

Meeting No. 27
Time: 7:00 p.m.
Location: Sydenham

Present: Mayor Gary Davison
Deputy Mayor John McDougall
Councillors, Allan McPhail
Cam Naish
Bill Robinson
Ron Vandewal
Larry York
Del Stowe
Mark Tinlin

Staff: Wayne Orr, CAO, Lindsay Mills, Planner, Mark Segsworth, Public Works Manager; Judy Herrington, Executive Assistant

1. Call to Order

Resolution No. 2012-27-01

Moved by Councillor Stowe Seconded by Councillor Naish

THAT the Council meeting of September 4th, 2012 be convened at 6:00 p.m.

Carried.

2. Declarations of Pecuniary Interest

Councillor Robinson declared a pecuniary interest with respect to Page 19, of the Accounts Payable Voucher.

3. Scheduled Closed Session

Resolution No. 2012-27-02

Moved by Councillor Naish Seconded by Councillor Robinson

THAT the Council meeting of September 4th, 2012 move in-camera to discuss matters related to litigation and negotiation.

Carried.

Resolution No. 2012-27-04

Moved by Councillor Robinson Seconded by Councillor Naish

THAT the Council meeting of September 4th, 2012 move out of in-camera.

Carried.

4. Recess

5. Delegations

(a) Tony Fleming re Carleton's

Tony Fleming, Solicitor for the Township of South Frontenac addressed Council regarding the matter of the requirements for development on two island properties, Part Lot 33, Concession VI, District of Bedford, owned by the Carlton's and MacKellars.

The issue is that in order to obtain a building permit to develop on islands, the Official Plan and zoning by-law require that the owner first secure guaranteed mainland access to the island property and that the island and

mainland properties be tied in perpetuity. Carlton and MacKellar jointly purchased a lot in the Badour Farm Subdivision in order to meet the requirement of owning mainland property to gain access to their islands. The subdivision lot can be used by the Carlton's and MacKellar's for parking and trailer storage. Also, through the ownership of a subdivision lot, they have use of a parcel of land created solely to provide access to the lake by all owners in the subdivision. This was established through a Condominium Corporation who owns the water access lot and every lot owner in the subdivision is entitled to use the access point to the lake where they may launch a boat.

However, the mainland lot and the island properties are not tied together in perpetuity, and accordingly, a building permit on the islands cannot be issued.

Mr. Fleming advised that he supports the opinion of the Planning Department, that to obtain building permits on the islands, the owners of the lot in the subdivision require an easement (right-of-way) to be established on it with an area identified in favour of each island setting aside a portion of the lot for parking and trailer storage for each island. An easement must also be established over the water access lot in favour of the islands to entitle the islands to use this water access point. The condominium corporation would need to agree to this easement since they are the owners of the water access lot. In this way the subdivision lot can be bought and sold but the easement stays on it to provide parking/storage in perpetuity. Also, because the easement is registered on the titles of the properties, new prospective owners are more likely to be advised of the restrictions on the land since it will be included in the property deed.

Mr. Fleming advised that another, less favourable option, is that the island and mainland properties could be tied in perpetuity through a restrictive covenant which legally would satisfy the zoning by-law. Mr. Mills is not in favour of this option as it would mean that the subdivision lot, which is intended for use as single family dwelling, would always be used for parking and trailer storage only. Through the easement option, recommended by the Planning Department, the balance of the subdivision lot could be sold in the future and developed as a single family dwelling.

Councillor Stowe asked if the two island properties would be assessed in place of the subdivision lot to be used only for parking.

Councillor Tinlin asked what would happen if the subdivision lot did not exist. Mr. Fleming responded that the Carlton's and MacKellar's would not have access to the water because the water access lot is owned by the Condominium.

Councillor Vandewal asked if it was the intent of the condominium corporation to provide access through their water access lot to only single family owned residences. Mr. Fleming responded that lot owners in the subdivision have legal access to the water through the water access lot owned by the condominium corporation. He indicated that Council can legally agree to a restrictive covenant that would tie in perpetuity the island and mainland properties together. He said that "forever" is the issue as this would mean the mainland subdivision lot could only be used for parking and storage.

