Policies

County Council recognizes that the ferry transportation system is essential to the sustainability of these islands, and that this ferry service is an integral part of Frontenac County's regional transportation system.

The County will support efforts by Frontenac Islands to maintain adequate service, including:

- the monitoring of ferry capacity and usage;
- the review, from time to time, of opportunities to improve the ferry service both through expanded capacity and improvements to infrastructure such as terminals and parking facilities;
- seeking support from the Province of Ontario in the ongoing maintenance and possible future expansion of ferry operations;
- consideration of public/private partnerships and development opportunities which would result in a net improvement to access to Wolfe and Howe Islands; and
- pursue opportunities with neighbouring municipalities in seeking joint solutions which result in ferry access improvements.

The County intends to support and work with the Township of Frontenac Islands in any identification, survey, analysis and design of any ferry facilities expansion or improvement on lands within the City of Kingston to ensure the provision of appropriate vehicle access, pedestrian and bicycle access routes which can be integrated into the City's transportation system.

4.1.6 Scenic Routes

A scenic route or by-way is a specially designated road, waterway, or trail that travels through an area of cultural or natural beauty. Frontenac County's location and scenic assets provide ample opportunities for creating scenic or heritage routes. These scenic routes draw both local residents and tourists to the scenic areas of the County.

County Council supports the development of scenic routes across the County, and the development of a regional signage strategy to promote these routes.

Scenic routes should be designated for roadways and also for trail systems throughout the Frontenacs.

4.2 Servicing

4.2.1 Long Range Planning For Municipal Services

4.2.1.1 Introduction

The provision of municipal infrastructure such as roads, street lighting, and municipal water is necessary to support long term viability of the communities located in the Frontenacs.

Frontenac County recognizes the importance of providing municipal infrastructure in a timely fashion and that the maintenance and sustainability of existing infrastructure assets is fundamental to the continued variety and growth of settlement areas across the County.

This Plan recognizes that the responsibility for the planning, construction, and maintenance of municipal infrastructure is the responsibility of the Townships. This Plan also supports the continued revitalization of local infrastructure.

4.2.1.2 Goal

To ensure that there is adequate provision of services and utilities consistent with the environmental, cultural, and economic goals of the County.

4.2.1.3 Objectives

- To encourage the provision of adequate municipal services to achieve and facilitate orderly growth.
- To improve the natural environment and maintain a clean and healthy level of water quality based on a watershed approach.
- To ensure that citizens of Frontenac County have access to potable drinking water.
- To promote waste reduction and waste management as per Section 4.3.
- To encourage techniques for energy conservation including measures set out in Section 2.1.4

To accommodate growth in an organized manner to minimize capital and operating costs for the Townships.

4.2.1.4 Policies

All new development within Settlement Areas will be provided with appropriate services to sustain permanent occupancy.

The County supports and can work with the Townships to coordinate infrastructure and public service facilities such as potential municipal water and sewage, and will ensure that such facilities are strategically located to support effective and efficient delivery of services across Township boundaries. Accordingly, Frontenac County Council may authorize the development of regional level strategies in order to plan collaboratively for future capital improvements.

Both the County and the Townships will monitor new technologies – in areas such as broadband, water treatment, and septic systems – that would be beneficial to residents and businesses and which would best be coordinated across municipal boundaries and will work together to develop strategies to ensure that optimal services can be provided in a timely and efficient manner.

4.2.1.4.5 Special Policies: Future Village Water Supply Protection

With the exception of the village of Sydenham, not one of the villages and hamlets in Frontenac County has a municipal water supply. Villages such as Sharbot Lake, Marysville, Verona, Plevna, and Harrowsmith are historical settlements that date back to the mid-nineteenth century in development. Many of the building lots in the village cores are too small relative to today's health and safety standards with regard to the minimum lot size of approximately 1 hectare (2 acres) to ensure a long term potable water supply on private well and septic systems. The fact that most of the County is set on the Precambrian Shield, which is prone to cracking and seepage, increases the risk of septage movement over a large area. Scientific research has identified the

bacteriological contamination of groundwater as a local concern in parts of the Shield area.

Significant investment in public infrastructure is common in all villages in the Frontenacs including road maintenance, sidewalk construction, street lighting, signage, and parks and recreation facilities. Also, the majority of commercial assessment in the County is located in the villages. This reinforces the importance of the stability and improvement of all the County's villages which serve as community focal points for the surrounding region.

To help ensure that these villages can sustain commercial and residential use and remain a valuable part of sustaining rural living, the County supports long term planning for potential municipal water systems in villages. This planning shall include the following:

- Facilitate the preparation, implementation and monitoring of the Source Water Protection Plans;
- Work with the Townships to investigate and analyze lands adjacent to a village that could be purchased by the County or the Townships for the future site of a municipal well; and further, if necessary, work with the Townships to apply land use controls to surrounding properties to ensure long term protection of the water source.
- Prepare a region-wide review of villages and hamlets which could require municipal water systems in the future and develop a priority list for local government investment.
- Establishment of a County reserve fund for drinking water protection that can be used in the investment of municipal infrastructure for water systems when required.
- Work with provincial and federal governments to seek funding to invest in municipal water systems.

4.2.1.5 — Municipal Water and Wastewater Services

With the exception of the village of Sydenham, not one of the villages and hamlets in Frontenac County has a municipal water supply. Villages such as Sharbot Lake, Marysville, Verona, Plevna, and Harrowsmith are historical settlements that date back to the mid-nineteenth century in development. Many of the building lots in the village cores are too small relative to today's health and safety standards with regard to the minimum lot size of approximately 1 hectare (2 acres) to ensure a long term potable water supply on private well and septic systems. The fact that most of the County is set on the Precambrian Shield, which is prone to cracking and seepage, increases the risk of septage movement over a large area. Scientific research has identified the bacteriological contamination of groundwater as a local concern in parts of the Shield area.

Significant investment in public infrastructure is common in all villages in the Frontenacs including road maintenance, sidewalk construction, street lighting, signage, and parks and recreation facilities. Also, the majority of

commercial assessment in the County is located in the villages. This reinforces the importance of the stability and improvement of all the County's villages which serve as community focal points for the surrounding region.

The following servicing policies shall apply throughout the County:

1. Municipal sewage services and municipal water services are the preferred form of servicing for settlement areas.
2. Where municipal sewage services and municipal water services are not provided, Townships may allow the use of private communal sewage services and private communal water services.
3. Partial services shall only be permitted in the following circumstances:
 - a) where they are necessary to address failed individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services in existing development; or
 - b) within settlement areas, to allow for infilling and minor rounding out of existing development on partial services provided that site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision of such services with no negative impacts.

Subject to the hierarchy of services provided in the above policies and Section 4.2.1.6 — Private Services, planning authorities may allow lot creation only if there is confirmation of sufficient reserve sewage system capacity and reserve water system capacity within municipal sewage services and municipal water services or private communal sewage services and private communal water services. The determination of sufficient reserve sewage system capacity shall include treatment capacity for hauled sewage from private communal sewage services and individual on-site sewage services.

4.2.1.6 - Private Services

1. Where municipal sewage services and municipal water services or private communal sewage services and private communal water services are not provided, individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services may be used provided that site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision of such services with no negative impacts. In settlement areas, these services may only be used for infilling and minor rounding out of existing development.

Negative Impacts shall be defined for the purposes of this section and Section 4.2.1.5 as degradation to the quality and quantity of water, sensitive surface water features and sensitive groundwater features, and their related hydrologic functions, due to single, multiple or successive development. Negative impacts should be assessed through environmental studies including hydrogeological or water quality impact assessments, in accordance with provincial standards.

4.2.2 Storm Water Management Planning

4.2.2.1 Introduction

Storm water management plans are usually required for new Greenfield development. Many new Greenfield developments are proposed through a plan of subdivision or plan of condominium and are therefore subject to approval by Frontenac County Council. The purpose of such a plan is to develop methods to control flooding, ponding, erosion, and sedimentation. Storm water plans also help to protect water quality and aquatic habitat and other natural habitat which depend upon watercourses and other water bodies for their existence. This is especially important considering the many lakes within the Frontenacs and the continuing demand for waterfront lots.

4.2.2.2 Policies

Storm water management plans may be required for any new development consisting of more than four lots or for commercial or industrial developments with large areas of impervious surface (e.g., asphalt parking and loading areas).

Storm water plans shall be prepared in accordance with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change Guideline, Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual.

When reviewing any such development proposals the approval authorities will encourage the retention of existing tree cover or natural vegetation and the provision of significant grassed and natural areas shall be encouraged to facilitate absorption of surface water into the ground.

4.3 Waste Management

4.3.1 Introduction

One of the major infrastructure and land use planning issues that the Frontenacs will be dealing with over the next twenty years is planning ahead for waste management across the County.

This Plan recognizes that each of the four Townships are proactive in their approach to reducing solid waste reaching landfill sites, and also working to continually increase the amount of materials that are being recycled. The challenge of innovation is affected to some degree by the financial capabilities of each municipality in balancing waste management with other infrastructure issues such as roads and the relatively low tax base to apply to capital investment. In the long term, a collaborative approach to waste management may lead to both a reduction in waste and cost efficiencies.

With landfills reaching carrying capacity throughout the County, there is a need to plan to reduce the production of wasteful materials. This, combined with new and innovative waste management technologies, can establish the Frontenacs as leaders in

responsible and timely action to mitigate County-wide issues relating to solid waste management.

4.3.2 Goal

That the Frontenacs should become part of an integrated waste management system that ensures environmentally responsible methods of waste management and reaches beyond its borders for solutions that are scaled to achieve regional efficiencies.

