

Seconded By: Deputy Mayor Martin

Be It Resolved That Council receives for information the Clerk/Planning Manager's Administrative Report entitled "To Assume a Portion of the South Bush Road as a Highway";

And That Council accepts the offer from Darwyn Sproule to transfer the portion of South Bush Road located in Lot 21, E1/2 Con 3, Palmerston to the Township, subject to the road being surveyed to a width of 20 metres (66 feet) at the expense of Mr. Sproule;

And That Council approves paying the legal fees for the preparation of the Transfer, registering the Transfer and By-law and Law Society Fees, and miscellaneous disbursement at an estimated cost of \$1,000. All legal work completed by the Township's Solicitor for Sherriff Certificates, Mortgage Discharges, Appraisals, and any unforeseen title issues shall be covered by the property owner;

And That Council instructs the Treasurer to transfer the Township's legal costs associated with the property transfer, including preparation of the Transfer, title search and registering the By-law from the Contingency Reserve Fund;

And That Council authorizes the Mayor and Clerk to sign the Transfer for this portion of the Road Allowance and Council will consider a By-law at a future meeting to assume this portion of South Bush Road.

Carried

Note: Don Reed, CBCO, attended the meeting at this time.

f. Clerk/Planning Manager and Chief Building Official - Shipping Container Regulation

Resolution #: 262-22 Moved By: Deputy Mayor Martin
Seconded By: Councillor Inglis

Be It Resolved That Council receives for information the Clerk/Planning Manager and Chief Building Official's Administrative Report entitled "Shipping Container Regulation";

And That Council instructs the Clerk to schedule a Special Meeting after the Regular Meeting on August 12, 2022;

And That Council instructs the CAO to set up "Bang the Table" to receive public feedback.

Carried

Note: The CBCO left the meeting at this time.

4. Presentations (con't)

b. Quinte Conservation Authority re: Programs and Services

Resolution #: 263-22 Moved By: Deputy Mayor Martin
Seconded By: Councillor Inglis

Be It Resolved That Council receives for information the presentation from Sally McIntyre, General Manager, Mississippi Valley Conservation (MVCA) and Brad McNevin, Chief Administrative Officer, Quinte Conservation (QC) regarding

Seconded By: Councillor Hermer

Be It Resolved That Council adjourns the Meeting at 1:29 p.m. until July 15, 2022 or at the call of the Chair.

Carried

Mayor

Clerk

From: Ken Foulds
Sent: June 15, 2022 6:04 PM
To: 'Mayor Ron Higgins'; 'Fran Smith'
Cc: 'Tara Mieske'; cao@northfrontenac.ca; 'Bill MacDonald'; 'Cathy Macmunn'
Subject: RE: Senior Housing

Hello all – Just following up on the discussion chain knowing that I have the benefit of some insights for both North and Central. I'm not sure how County Council would look at the \$\$ coming back and re-allocating vs. North and Central coming to a mutually beneficial understanding. In that vein, I wanted to throw out two observations for your possible consideration:

1. In the case of a capital contribution arrangement (as Ron has proposed), there are ways to evaluate the NPV of the benefit and while that calculation may not bear out reservation rights for 2 units in perpetuity, lessor time periods or lessor units could also be negotiated to arrive at fair terms. There is a project benefit to having a capital contribution on the front end, albeit modest in the context of overall costs.
2. A second approach could be to use the funds by North in a fashion similar to a rent supplement arrangement. In exchange for North paying the difference between the affordable rents and the economic rent, North residents could get access to x units. This provides dollars on the operating side which is helpful in managing that side of the budget for Central and provides guaranteed cash flow. The benefit is more easily calculated and measurable in terms of duration (e.g. 3 units x \$550/mth.= \$19,800 annualized and would be supportable for about 15 years). This would not have the same impact as an up-front capital contribution but still provides \$\$ on the operating side which are helpful.

I am wondering if either of these resonates with folks and could form the basis of an agreement in principle for negotiating details. If not, so be it, but I wanted to flag these options in case there was any interest at all in pursuing this approach.

Regards. Ken

Re|fact Consulting

T: (613) 836-4267 **F:** (613) 836-2545 **E:** re_fact@sympatico.ca