Councillor Vandewal asked Council if they were in favour of the applicants or the municipality.

Councillor McPhail asked if there was a need for communication in both scenarios with the condominium corporation. Do applicants need the permission of the condominium corporation? Mr. Fleming responded that

in the instance of the restrictive covenant, joint owners would have access to the waterfront lot owned by the condominium corporation.

Deputy Mayor McDougall asked if the issue of securing the future use of the subdivision lot as a single family dwelling was purely from a property tax revenue perspective. Mr. Fleming responded that yes this was partially true and that more importantly to protect the future use of the lot to ensure that its use was compatible with the other lots in the subdivision taking into account aesthetic value, etc. Deputy Mayor McDougall responded that even if the lot was to be used for parking and storage only, that it could be made to be aesthetically pleasing by having the parking and storage area hidden by trees. Mr. Fleming noted that this may not be a detriment to the surrounding lots, but that it would still not be an optimal use of the lot.

Mr. Mills asked that since the zoning by-law permits single family dwellings only on the subdivision lots, would it have to be rezoned? Mr. Fleming indicated that there could still be single family development on the jointly owned lot, but with two owners, it is more difficult to agree on the type of development.

Councillor Naish said that since there was no objection in writing from the condominium corporation, he feels the Carlton's should be allowed to proceed.

Councillor Stowe asked what if the applicant found another lot with water access then could they sell the subdivision lot.

Mayor Davison said he feels the condominium corporation needs to be informed.

Councillor Vandewal said if there were no islands, the applicant would have access to the water through ownership of the subdivision lot.

Deputy Mayor McDougall asked Mr. Mills if we were going to discuss this with the condominium corporation before we make a decision.

Councillor York said that he feels the condominium corporation should be involved.

Mr. Fleming restated the issue that is our zoning by-law requires that the mainland access be tied in perpetuity to the island properties.

Councillor Naish said they have access.

Mr. Fleming responded that they have access but it is not tied in perpetuity.

(b) Chris Carlton

Chris Carlton addressed Council.

He advised that a letter from the President of the condominium corporation advised that the corporation had no entitlement or interest in the use of the subdivision lot.

Four years ago they applied for a building permit and were informed that a parking lot on the mainland would be required. When they purchased a lot in the Badour Farm Subdivision they were excited as they felt they had satisfied the condition for getting a building permit. Three and one-half months later, they are still trying to get a solution.

He asked Mr. Mills to consider the proposal of the development of an agreement which would tie the mainland property and the island properties in perpetuity and that could be registered on title in order to meet the Township's requirements. He asked that Council consider this "common sense" approach and viable solution.

Mr. Orr asked Council to direct staff on how to proceed. Council directed that this matter be revisited for resolution under Item 14, New Business.

6. **Public Meeting**

Resolution No.: 2012-27-05

Moved by Councillor Vandewal Seconded by Councillor Robinson

THAT a public meeting be held to discuss planning matters related to:

- **Review of Application for an Official Plan Amendment in Part of Lot 17, Concession VII, Storrington District, Township of South Frontenac: Limestone Aggregates Ltd.**
- **Review of Application for an Official Plan Amendment in Part of Lot 7, Concession IV, Portland District, Township of South Frontenac: Kerr**
- **Review of Application Zoning By-law Amendment in Part of Lot 7, Concession VII, Portland District, Township of South Frontenac: Asselstine.**

Carried.

- (a) **Review of Application for an Official Plan Amendment in Part of Lot 17, Concession VII, Storrington District, Township of South Frontenac: Limestone Aggregates Ltd.**

Mr. Lindsay Mills, Planner, explained that the purpose of this report was to bring to Council an application for an Official Plan amendment and to hold a public meeting on the application as required under section 17 of the Planning Act.

An application has been submitted to amend the Official Plan as it applies to a portion of a 72 acre parcel of land located between Washburn Road and Sunbury Road in Storrington. The purpose of the Official Plan Amendment is to change the designation of the western half of the property (approximately 36 acres) from "Agricultural" to "Rural." The eastern portion of the land is designated "Mineral Aggregate" as it is used as a pit for aggregate extraction.