4.3.3 Objectives

- To ensure that all Frontenac County citizens have access to waste management facilities (or pick up) within close proximity to their homes and businesses.
- To continue to reduce solid waste to improve the environment.
- To encourage new methods of waste management to extend the life of existing landfill sites across the County.
- To encourage the governments of the Frontenacs to work together over the long term to develop a collaborative approach to waste management and recycling over the next twenty years.
- To recognize waste management as one of the primary focus areas of the County's sustainability plan, Directions for Our Future, and to explore a waste management approach that responds to local needs, with the ultimate goal of achieving 'Zero Waste'.

4.3.4 Policies

This Plan encourages the application of the principles of "reduce, reuse and recycle" in order to reduce solid waste disposal needs and increase the lifespan of landfill sites. A Waste Management Strategy will outline targets for waste reduction in accordance with Ministry of Environment and Climate Change requirements.

The County and the Townships may collaborate on initiatives that support working together to create a region-wide Waste Management Plan that deals with recycling, diversion, collection, and disposal to accommodate present and future requirements.

The Townships and the County may work together to explore new technologies and waste diversion options. **Waste management systems shall be located and designed in accordance with provincial legislation and standards.**

4.4 Utility and Communications Facilities Corridors

4.4.1 Introduction

The sustainability, health, and safety of Frontenac residents and its economy is closely linked to the hydroelectric corridors and utilities networks and related facilities that serve the region. These facilities and corridors include a wide variety of utilities that are owned

and operated by both public and private entities, including broadband and fibre optic networks. Also, some waterfront areas are serviced by underwater utilities.

4.4.2 Policies

The development of utility and communications facilities and corridors are permitted throughout the County provided that they are in full compliance with applicable Federal or Provincial requirements.

To ensure compatibility, the following criteria should be considered and brought to the attention of the public or private operator:

- The use is necessary in the proposed location;
- Due consideration has been given to alternative locations that may result in better compatibility; and
- The proposed utility will be designed to be as compatible as practical with surrounding land uses.

The multiple use of corridors, new or existing, shall be encouraged.

Secondary uses, such as active and passive recreation, agriculture, community gardens, other utilities and uses such as parking lots and outdoor storage that are accessory to adjacent land uses, are encouraged on hydro corridor lands, where compatible with surrounding land uses. However, a proponent should be aware of the primacy of the electricity transmission and distribution facilities and that secondary uses require technical approval from the applicable utility or facility manager.

Easements are preferred over severances in the establishment of utility corridors so as to prevent the unnecessary fragmentation of land.

4.5 Community Improvement Plans

4.5.1 Introduction

Community Improvement Plans (CIPs) are one of the many sustainable community planning tools found in the Planning Act. They can help communities and municipalities address challenges that prevent optimization of areas that are currently underutilized. This tool provides a means of planning and financing development activities that effectively assist in use, reuse and restoring lands, buildings and infrastructure. It is a tool that ties together economic development, infrastructure, and planning.

The typical focus of these plans is on the revitalization and improvement of the main street of a town, village or hamlet. CIPs can also touch on a wide range of related topics including green energy, brownfields, and recreational opportunities. They are in wide use across the province and have recently been implemented for the first time in Frontenac County as a result of Council's investment arising from Directions for Our Future.

CIPs allow municipalities to provide grants and loans to owners and tenants, with the goal of supporting the improvement and rehabilitation of a targeted area. This can

include projects such as improving the facade of a main street store and providing incentives to redevelop vacant properties. CIPs can also help to coordinate infrastructure and public space improvements, and can be used by municipalities to acquire, rehabilitate and dispose of land (e.g., a former industrial site).

County Council has recognized that there are a number of communities that could potentially benefit from a Community Improvement Plan and have begun investing in these communities through the sustainability plan.

4.5.2 Goal

It shall be the goal of using Community Improvement Plans to promote the coordinated implementation of community planning and land use planning programs, comprised of maintaining, rehabilitating, and redeveloping the physical, social, and economic components of an area in the Frontenacs.

4.5.3 Objectives

It is the intent of this Plan for the County to work with the Townships to provide for the on-going maintenance, improvement, rehabilitation and upgrading of residential, commercial, recreational, and industrial areas in the region.

4.5.4 Policies

This Plan supports the development of Community Improvement Plans in all areas of the Frontenacs, whether they are focused on one community or village, or whether they cover a larger area, including an entire Township.

It shall be a policy of County Council to provide a financial investment for at least one CIP in each of the four Townships to help facilitate investment by both property owners and the respective municipality. To support community revitalization and economic development, Council may consider investments in additional CIPs.

County and Township Councils may collaborate with other public agencies such as the Community Futures Development Corporation (CFDC) to seek additional investment opportunities in a designated CIP area.

4.5.4.1 Special Policy – Regional Community Improvement Plans

There are a number of planning and sustainability issues that may be better addressed at a regional level to allow for greater participation in a CIP program and to deal with cross-boundary community improvement issues (e.g., trails, renewable energy projects, transportation corridors).

County Council shall seek to become a prescribed municipality for the purposes of Section 28 (2) of the Planning Act immediately following approval of this Plan.

4.6 Parks and Open Space

4.6.1 Introduction

Frontenac County contains a rich natural environment that is thriving. The Frontenacs strive to balance the protection of natural areas with opportunity for discovering the outdoors. The result is a healthy mix of managed forest, trails, farmland, wetlands and waterbodies. Within this system, the Frontenacs contain a large number of regional public parks and open space systems that allow both residents and visitors to enjoy the expansive natural setting.

Three Provincial Parks are present in the County: Bon Echo, Sharbot Lake, , and Frontenac Parks. All provide access to lakes and allow for many recreational activities. The County also contains a large area of Crown Land, mostly in the northern half of the region. Crown Land and the lakes within them are used for a variety of purposes, including logging, hunting, backpacking, canoeing/boating, and trail use. Conservation reserves and conservation areas throughout the County serve important roles for recreation and environmental protection.

North Frontenac Township also maintains almost 200 backcountry campsites and hiking trails on twelve lakes and they form another important part of public open space areas.

At the southern end of the Frontenacs the public have the opportunity to visit the 400 hectare (1,000 acre) Big Sandy Bay area on Wolfe Island, a crown land area which is managed by the **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry** and Frontenac Islands Township.

Also, there are the lockstations along the portion of the Rideau Canal within the County that serve as passive, recreational, and cultural heritage open spaces for both land and boating visitors alike.

4.6.2 Policies

The County supports the continued operation and long term use of all regional park systems throughout the Frontenacs.

The County will coordinate with the Townships, Ontario Parks (MNR), and other agencies on the establishment of regionally significant open space networks and linkages across jurisdictional boundaries that will complement local parks planning.

The County will explore funding mechanisms to support regionally significant open space and parkland acquisitions.

The County recognizes the possible creation of a new regional park that is proposed to be created around Crotch Lake as part of the Algonquin Land Claim, and supports public participation and involvement in the development of such a park.

Section 5 – Housing and Social Services

The Housing and Social Services section contains policies that establish that Frontenac County is committed to providing a variety of housing types and social services to allow residents to enjoy a good quality of life. Services delivered include child-care, educational facilities and services, assisted living or long term care, employment services, affordable housing, transitional shelters, group homes, long term care and support services, and health facilities. The City of Kingston is responsible as the Service Manager for both the City and the County to make sure these services are available in the Frontenacs. Some of the social services are provided and funded directly by the Province or community agencies while others are provided through partnerships with the County. Volunteer groups also play an important role in the provision of social and health services within the community. The County will continue to partner with the Townships and various government and non-government agencies to deliver social services that are appropriate, effective and accessible.

The Municipal Housing Strategy (MHS) forms the basis for housing policy across the Frontenacs and in the City of Kingston as a result of its City/County approval. The MHS serves as the primary strategic plan to help guide and align local housing efforts, and is the foundation for the housing policies of this Plan.

5.1 Municipal Housing Strategy

5.1.1 Introduction

County Council and Kingston City Council adopted the Municipal Housing Strategy in 2011. The Strategy will act as a guide to create a ten year housing plan as required by Provincial legislation. It will help provide guidance for County Council to ensure that the citizens of the Frontenacs are housed in affordable, safe, sanitary, and adequate accommodation.

5.1.2 Policies

The Municipal Housing Strategy shall be considered the primary strategic plan to help guide and align local housing efforts across the County.

The County endorses the targets in the Municipal Housing Strategy for residential units to be added to the current housing stock over the next ten years and the goal of providing more affordable housing.

This Plan acknowledges that the Municipal Housing Strategy was updated in 2013 to include policies and strategies to reduce homelessness in both Kingston and Frontenac County. The County will work with the City as Service Manager to develop programs and projects to try to end homelessness in our region.

It is the intent of this Plan to encourage the use of surplus municipal land or facilities for the provision of housing. Each Township may consider adopting a 'Housing First' approach to coordinate surplus or disposal protocols for municipal lands and institutional agencies such as the local school boards.

The Municipal Housing Strategy will promote the periodic identification and monitoring of demographic changes and housing needs within the County to determine whether housing demands and needs are not or will not be met.

5.2 Affordable Housing

5.2.1 Introduction

Frontenac County contains many households where the annual income is below the Provincial average. This situation makes it challenging for people to find housing that is affordable within their budget. For the long term health of the community it is important that a wide variety of housing choices be made available.

5.2.2 Policies

The County and the Townships will work jointly to provide for affordable housing by enabling a wide range of housing types to meet the projected demographic and market requirements of existing and future residents of the County.

County Council will establish and monitor **housing targets for affordable housing an affordable housing target of 35%** for low and moderate income households in the Frontenacs, with a focus on methods of providing housing for the low-income residents of the County. Where specific needs are identified, Council will work with the City of Kingston (service manager for all of Frontenac County and the City) and the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to meet identified needs.

The Townships will, where appropriate, promote intensification in settlement areas through their planning documents. Examples include: allowing for the conversion of single detached houses into multiple units and permitting land severances on large underutilized properties which will allow for new residential development on the vacant severed parcel.

The County and the Townships will work to ensure a minimum 10-year supply of residential land across the Frontenacs at all times.

County Council will encourage and facilitate the efforts of non-profit housing and co-operative housing to provide affordable housing.

County Council will work with other levels of government to ensure that adequate resources are permitted to public sector housing programs and initiatives.

County Council may participate in the provision of housing, both directly and indirectly, in accordance with the general policy and program directions established in the Official Plan and other specific direction as may be identified in the Municipal Housing Strategy as updated from time to time.

County Council may assess different forms of housing design which make housing more affordable, and may investigate alternative dwelling design standards that may contribute to more affordable housing.

Community Improvement Plans should consider initiatives to promote affordable housing projects in each Township.

5.3 Seniors Housing

5.3.1 Introduction

The Municipal Housing Strategy has indicated that one of the biggest challenges across Frontenac County over the next twenty years to be confronted is providing housing choices for seniors who wish to remain in their community. With the existing residents aging, and with the migration of seniors settling in the County after converting their cottages to full time residential use, this issue will become a priority. The goal is to look at ways and means of finding housing types that will allow seniors to stay close to their communities and families.

5.3.2 Policies

The County and the Townships may work jointly to provide opportunities for new development or redevelopment that is sustainable in a rural context and which encourages housing that will help address the evolving needs of an aging population.

County Council supports the principle of aging in place as a way to address seniors housing options while at the same time encouraging more sustainable settlement areas.

This plan encourages identifying affordable seniors housing projects at a variety of scales as an eligible community improvement activity in the creation of Community Improvement Plans.

Where practical, it is the intent of this Plan to encourage the expansion of municipal servicing to help support appropriate multi-residential development to accommodate a seniors housing project.

It is recognized that Zoning By-laws can be barriers to the development of housing for senior citizens if these types of dwellings are only allowed in certain areas of a community. Therefore it is the intent of this Plan that the County and Townships work together to create any necessary revisions to Zoning By-laws that will help facilitate the development of seniors housing on a consistent and inclusive basis across the Frontenacs.

5.4 Group Homes

5.4.1 Introduction

Group homes are generally defined as residences licensed or funded under a federal or provincial statute for the accommodation of three to ten persons, exclusive of staff, living under supervision in a single housekeeping unit and who, by reason of their

emotional, mental, social or physical condition or legal status, require a group living arrangement for their well-being.

5.4.2 Policies

A group home shall be licensed and/or approved for funding under provincial statutes and in compliance with municipal by-laws. (Note: as defined under Section 163 of the *Municipal Act*).

Group homes shall be permitted in the Township Official Plans in all designations that permit residential use.

Group homes may be subject to Site Plan Control by the local Townships to address such matters as ensuring that the site design is in keeping with the character of the area and that sufficient space is available to accommodate the needs of the residents.

5.5 Homes for the Aged (Long-Term Care Complexes)

5.5.1 Introduction

There are currently no public long-term care complexes located in Frontenac County. There are two large-scale privately owned facilities nearby in Northbrook and in Perth that are used in part by Frontenac County residents. There are other private small scale facilities scattered throughout the County.

The Frontenac County home for the aged – Fairmount – is located in the rural area of the City of Kingston and accommodates Frontenac County residents.

5.5.2 Policies

It is the intent of this Plan to recognize the value of long-term care complexes to residents of Frontenac County.

The predominant uses for these complexes shall include resident rooms and beds, resident care and nursing facilities, therapy facilities, kitchen and dining facilities, offices, meeting rooms, recreation facilities, resident places of assembly, pharmacies, and open space areas.

Complementary uses can include such facilities as residential dwelling units for the elderly, day care facilities, and parking lots.

This Plan recognizes Fairmount Home as the County owned and operated long term care home that provides care for residents of Frontenac County, the City of Kingston, and other communities.

5.6 Secondary Suites

5.6.1 Introduction

The provision of affordable housing in communities is now considered to be a provincial interest in the Ontario Planning Act. As a result, the provincial government now has changed legislation to facilitate the creation of second units in dwellings.

5.6.2 Policies

Township Official Plans **shall permit may include policies to allow, where appropriate,** the use of a second residential unit in a detached house, semi-detached house or rowhouse if no building or structure ancillary to the house contains a second residential unit; and further, to allow the use of a residential unit in a building or structure ancillary to a detached house, semi-detached house, or rowhouse if the house contains a single residential unit. This policy shall not apply to existing sleeping cabins or 'bunkies' located on waterfront properties.

5.7 Accessibility

5.7.1 Introduction

Frontenac County is committed to improve access and opportunities for persons with disabilities in accordance with the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act.

5.7.2 Policies

As part of the commitment to improve accessibility in the Frontenacs, the County will:

- a) Have regard to accessibility for persons with disabilities when considering draft plans of subdivision;
- b) Establish a process to identify barriers and gaps in by-laws, policies, programs, practices, and services;
- c) Continue to improve the level of accessibility of by-laws, policies, programs, practices, and services;
- d) Actively encourage input from the community and the Accessibility Advisory Committee appointed by the Frontenac County Council in the design, development and operation of new, renovated, purchased or leased municipal services and facilities;
- e) Improve accessibility to persons with disabilities to encourage their integration into the economic, political, social, cultural and educational mainstream; and
- f) Provide resources and support to attain these objectives.

Section 6 – Heritage and Culture

The County of Frontenac is rich with history, heritage, and culture, and as such it is recognized as one of the key focus areas in *Directions for Our Future*. Heritage is a crucial part of what makes the Frontenacs a great place to live. It has the power to allow citizens to understand where we have come from and helps promote an appreciation of local identity and shared community.

In recognition of the non-renewable nature of cultural heritage resources, the County of Frontenac **shall identify and conserve those resources will encourage their conservation** in a manner that respects their heritage value, ensures their viability for the future, and allows them to continue their contribution to the character, community pride, tourism attraction potential, economic development, and historical appreciation of the region.

6.1 General Policies

It is the intent of this Plan that the County's significant cultural heritage resources be identified, conserved and **whenever practical, enhanced, enhance whenever practical** and that new development take place in a manner that respects the County's rich cultural heritage. The cultural heritage resources of the County generally include:

- a) Built heritage resources;
- b) Cultural heritage landscapes; and
- c) Archaeological resources.

Township Official Plans **shall are encouraged to** include policies that are intended to implement this policy direction, including the requirement of a heritage impact assessment prior to development taking place on lands that contain or are adjacent to cultural heritage resources. A heritage impact assessment should generally outline the context of the proposal, any potential impacts the proposal may have on the heritage resource, and any mitigative measures that are necessary to avoid or lessen the negative impact on the heritage resource **to ensure their cultural heritage value or interest is retained under the Ontario Heritage Act.**

The Townships are encouraged to establish Municipal Heritage Committees pursuant to the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

The Townships are encouraged to support the use of Community Improvement Plans under the *Planning Act* to help protect, promote and support cultural heritage resources, especially the adaptive re-use of old or heritage buildings.

The County and the Townships shall consider the interests of Aboriginal communities in conserving cultural heritage and archaeological resources.

6.2 Archaeological Resources

The County recognizes that there are archaeological resources of pre-contact and early historic habitation as well as areas of archaeological potential within the County that can be adversely affected by development or redevelopment.

The Townships and/or the County will require archaeological assessments and the preservation or excavation of **significant archaeological resources including significant marine archaeological resources in** accordance with Provincial requirements.

Township Official Plans shall require the preparation of archaeological assessments on lands containing archaeological resources or in areas or archaeological potential. Areas of archaeological potential shall be determined through the use of provincial screening criteria, or criteria developed by a licensed consultant archaeologist based on the known archaeological record of the municipality and its surrounding region. Such criteria may include a range of environmental, physiographic and historical features, information from local stakeholders and the effects of past land use.

Designation:

The Townships are encouraged to utilize the Ontario Heritage Act to conserve, protect and enhance the cultural heritage resources in their municipality through the designation by by-law of individual properties, conservation heritage districts and cultural heritage landscapes.

Council shall encourage the conservation of cultural heritage resources by:

- conserving and mitigating impacts to all significant cultural heritage resources, when undertaking public works;
- respecting the heritage designations and other heritage conservation efforts by area municipalities.

6.3 Algonquin Aboriginal Interests

This Plan recognizes that lands within the boundaries of Frontenac County lie within the historic Algonquin Territory that is part of current Treaty Negotiations with the Federal and Provincial Crowns. Some lands within North Frontenac, Central Frontenac, and South Frontenac Townships are within the Algonquin land claim area. Figure 6 illustrates the general boundary of the land claim within the Frontenacs. As such, this Plan will respond to direction from the Federal and Provincial Crowns as to the progress of these negotiations and will incorporate any Official Plan requirements that arise from the Settlement Agreement. In the interim, the County will seek opportunities for mutually beneficial engagement with the Algonquins on matters that affect aboriginal history and culture.

6.3.1 Policies

The County of Frontenac and/or the Townships may consult with the Algonquins of Ontario with regard to land use planning affecting any of the following matters within the land claim area:

- a) Protection of water quality and utilization of lakes and rivers including the Rideau Canal within the land claim area;
- b) Any development that would have an impact on navigable waterways and their waterbeds;
- c) Any archaeological studies related to proposed development where areas of Algonquin interest have been identified; and

- d) Any Environmental Impact Studies related to proposed development where areas of Algonquin interest have been identified.

DRAFT




Figure 6
Algonquin Land Claim Territory

6.4 Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site

The Rideau Canal National Historic Site and UNESCO World Heritage Site, administered by Parks Canada, travels through the southeast portion of South Frontenac Township. The canal's value lies in a combination of the engineering and military achievement of the construction of the canal and the associated canal structures and buildings, the continuity and integrity of the lockstations, its continuous seasonal operation since 1832, and the unique historical environment, which together constitute a cultural heritage resource of national significance and outstanding universal value. Parks Canada's jurisdiction includes the bed of the canal up to the upper controlled water elevation and the lockstations.

In order to recognize and protect the cultural heritage resource significance of the Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site, the County has participated in an initiative, the Rideau Corridor Landscape Strategy, along with representatives from First Nations, federal and provincial agencies, municipalities, non-governmental organizations, property owners and others. A goal of the Strategy is to develop and recommend planning and management tools for municipalities and other jurisdictions that:

- a) identify and protect the cultural heritage landscapes, Algonquin history, and built heritage resources of the waterway;
- b) introduce design guidelines that can be implemented through the site plan control process, for new development along the waterway; and
- c) identify measures to conserve the terrestrial and marine archaeological resources of the Rideau Canal.

Both this Plan and the South Frontenac Township Official Plan will recognize the policy directions coming out of this Strategy.

6.5 Frontenac Arch UNESCO Biosphere Reserve

The Frontenac Arch can be described as an ancient granite 'bridge' between the Canadian Shield and the Adirondack Mountains. Due to both its rich natural environment and its human history it was recognized in 2002 as a UNESCO World Biosphere Reserve.

The Frontenac Arch Biosphere lies on the Central Canadian portion of the Frontenac Arch. It covers approximately 2,700 sq km and covers part of both Frontenac County and the Leeds-Grenville County, including communities such as Brockville, Gananoque, Westport, Harrowsmith, Verona and Godfrey. Figure 7 illustrates the Biosphere area and its coverage within the Frontenacs.

It is the intent of this Plan to recognize the natural and historic value of the Frontenac Arch to our region and to promote its long term viability.



Section 7 – Environmental Sustainability

The County of Frontenac covers a large geographic area which is comprised of a rich natural environment that makes the region a unique place to live, work and play. This natural environment includes natural assets, natural sites, and natural attractions. The value of the natural environment for the County is more than just ecological health; it contributes to our economy and our society as well.

In addition to the Township Official Plans the following policy sections will apply:

- The Environmental Sustainability section sets out policies that are intended to help ensure that the environment that is so valued by residents in Frontenac County can be maintained and enhanced for future generations, and the health of humans and of the environment is protected.
- The Natural Environment section outlines the natural heritage system in the County and provides policies for protection of natural features in the Frontenacs.
- The Water Resources section includes policies recognizing the role of a watershed planning approach and of the importance of sourcewater protection plans in ensuring safe and plentiful drinking water.
- Hazard Lands Policies ensure that development is prohibited or strictly limited in areas that could have potential for natural hazards such as flooding and erosion.

7.1 Natural Environment

7.1.1 Introduction

The County of Frontenac natural heritage system is defined as an ecologically based delineation of nature and natural function – a system of connected, or to be connected, green and natural areas that provide ecological functions over a long period of time and enable movement of species. Natural heritage systems encompass or incorporate natural features, functions and linkages as component parts within them and across the landscape. A natural heritage system also supports natural processes which are necessary to maintain biological and geological diversity, natural functions, viable populations of indigenous species and ecosystems.

The natural heritage system illustrated on Appendices “1A”, “1B”, and “1C” use current standards and procedures such as the Natural Heritage Reference Manual (MNR 2010) and Provincial Policy Statement to identify natural features of interest, which include significant wetlands, significant coastal wetlands, fish habitat, significant woodlands, significant valleylands, habitat of endangered species and threatened species, significant wildlife habitat, and significant areas of natural and scientific interest.

Responsibility for the environment is shared among Federal and Provincial governments, the County, Townships, the Conservation Authorities (Quinte Conservation, Cataraqui Region Conservation Authority, Rideau Valley Conservation Authority, and Mississippi Valley Conservation) and private landowners. All have an important role in enhancing the natural environment within the County, and all have the responsibility to be good stewards. As a result, preserving a natural heritage system requires co-operation among agencies, private landholders and the wider community.

The natural heritage system, and the ecological functions it provides, contributes to maintaining the environmental health of the County of Frontenac. This Section of the Official Plan establishes a policy framework for a co-operative approach to the identification of the environmental features that comprise the natural heritage system. It also outlines how provincially and regionally significant features should be maintained, enhanced or, wherever feasible, restored and encourages the establishment of linkages among elements of the natural heritage system.

The natural heritage system is a layered approach to environmental protection comprised of features delineated on Appendices "1A", "1B, and "1C", and described in this section of the Official Plan. Each layer contains policies that provide appropriate protection to areas of environmental significance. Notably, the County of Frontenac's natural heritage system includes natural linkages and biodiversity areas. Through linkages and biodiversity areas, we acknowledge that our system is not an isolated one. We are interconnected to the natural heritage beyond our boundaries and we value our local biosphere – the Frontenac Arch – as well as our global biosphere, Earth.

In this context it is important for Frontenac County to use a regional approach to ensure that significant natural heritage characteristics are protected for future generations.

Nothing in Section 7 is intended to limit the ability of the agricultural uses to continue.

7.1.2 Goal

The goal of the natural heritage system is to work with the Province, Townships, the Conservation Authorities and private landowners to maintain, enhance and restore a comprehensive natural heritage system within the County.

7.1.3 Objectives

To achieve the goal of maintaining and enhancing a comprehensive natural heritage system, this Official Plan will:

- Identify and describe the component environmental features of the natural heritage system;
- Incorporate policies addressing land use and environmental preservation, conservation, and management that conform to the Provincial Policy Statement;
- Illustrate the natural heritage system on Official Plan mapping at the regional scale;
- Provide a mechanism for the refinement of the natural heritage system at the site-specific level;
- Identify, describe, and incorporate policies addressing County of Frontenac-specific natural linkages and biodiversity areas; and,
- Encourage the Townships to refine the natural heritage system to include important local features and linkages, where appropriate.

7.1.4 Policies

7.1.4.1 Wetlands

Introduction

Wetlands are an important part of the County's biodiversity. They provide a wide variety of ecological, economic and social benefits for both humans and wildlife. Wetlands help reduce erosion, decrease flood damage, improve and maintain good water quality, provide important fish and wildlife habitat, ensure a stable, long-term supply of groundwater (by contributing to the recharge and discharge), provide recreation and tourism opportunities, limit greenhouse gas emissions (by acting as carbon sinks), and provide valuable economic products, such as timber, commercial baitfish, wild rice and natural medicines.

Council recognizes that the ecology of water systems contains a complex environment of plants, animals, and water which represents a highly valued resource in the Frontenacs, and that wetlands and riparian vegetation are part of the make-up of that ecology.

Policies

- The County of Frontenac recognizes the importance and value of wetlands in the County and supports their protection.
- Appendices "1A", "1B", and "1C" illustrate the County-wide natural heritage system, and generally **identify-designate on a land use schedule** provincially significant wetlands, coastal wetlands and other wetlands.
- The Township Official Plans shall identify and protect those wetlands where development and site alteration is prohibited, including provincially significant wetlands and **significant** coastal wetlands. If at any time during the duration of this Plan any additional provincially significant wetlands are identified in the County of Frontenac by the **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry**, the policies in this Plan and the Township Official Plans related to significant wetlands shall apply and the appropriate schedules shall be updated to reflect the new provincially significant wetlands without amendment to the plan.
- The County of Frontenac encourages the Townships to adopt mechanisms (such as site plan control, consent or development agreements) that would minimize and control the removal of vegetation, and ensure the protection of naturally vegetated buffers adjacent to any provincially significant wetlands.
- Other wetlands have also been identified on the Natural Heritage mapping in Appendices "1A" and "1B" and may also be identified on the Land Use Schedules of the Township Official Plans. Impacts on these wetlands should be considered in the evaluation of development applications in or adjacent to them, and **a wetland evaluation prepared by a qualified person in accordance with the Ontario Wetland Evaluation System and submitted to MNRF for approval and/or an** Environmental Impact Study may be required if significant characteristics are observed and/or to demonstrate that appropriate alternatives have been assessed and negative impacts to the feature and its function have been prevented or minimized to the degree reasonably possible.

- **Development and site alteration shall not be permitted within lands adjacent to provincially significant wetlands or significant coastal wetlands unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on their features or their functions. Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the wetland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the wetland. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective**
- **Development and site alteration in or adjacent to coastal wetlands (other than significant coastal wetlands) shall not be permitted unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on their features or their functions. Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the wetland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the wetland. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective.**

7.1.4.2 Significant Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI)

Introduction

An ANSI is an area of land and water that contains natural landscapes or features that have been identified as having life science or earth science values related to protection, scientific study or education. The Township Official Plans shall identify and protect those regional or provincially significant ANSIs where no development or site alteration shall be permitted in or adjacent to them unless the ecological function has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural heritage features or on their ecological function. ANSIs are a critical complement to Provincial Parks and Conservation Reserves as they represent important natural features that are not found in protected areas.

Policies

- The County recognizes the importance and value of regionally and provincially significant ANSIs and supports their protection. Appendix "1A" generally identifies ANSIs within the County's natural heritage system.
- The Township Official Plans shall identify and protect those regional or provincially significant ANSI's where no development shall be permitted **in or adjacent to them** unless it can be demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the ANSI and its ecological function. **Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the ANSI or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the ANSI. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective.**

7.1.4.3 Significant Wildlife Habitat**Introduction**

Wildlife habitat, as defined by the PPS, means areas where plants, animals and other organisms live, and find adequate amounts of food, water, shelter and space needed to sustain their populations. Wildlife habitats are important since they are areas where species concentrate at a vulnerable point in their annual or life cycle, and are areas which are important to both migratory and non-migratory species.

Policies

- The County of Frontenac recognizes the importance and value of wildlife and supports the protection of significant wildlife habitat
- Appendix "1A" may identify the location of known wildlife habitat. Development and/or site alteration in or adjacent to significant wildlife habitat shall not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the significant wildlife habitat and its ecological function through an Environmental Impact Study. **Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the habitat or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the habitat. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective.**
- Wildlife habitats occur throughout the County but may not be shown on Appendix "1A" because the exact habitat location needs to be refined at the local scale by site specific field work. If development or site alteration is planned in or adjacent to the natural heritage system, the proponent of the development may be required to document for consideration by the Township, whether there is potential for significant wildlife habitat to occur in the area and whether an Environmental Impact Study is required to identify significant wildlife habitat for consideration during Planning Act decisions.
- The Townships shall adopt appropriate development controls to protect significant wildlife habitat. If development or site alteration is planned near these sites, the Townships may contact the **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry** for technical advice regarding the proposed development.
- Natural linkages shall be protected in order to maintain, restore and/or improve the diversity and connectivity of natural features and the long-term ecological function and biodiversity of natural heritage systems.
- Removal of vegetation shall be minimized in significant wildlife habitat areas.
- The County and Townships shall investigate ways to minimize and control the removal of vegetation for buildings, site alteration or accessory activities such as landscaping.

7.1.4.4 Fish Habitat**Introduction**

Fish habitat, as defined by the Fisheries Act, means spawning grounds and nursery, rearing, food supply, and migration areas on which fish depend directly or indirectly in order to carry out their life processes. The aquatic ecosystem is most often described as fish habitat since fish communities are important resources, and as such, have a long history of being used as indicators of aquatic ecosystem health. Aquatic habitat is an integral part of the watershed's ecosystem as it provides feeding, breeding and rearing areas for resident and migratory fish and invertebrate species.

Policies

- The County of Frontenac recognizes the importance and value of the fisheries in the municipality and supports protection of their habitat.
- Development and site alteration shall not be permitted in fish habitat except in accordance with provincial and federal requirements.
- Development and site alteration shall not be permitted within lands adjacent to fish habitat unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts to the features or functions of the habitat. Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the fish habitat or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration would have a negative impact on fish habitat. The extent of adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objectives.
- The County encourages the use of best management practices, stewardship and habitat management that promotes healthy fish habitat and natural riparian areas.

7.1.4.4.1 Lake Trout Lakes**Preamble**

Lake trout lakes are rare. While only about one percent of Ontario's lakes (i.e. approximately 2,300) are designated by policy and managed by the **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry** for lake trout, this resource represents 20-25% of all lake trout lakes in the world. The lake trout is an important fishery resource in Ontario and Frontenac County and is a preferred species among many anglers.

The lake trout is the only major, indigenous sport fish in Ontario that is adapted to "oligotrophic" lakes (i.e. lakes with low levels of nutrients, high dissolved oxygen levels and typically deep areas with very cold water). The lake trout's slow growth, late maturity, low reproductive potential and slow replacement rate make it a unique species in the province. As a top predator, the lake trout is an important part of the province's natural heritage and an excellent indicator of the health of these fragile aquatic ecosystems.

Approximately 5% of the province's lake trout populations have already become extinct. Lake trout and lake trout lakes are particularly vulnerable to the impacts of human

activities including harvesting, increased phosphorus inputs from cottage septic systems and other sources of nutrient enrichment, acidification, species introductions, and habitat destruction. Development on lake trout lakes may result in habitat degradation, diminished lake trout populations and a lower quality fishing experience.

The Frontenacs, therefore, have a great responsibility to manage them wisely. The lake trout is particularly vulnerable to the impacts of human activities and is an indicator of the health of aquatic ecosystems. Special protection is required for these lakes and their lake trout populations.

Policies

- Generally, the creation of new lots, through the severance consent process or by plan of subdivision will not be permitted within 300 metres of a lake trout lake that is classified as “at capacity”. Structural development may be permitted on existing lots of record and under strict conditions where a proponent can demonstrate that there will be no impacts on lake water quality. This may require consultation with the **Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change and the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry**. Exceptions to allow lot creation are as follows:
 - to separate existing habitable dwellings, each of which is on a lot that is capable of supporting a Class 4 sewage system, provided that the land use would not change and there would be no net increase in phosphorus loading to the lake; or
 - where all new tile fields would be located such that they would drain into a drainage basin which is not at capacity; or
 - where all new tile fields are set back at least 300 metres from the shoreline of lakes; or
 - where drainage from the tile fields would flow at least 300 metres to the lake. This must be supported by a report prepared by a qualified professional that is a licensed member of the Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario or a licensed member of the Professional Engineers of Ontario who is qualified to practice geoscience; or
 - where a site-specific soils investigation prepared by a qualified professional demonstrates that phosphorus can be retained in deep, native, acidic soils on-site, to the satisfaction of the Ministry of the Environment and Climate.
- Designated at-capacity lakes are identified on Appendix “1B” and listed in Appendix “2” and shall be included in Township Official Plans.
- In consultation with the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change, the County will review and revise the list of at-capacity lake trout lakes in Appendix “2” annually.
- The Townships are encouraged to identify the moderately sensitive at-capacity lake trout lakes in their Official Plans with policies addressing development around these lakes to ensure their long-term sustainability.

7.1.4.5 Endangered and Threatened Species**Preamble**

Endangered and Threatened species, as defined by the PPS, means a species that is listed or categorized as an “Endangered or Threatened Species” on the Ontario **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry** official species at risk list, as updated and amended from time to time; Saving Endangered and Threatened species is important for their sake, and for ours since humans are dependent on the earth’s diversity of species for our own survival. The existing habitat sites of any endangered or threatened species in the County are not identified in this Plan or in Township Official Plans in order to protect endangered or threatened flora or fauna.

Policies

- The County of Frontenac recognizes the importance and value of the endangered and threatened species in the County and supports their protection.
- **Significant** habitat of endangered or threatened species is approved by the Province or the Federal government. This habitat is necessary for the maintenance, survival and/or recovery of naturally occurring or reintroduced populations of endangered or threatened species, and where those areas of occurrence are occupied or habitually occupied by the species during all or any part(s) of its life cycle. Mapping of the habitat of these species are not shown on Appendix “1C” in order to protect such species and their habitat, or because the exact location and habitat needs to be refined by site specific field work.
- **The County and the Townships will work with the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry to develop a mutually acceptable protocol for sharing available and threatened species habitat information, and how best to apply this information to the large amount of undisturbed lands within the County.**
- **Information regarding occurrences of endangered and threatened species will be obtained from provincial databases and used, in confidence, for development application screening purposes. The Municipality recognizes that information regarding the locations of endangered and threatened species and their habitat is incomplete.**
- No new development or site alteration shall be permitted within the habitat of endangered or threatened species, except in accordance with provincial and federal requirements. Development and site alteration shall not be permitted on the lands adjacent to habitat of endangered or threatened species, unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or on the ecological functions for which the area is identified. **Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the habitat of endangered and threatened species or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the habitat. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective.**

- New development proposals shall require an appropriate level of site assessment to identify potential presence or absence of endangered or threatened species and their potential habitats **MNR can be contacted for technical advice, as determined by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry.**
- Where potential habitat is identified, a more detailed site assessment may be required by an Environmental Impact Study to provide information on current habitat conditions, to address any applicable permit requirements under the Endangered Species Act (as appropriate), and to delineate significant habitat for approval by **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry.**
- If development or site alteration is planned near these sites, the Township should contact **Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry** for technical advice regarding the proposed development.

7.1.4.6 Significant Woodlands

Preamble

Woodlands, as defined by the PPS, means treed areas that provide environmental and economic benefits to both the private landowner and the general public, such as erosion prevention, hydrological and nutrient cycling, provision of clean air and the long-term storage of carbon, provision of wildlife habitat, outdoor recreational opportunities, and the sustainable harvest of a wide range of woodland products. Woodlands include treed areas, woodlots or forested areas and vary in their level of significance at the local, regional and provincial levels. Woodlands are important for their aesthetic value, economic value, as species habitat, to minimize erosion, to mitigate greenhouse gases (as a carbon sink), and as providing animal species with corridors for movement.

Policies

- The County recognizes the importance and value of woodlands and supports the protection of significant woodlands. These woodlands have value in the County, both natural and human. Examples include maintaining and/or improving the air quality, preventing soil erosion, helping to retain water and recharge ground water, producing economic value (firewood, maple syrup, lumber), providing recreational opportunities, and contributing to the overall beauty of the Frontenacs.
- Appendix "1C" identifies all woodlands within the County, but does not identify any significant woodland.
- **Township Official Plans within Ecoregion 6E shall identify and protect significant woodlands that meet the following criteria:**
 - **South Frontenac Township:** In the portion of South Frontenac Township that is within Ecoregion 6E, woodland cover is approximately 55% of the land area. Therefore, development proposals within or adjacent to woodlands that are:
 - **50 hectares in size or larger will be required to undertake a site-specific study to confirm whether the woodland meets the significance criteria outlined in the Natural**

Heritage Reference Manual prior to approving new land use planning applications.

- **Frontenac Islands Township:** In Frontenac Islands Township, woodland cover is approximately 18% of the land area. Therefore, development proposals within or adjacent to woodlands that are:
 - 20 hectares in size or larger will be required to undertake a site-specific study to confirm whether the woodland meets the significance criteria outlined in the Natural Heritage Reference Manual prior to approving new land use planning applications.

- Development and/or site alteration in or adjacent to significant woodlands that may be identified in the majority of South Frontenac and all of Frontenac Islands (i.e., within MNR Ecoregion 6E of the PPS) shall not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the significant woodland and its ecological function. Adjacent lands shall include lands contiguous to the significant woodland or areas where it is likely that development or site alteration will have a negative impact on the woodland. The extent of the adjacent lands shall be defined in the Township Official Plans, based on approaches recommended by the Province or based on Township approaches which achieve the same objective

7.1.4.7 Significant Valleylands

Preamble

Valleylands, as defined by the PPS, means a natural area that occurs in a valley or other landform depression that has water flowing through or standing for some period of the year. Valleylands are often defining landscape features essential to the character of an area, help buffer waterbodies from the effects of human settlement, provide linkages to the rest of the watershed, and provide important corridors allowing the dispersion of plants and movement of animals. Significant valleylands may be identified in the southern part of the County (i.e., within MNR Ecoregion 6E of the PPS).

Policies

- The County recognizes the importance and value of valleylands and supports the protection of significant valleylands.
- Significant valleylands are not shown on the Natural Heritage System mapping and can be identified in consultation with the Townships and/ or the local Conservation Authority based on local factors and conditions.
- The Township Official Plans shall contain policies that ensure that development and site alteration shall not be permitted in significant valleylands and its adjacent lands unless it has been determined, via an Environmental Impact Study, that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or their ecological functions.

- The Township Official Plans shall contain policies that ensure that when new significant valleylands are identified, consideration and protection of the areas shall be assessed prior to approving new land use planning applications.

7.1.4.8 Linkages and Biodiversity Areas

Preamble

The County of Frontenac's natural heritage system as mapped in Appendices "1A", "1B", and "1C" includes natural linkages and biodiversity areas. Through linkages and biodiversity areas, we acknowledge that our system is not an isolated one. We are interconnected to the natural heritage beyond our boundaries and we value our local biosphere – the Frontenac Arch – as well as our global biosphere, Earth.

7.1.4.8.1 Linkages

Preamble

The County of Frontenac is home to wildlife that traverses eastern Ontario and by identifying linkages; the County is able to support the valuable wildlife that contributes to the County's high quality natural environment. The County has undertaken a geographic information systems (GIS) analysis to determine regional scale linkages.

Policies

- Linkages mapped in this plan on Appendix "1A" are intended to promote regional connectivity in the natural heritage system and the County of Frontenac encourages municipalities to establish and maintain linkages by incorporating them into their Official Plans.
- Where appropriate, the Townships are encouraged to add local linkages which facilitate greater connections between natural features of the natural heritage system.
- Linkage mapping has been completed at a regional scale, and the boundaries are intended to be refined at the site level. When development is proposed within a linkage, this plan encourages that linkages be incorporated into the development, retained in its natural state and an Environmental Impact Study be completed to document management recommendations for the protection of the linkage.
- Linkages may be considered as priority areas for ecological stewardship projects, re-naturalization projects, or environmental land acquisition projects, or as potential lands for conservation easements granted to the municipality by the property owner.
- Existing development and activities within linkages may continue.

7.1.4.8.2 Biodiversity Areas (Overlay)**Preamble**

The County of Frontenac benefits from having a large undeveloped area that is rich in natural heritage and contains a wide range of species, habitats and ecosystems. Biodiversity Areas protect species, habitat and ecosystems that are representative of the County's natural heritage system. Protecting biodiversity is a way to promote stewardship and ensure that impacts to the environment through challenges such as climate change are mitigated. The County has undertaken a geographic information systems (GIS) analysis to determine specific areas for the protection of biodiversity.

Policies

- Biodiversity areas are identified on Appendix "1A".
- Biodiversity areas may receive priority consideration for the creation of new conservation areas, conservation easements, or new ecological stewardship programs;
- Notwithstanding the policies of the underlying land use designation, lands within Biodiversity Areas may be:
 - Discouraged from lot severance or subdivision unless immediately abutting existing development;
 - Discouraged from the creation of new buildings unless on an existing previously undeveloped lot; and,
 - If developed, encouraged to develop by minimizing changes to topography and vegetation, and by using materials and a built form that integrates well with a natural area.

7.1.4.9 Mineral Aggregate Operations**Policies**

- New mineral aggregate operations may be permitted in the natural heritage system where the policies of this plan and the Township Official Plans allow and:
 - progressive and final rehabilitation shall be required to accommodate subsequent land uses, to promote land use compatibility, and to recognize the interim nature of extraction;
 - the assessment of the natural features and restoration plan taking into account the natural heritage system will be incorporated into the Natural Environment Report required under the Aggregate Resources Act.

7.1.4.10 Environmental Impact Study**Preamble**

An Environmental Impact Study is an important tool used during the development review process which helps delineate, characterize, analyze, and plan for the protection and conservation of the natural heritage system and its components.

Policies

- Where the policies in this Plan provide for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Study (EIS), it is understood that, on the basis of consultation with the appropriate review agency, the EIS may be scoped or eliminated in those instances where the potential impact of development is reduced or is non-existent. Where County Council is the planning approval authority, it shall require an impact assessment for development and site alteration proposed in designated natural heritage features and adjacent lands. An Environmental Impact Study shall be prepared to support land use planning applications and prior to the approval of the proposed development or site alteration. Depending on the type of planning application and the approval authority, the County, Townships and/or the Conservation Authorities will co-ordinate the requirements for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Study which shall be undertaken in accordance with the Natural Heritage Reference Manual and any other applicable guidelines.
- The potential scope required for the preparation of an EIS is listed in APPENDIX "3".

7.1.4.11 Land Uses and Zoning**Policies**

The County of Frontenac encourages the Townships to identify appropriate land uses and other performance standards in their Zoning By-laws that provide for protection of the features identified in the natural heritage system and which are compliant with the PPS.

7.1.4.12 Stewardship Planning**Introduction**

One of the key factors that make Frontenac County such a unique place is that it is largely undeveloped, especially when compared to other parts of Ontario. **The PPS has been designed to cover all development across Ontario; in the case of natural heritage it is focused on protecting 'rarity' in landscapes to deal primarily with urban growth in the Toronto / Golden Horseshoe area. This perspective does not apply to the Frontenacs, which are rich in natural resources.** In this case, a stewardship plan may provide the most suitable perspective on dealing with our ecosystem.

Policies

The County supports the development of a regional stewardship plan in order to provide a broad perspective on protecting ecosystems and managing landscapes.

This Plan recognizes that connectivity of landscapes and ecosystems is important for the long term resilience of the natural environment in the Frontenacs.

7.1.4.13 Lake Management Plans**Introduction**

A Lake Management Plan (LMP) is an approach by a lake association to identify and protect the physical and environmental values of a lake or river system. A LMP can result in a long range vision for the lake community that can be implemented through stewardship direction and potential land use policies. County Council endorses the development of LMPs by lake associations, particularly those lakes that may be experiencing development pressure.

Policies

- Lake Management Plans may include a number of components, including:
 - A lake capacity assessment to help determine the carrying capacity for development and for opportunities to improve water quality;
 - An inventory of existing and proposed development by type, and characteristics of sewage and water services;
 - A shoreline capacity assessment to help determine lands which are suitable for development based on such features as slope, vegetation cover, and depth of overburden;
 - A fish habitat assessment;
 - The nature of public access and the use of the lake for aquatic and boating activities;
 - Road access to the lake or river for shoreline development;
 - Shoreline management practices that can provide direction for appropriate conservation or retention of natural features;
 - Identification of special attributes of the lake;
 - Public education; and
 - An implementation and monitoring program.
- Township Official Plans may include policies that identify Lake Management Plans that have been completed, recognizing that the primary use of these plans is for stewardship purposes.

7.2 Water Resources**7.2.1 Source Protection Plans**

This Plan is based on a watershed planning approach. In this context, Source Protection Plans are key planning documents that help to protect drinking water supplies from potential contamination by limiting certain uses and activities within sensitive areas. The County supports the development of Source Protection Plans for each major watershed in the Frontenacs, including the Quinte Source Protection Plan, Cataraqui Source Protection Plan, and the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan. The Source Water Protection Plans were developed for each major watershed in the Frontenacs. The Quinte Source Protection Plan and the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plans took effect January 1, 2015 and the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan took effect April 1, 2015. Decisions made under the Planning Act shall conform to the significant threat policies and have regard for other policies. The Townships shall

include relevant Source Protection Plan policies and standards in their amend their Official Plans and Zoning By-laws as part of the implementation of source protection plans.

The protection, conservation and careful management of groundwater resources is necessary to meet both the present and future needs of residents and the natural environment. As groundwater and aquifer contamination is extremely difficult and costly to rectify, prevention of contamination is the best strategy.

Policies

- The County shall:
 - Support and participate in initiatives that implement the Clean Water Act, as necessary and appropriate;
 - Prohibit the establishment of new waste disposal sites or wastewater treatment plants in the Sydenham intake protection zones 1 and 2 (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan).
 - Require the submission of a notice from the Risk Management Official under Section 59 of the Clean Water Act with all planning applications or proposed land use changes in the Sydenham intake protections zones 1 and 2 (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan).
 - ~~Assure that groundwater quality and quantity will not be negatively impacted by development;~~
 - ~~Ensure that groundwater and surface water quality and quantity will not be negatively impacted by development in vulnerable areas for the protection of drinking water, including highly vulnerable aquifers and significant groundwater recharge areas (As identified in the Cataraqui Source Protection Plan).~~
 - Contribute and promote a culture of conservation among all public, private, community groups and local citizens and aim to reduce water use in all sectors;
 - Establish sector-specific targets for water use reductions;
 - Contribute and show leadership by considering water conservation and efficiency within its municipal culture, decision making, and operations.

7.3 Hazard Lands

7.3.1 Introduction

The County of Frontenac's long-term prosperity, environmental health and social well-being partly depends on reducing the potential for public cost or risk to Frontenac residents from natural or human-made hazards. Development should be directed away from areas of natural or human-made hazards where there is an unacceptable risk to public health or safety or of property damage.

7.3.2 Natural Hazards Policies

The County and the Townships shall consider the potential impacts of climate change that may increase the risk associated with natural hazards.

7.3.2.1

Development shall generally be directed to areas outside of:

- hazardous lands adjacent to the shorelines of the Great Lakes - St. Lawrence River System and large inland lakes which are impacted by flooding hazards, erosion hazards and/or dynamic beach hazards;
- hazardous lands adjacent to river, stream and small inland lake systems which are impacted by flooding hazards and/or erosion hazards; and
- hazardous sites **such as unstable soils (sensitive marine clays organic soils) or unstable bedrock (karst topography).**

7.3.2.2

Development and site alteration shall not be permitted within:

- the dynamic beach hazard;
- defined portions of the flooding hazard along the St. Lawrence River
- areas that would be rendered inaccessible to people and vehicles during times of flooding hazards, erosion hazards and/or dynamic beach hazards, unless it has been demonstrated that the site has safe access appropriate for the nature of the development and the natural hazard;
- a floodway regardless of whether the area of inundation contains high points of land not subject to flooding.
- Despite the above policies in Section 7.3.2.2, development and site alteration may be permitted where the development is limited to uses which by their nature must locate within the floodway, including flood and/or erosion control works or minor additions or passive non-structural uses which do not affect flood flows.

7.3.2.3

Development shall not be permitted to locate in hazardous lands and hazardous sites where the use is:

- an institutional use including hospitals, long-term care homes, pre-schools, school nurseries, day cares and schools
- an essential emergency service such as that provided by fire, police and ambulance stations and electrical substations
- uses associated with the disposal, manufacture, treatment or storage of hazardous substances.

7.3.2.4

Where the two zone concept for flood plains is applied, development and site alteration may be permitted in the flood fringe, subject to appropriate floodproofing to the flooding hazard elevation or another flooding hazard standard approved by the Minister of Natural Resources.

7.3.2.5

Further to policy 7.3.2.4, and except as prohibited in policies 7.3.2.2 and 7.3.2.3, development and site alteration may be permitted in those portions of hazardous lands and hazardous sites where the effects and risk to public safety are minor, could be mitigated in accordance with provincial standards, and where all of the following are demonstrated and achieved:

- development and site alteration is carried out in accordance with floodproofing standards, protection works standards, and access standards;
- vehicles and people have a way of safely entering and exiting the area during times of flooding, erosion and other emergencies;
- new hazards are not created and existing hazards are not aggravated; and
- no adverse environmental impacts will result.

7.3.2.6 Hazardous Forest Types for Wildland Fire**Definition**

Hazardous forest types for wildland fire are forest types assessed as being associated with the risk of high to extreme wildland fire using risk assessment tools established by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, as amended from time to time.

Development limitations

Potential risk areas for wildland fire within the Townships shall be identified in consultation with MNRF. MNRF is working on more detailed risk mapping and guidance for hazard assessment and mitigation that may inform additional policies dealing with development in these areas. Once more detailed guidance and mapping is available, an amendment to this Plan may be required. In the interim, the following policies will apply:

- Development shall generally be directed to areas outside of lands that are unsafe for development due to the presence of hazardous forest types for wildland fire.
- Development may be permitted in lands with hazardous forest types for wildland fire where the risk is mitigated in accordance with wildland fire assessment and mitigation standards.
- Planning Act applications located within potential risk areas shall be required to complete a Wildland Fire Assessment. This assessment should consider and document the following factors for the subject lands (to the extent possible):
 - o predominant vegetation (fuel types), particularly those that are high to extreme risk for wildland fire
 - o forest condition (e.g., presence of storm or insect damage)
 - o topography and slope
 - o presence of water source(s)
 - o distance to organized response resources (e.g., fire station) access.

7.3.3 Human-Made Hazards

Development on, abutting or adjacent to lands affected by mine hazards; oil, gas and salt hazards; or former mineral mining operations, mineral aggregate operations or petroleum resource operations may be permitted only if rehabilitation measures to address and mitigate known or suspected hazards are under-way or have been completed.

Sites with contaminants in land or water shall be assessed and remediated as necessary prior to any activity on the site associated with the proposed use such that there will be no adverse effects.

Section 8 – Implementation

The intent of the Implementation section is to provide guidance when interpreting and implementing the policies of this Plan. This section includes policies relating to boundary interpretation, Official Plan amendments, subdivision and condominium applications, public participation, complete application requirements, land use compatibility, and development charges. These policies are intended to give direction to proponents when considering projects requiring approval by County Council, and to provide clarity to staff and Council when processing and evaluating development proposals.

8.1 Interpretation of Land Use Boundaries

It is intended that the boundaries of the land use designations shown on Schedule “A” be considered as approximate except where bounded by major roads, railways, water bodies, legal lot lines or other geographical features. Therefore, amendments to this Plan will not be required in order to make minor adjustments to the land use boundaries provided the general intent of the policies of this Plan is preserved.

8.2 Amendments to the Plan

Amendments to this Plan shall be considered in accordance with related policies elsewhere in this Plan, the Provincial Policy Statement, the Planning Act, and with general land use planning principles. Amendments shall only be considered when they are justified and when the required supportive information is provided as stated in the policy sector proposed for revision.

Proposed amendments to this Plan shall be accompanied by sufficient information to allow County Council to fully understand and consider the following:

1. the relationship to and impact of the proposed change on the goals, objectives and policies expressed in this Plan;
2. the need for the proposed change and the benefit to the County;
3. the effect of the proposed change on the need for public services and facilities;
4. the physical suitability of the land for the proposed use and the effect of the proposal on the natural environment; and

5. compliance with the Provincial Policy Statement and other applicable policy and legislation

Applications to amend this Plan will not be considered complete until the information and materials required under the Planning Act and Ontario Regulation 543/06, along with any other information and materials identified by the County have been provided.

The County may request the Minister to amend Ontario Regulation 525/97 to be exempt from ministerial approval of future amendments to the County Plan.

8.3 Amendments to the Township Official Plans

Amendments to Township Official Plans shall be considered in accordance with the Provincial Policy Statement, the policies in the County Official Plan, related policies elsewhere in the applicable Township plan, the Planning Act, and with general land use planning principles. Amendments shall only be considered when they are justified and when the required supportive information is provided.

Nothing in this Plan shall prevent the Townships from adopting more restrictive policies or standards than those outlined in this Plan, provided such policies are consistent with the general intent of this Plan.

8.4 Public Participation

Council shall consult with the public for amendments to and reviews of the Plan. The consultation process shall include the provision of adequate information in a timely manner, as well as opportunities for members of the public, review agencies, and other stakeholders to discuss this information with County staff and to present views to County Council and to Township Councils.

When considering planning applications that are specific to one Township, the County will generally request that the Township hold any public meetings on the County's behalf.

Under exceptional circumstances, Council may forego the requirement for a public meeting when refusing an amendment to its Official Plan.

8.5 Zoning By-laws

The preparation and amendments to Township Zoning By-laws shall be the responsibility of each Township Council. Each Zoning By-law shall reflect the policies established in the Township Official Plan.

8.6 Subdivision and Condominium Approvals and Agreements

The County of Frontenac is the approval authority for plans of subdivision and plans of condominium in the County under Ontario Regulation 477/00. This can include

subdivisions for development in settlement areas, rural residential, commercial, and business park/industrial.

Council may delegate all or any part of the approvals process by By-law to a committee of Council or to staff.

Township Official Plans shall contain policies to guide the review of plans of subdivisions and plans of condominium.

Applications for plans of subdivision and condominium will not be considered complete until the information and materials required under the Planning Act and Regulation 544/06, along with any other information and materials identified by the County have been provided.

8.7 Planning Applications – Consultation and Complete Application Requirements

In situations where County Council acts as the planning approval authority, the County shall request additional information and material that it needs when considering development proposals or Planning Act applications. Such information may include but is not limited to any of the following:

- Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis Report
- Water Supply Assessment
- **Geotechnical Karst Study**
- Groundwater Impact Study
- Surface Water Impact Study
- Storm Water Management Report/Master Drainage Plan
- Environmental Impact Study/Statement
- Environmental Site Audit/Assessment
- Flood Plain Management/Slope Stability Report
- Lake Capacity Study
- Boat Capacity Study
- Transportation/Traffic Impact Study
- Municipal Servicing Capacity Reports
- Servicing Options Report
- Archaeological Resource Study
- Cultural Heritage Impact Statement
- Natural Heritage Evaluation
- Aggregate study
- Noise/Dust/Vibration Study
- Agricultural Soils Assessment Study
- Minimum Distance Separation calculation
- Market Study
- Planning Rationale
- Official Plan Amendment or Zoning By-law
- Concept Plan showing ultimate use of land

- Previous Land Use Inventory
- Financial Impact Report
- Any other studies required by the County which are not reflected in the above list
- Any other studies identified in the Township Official Plans

8.8 Development Charges


The County and any of the Townships may pass Development Charges By-laws in accordance with the Development Charges Act, 1997. Council may request that the City of Kingston collect development charges for applicable services provided by the County within the City's boundaries.

8.9 Land Use Compatibility


Land use conflicts should be avoided as much as possible. Policies in Official Plans, setbacks in Zoning By-laws, and strategic design and layout of development applications can reduce the potential for conflicts by providing adequate mitigation measures to address issues before they arise.


Township Official Plans shall contain provisions addressing impacts such as noise, dust, contamination, odour, and other impacts that may result from a change in land use or proposed development.


Township Official Plans shall contain policies and guidance for setbacks for sensitive uses from features such as rail lines, mining and aggregate operations, and heavy industrial operations.





APPENDICES











APPENDIX “2”

DESIGNATED ‘AT CAPACITY’ LAKE TROUT LAKES

- Shabomeka (Buck) Lake, Kishkebus (Dyers) Lake, Little Green Lake, Buckshot (Indian) Lake, Lucky Lake, Mosque (Mosquito) Lake, Big Ohlmann (Rock) Lake, Mackie Lake, Reid (Boundary) Lake, Round Schooner Lake, Camp (Little Mackie) Lake, Big Salmon Lake, Bobs (Green Bay) Lake, Buck Lake (South Frontenac), Crow Lake (Central/South Frontenac), Devil Lake, Eagle Lake, Garter Lake (near Potspoon Lake), (Central Frontenac), Knowlton Lake, Loughborough (West Basin) Lake, Potspoon Lake, Sharbot (West Basin) Lake, and Silver Lake.

“NOT AT CAPACITY” LAKE TROUT LAKES


Big Clear Lake (South Frontenac), Birch Lake, Brule Lake, Canoe Lake, Desert Lake, Gould Lake, Mazinaw Lake, and Palmerston Lake.

APPENDIX “3” – ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY REQUIREMENTS

- The County, in consultation with the Province, the Townships and the Conservation Authorities may require the completion of a single comprehensive Environmental Impact Study where:
 - development or site alteration is proposed on multiple adjacent properties containing elements of the natural heritage system;
 - a comprehensive community planning process is being undertaken;
 - environmental studies are required to support the proposed expansion of the Township Urban Area or settlement boundary;
 - or,
 - as deemed required by the County of Frontenac, where the County is the approval authority.
- An Environmental Impact Study is intended to provide for an assessment of the potential impact of a proposed development or site alteration on a particular natural heritage feature and shall be used to determine whether the proposed development, redevelopment or site alteration should or should not be permitted. The Environmental Impact Study will be undertaken by the proponent of the development and/or site alteration.
- The components of the Environmental Impact Study shall be tailored to the scale of development and may range from a simplified assessment (scoped assessment) to a full assessment. The County may consult with the conservation authority having jurisdiction and the Ministry of Natural Resources in determining information requirements and the type and content of an Environmental Impact Study. The following is intended to provide an initial guideline on the potential scope of an Environmental Impact Study:
 - a description (including a map) of the study area and landscape context (including natural features and areas, and ecological functions);
 - a description of the development proposal;
 - date of field visits;
 - identification of the natural features
 - species lists of flora and fauna recorded for the site;
 - assessment of the potential impacts of the proposed development on natural features or areas and on their ecological functions for which they have been identified;
 - identification of alternatives and avoidance measures implemented to reduce impacts;
 - identification of mitigation, monitoring and contingency requirements;

- quantification of residual impacts (those that cannot be mitigated) if any;
 - recommendations on how to implement mitigation measures; and,
 - conclusion(s) on the environmental impact(s).
- The County of Frontenac may prepare a comprehensive guideline for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Study which further implements this plan's Environmental Impact Study policies.
- The Environmental Impact Study must be undertaken by a qualified professional to the satisfaction of the appropriate agency / approval authority.

DRAFT



**WESTON
CONSULTING**

planning + urban design

County of Frontenac.
2089 Battersea Road
Glenburnie, ON
K0H 1S0

August 25, 2015
File 5909

Attn: County Council

Re: MMAH Draft Decision on the County of Frontenac Official Plan

As you are aware, Weston Consulting is the planning consultant for the registered owner (herein referred to as the "Client") of the lands in the County of Frontenac legally described as:

1. PT LT 26-27 CON 7 STORRINGTON/KINGSTON PT 1 13R18473; SOUTH FRONTENAC;
2. PT LOT 25-30, CON 7 STORRINGTON/KINGSTON PT 1-3 13R12703 SAVE AND EXCEPT PL 1985; S/T FR748615; SOUTH FRONTENAC; SUBJECT TO AN EASEMENT IN GROSS OVER PTS 3-10, 13R20142 AS IN FC 117798.

The subject lands are bound by Perth Road to the west, Holmes Road to the north, Lakefield Drive to east, and Spooner Road and Collins Lake to the south. The property has a total area of approximately 352.4 hectares.

Please be advised that previous correspondence from our office regarding the County of Frontenac Official Plan was provided to County Council on September 22, 2014 and forms part of the public record.

The purpose of this correspondence is to provide comments in relation to the Draft Decision issued by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (MMAH) on the County of Frontenac Official Plan and the comments and items reference below relate to Staff Report 2015-078, dated August 21, 2015.

In relation to the following items, we support the Staff recommendations and agree with Staff's comments:

1. Page 5, Item Number 6A;
2. Page 5, Item Number 7A and 7B;
3. Page 6, Item Number 7C;
4. Page 8, Item Number B;
5. Page 11, Item Number 14A;

Vaughan Office 201 Millway Avenue, Suite 19, Vaughan, Ontario L4K 5K8 T. 905.738.8080 Oakville Office 1660 North Service Road E., Suite 114, Oakville, Ontario L6H 7G3 T. 905.844.8749 Toronto Office 127 Berkeley Street, Toronto, Ontario M5A 2X1 T. 416.640.9917
westonconsulting.com 1-800-363-3558 F. 905.738.6639

6. Page 12, Item Number 14B;
7. Page 14, Item Number 20B;
8. Page 15, Item Number 22A
9. Page 16, Item Number 22E;
10. Page 18, Item B;
11. Page 19, Item Number 26A.

In relation to Item D on Page 6, we note that this policy modification indicates that the Township Official Plans are the appropriate document in which to address limited rural residential development, we support this policy modification based on it not being prescriptive.


Further to the above, we are generally in support of the principle that a County level Official Plan should provide policies that provide guidance for local municipal plans and should not be too detailed or prescriptive.

Our client wishes to ensure that its future development rights are protected through the policies and provisions of the County Official Plan and that future development is not precluded by ensuring the appropriate degree of flexibility in policies and permitted uses.

We respectfully request that we be notified of any future reports, public meetings and/or decisions by County Council and the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing regarding the County's Official Plan and we reserve the right to provide further comments as required.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments and please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned (ext. 241) or Josh Berry (ext. 310) if you have any questions regarding this correspondence.

Yours truly,
Weston Consulting
Per:



Ryan Guetter, BES, MCIP, RPP
Vice President

- c. Clients
Jannette Amini, County of Frontenac
Lindsay Mills, Township of South Frontenac

By-Law Number 2015-0034

of

The Corporation of the County of Frontenac

being a by-law to confirm all actions and proceedings of County Council at its Special meeting held on August 25, 2015

Whereas Section 8 of the *Municipal Act, S.O. 2001, c.25* and amendments thereto provides that a municipality has the capacity, rights, powers and privileges of a natural person for the purpose of exercising its authority under the *Municipal Act* or any other Act; and;

Whereas Subsection 2 of Section 11 of the *Municipal Act, S.O. 2001, c.25* and amendments thereto provides that a lower-tier municipality and an upper-tier municipality may pass by-laws respecting matters within the spheres of jurisdiction described in the Table to Subsection 2 subject to certain provisions, and;

Whereas Section 5 of the *Municipal Act, S.O. 2001, c. 25* and amendments thereto provides that a municipal power, including a municipality's capacity, rights, powers and privileges under Section 8 shall be exercised by its council and by by-law unless the municipality is specifically authorized to do otherwise; and;

Whereas the Council of the County of Frontenac deems it expedient to confirm its actions and proceedings;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved That the Council of the Corporation of the County of Frontenac hereby enacts as follows:

1. **That** all actions and proceedings of the Council of the County of Frontenac taken at its special meeting held on August 25, 2015 be confirmed as actions for which the municipality has the capacity, rights, powers and privileges of a natural person;
2. **That** all actions and proceedings of the Council of the County of Frontenac taken at its special meeting held on August 25, 2015 be confirmed as being matters within the spheres of jurisdiction described in Subsection 2 of Section 11 of the *Municipal Act, S.O. 2001, c.25* and amendments thereto;
3. **That** all actions and proceedings of the Council of the Corporation of the County of Frontenac taken at its special meeting held on August 25, 2015 except those taken by by-law and those required by by-law to be done by resolution are hereby sanctioned, ratified and confirmed as though set out within and forming part of this by-law;
4. **That** this by-law shall come into force and take effect as of the final passing thereof.

Read a First and Second Time this 25th day of August 2015.

Read a Third Time and Finally Passed, Signed and Sealed this 25th day of August 2015.

The Corporation of the County of Frontenac

Denis Doyle, Warden

Jannette Amini, Clerk

By-law No. 2015-0034 – To Confirm all Actions and Proceedings of County Council
Special Meeting August 25, 2015

Page 2 of 2