The recommendation is that Council receive for information a report regarding an Official Plan amendment that would designate a portion of land from "Agricultural" to "Rural." The amendment would recognize that the Ministry of Agriculture Food and Rural Affairs no longer has an interest in preserving this land for agricultural uses. Final approval of the OPA is required from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing.

Councillor Stowe asked what was the purpose of the application for change in designation. Mr. Mills advised that he was only told that the applicant may want to apply for one or two severances in future.

Councillor Stowe observed if the owner is rezoning, can he also apply to sever lots. Mr. Mills said that the owner could sever one lot at each end of the parcel. He said that the parcel is sandwiched between incompatible

uses, so it would not be a good location for a subdivision and that there is no such plan for a subdivision that he is aware of.

Mayor Davison said he felt the focus should remain as agriculture.

Councillor Vandewal cautioned to not make a hasty decision unless there is a good reason for the change in designation. The Ministry of Municipal Affairs & Housing is not in favour of this change in designation. He said that if the designation is changed from agricultural, that this opens up the land to be used for a number of things such as quarries.

Councillor Naish said he felt it would be a good idea for Council to take a look at the property before voting.

Deputy Mayor McDougall agrees that a cautious approach should be used and doing a site visit is a good idea. He said this was deemed prime agricultural land and wonders what has changed for its future use.

Mayor Davison agrees and stated that this is a large chunk of land that may still be viable agriculturally.

Councillor York agreed that time should be taken before making a decision and that Council should visit the property.

Mr. Jeff Peters spoke as a member of the National Farmer's Union. He requested a copy of the map distributed by Mr. Mills. He also asked for a complete agenda package as it is public information.

Mr. Ben Pilon advised that he applied for a severance three years ago to rezone and was assured that the agricultural designation would change when the Official Plan was revised. He said that if Council or staff walked his property they could see that it was not prime agricultural land. The Ministry's concern was that if it was a large section of land and they did not want to leave one little parcel. If owners will rezone, it will make the process easier.

Councillor Vandewal agrees with Councillor Naish. Council should look at the land in question. Then an open house should be held possibly at Storrington Centre due to there being major agricultural operators in that district.

Mayor Davison stated that he had no recollection of Ben Pilon's declaration.

Councillor McPhail asked Mr. Mills to clarify the difference between OPA-16 and OPA-18. Mr. Mills advised that tonight's review is the middle part on the attached map. Both amendments are asking for the same change in designation, from agricultural to rural.

Councillor McPhail asked if tonight we were reviewing OPA-18. Mr. Orr advised that OPA-16 is not yet approved by the Ministry and will not be approved until OPA-18 is resolved. He said that comments from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs & Housing must be received before this can be resolved.

Mayor Davison said that the property should be viewed by Council before the next Council Meeting.

Councillor Stowe asked if permission should be granted from the owner before Council views the property.

Mr. Jeff Peters asked about Councillor Vandewal's suggestion for an open house in Storrington. Mayor Davison said this would be considered.

Councillor Vandewal said that he feels it is important that Council go as a group to view the property with the owner's permission, as this request is a major change moving from agricultural to rural designation. Following a site visit, an open house in Storrington could be arranged.

(b) Review of Application for an Official Plan Amendment in Part of Lot 7, Concession IV, Portland District, Township of South Frontenac: Kerr

Mr. Lindsay Mills advised that the purpose of this report is to bring to Council an application for an Official Plan amendment and to hold a public meeting on the application as required under section 17 of the Planning Act.

The amendment would apply a site-specific Official Plan designation to a parcel of land to permit the land to be developed for a multi dwelling use which otherwise is not permitted in a "Rural" area. The property is a 3.9 acre parcel of land located on Road #38 approximately 70 metres south of the Harrowsmith Hamlet designation boundary.

Mr. Mills advised that in the opinion of the Planning Department, the amendment is supportable for the reason that the development is in proximity to the hamlet boundary although not within it, and given that it appears to otherwise meet all of the other development criteria, thus, meeting the intent of the Official Plan.

Councillor Stowe said it was nice to see affordable housing occurring in the rural areas as this will attract young families.

Councillor Tinlin said the impact of this application on traffic was his only concern, otherwise he supports it. Mr. Mills responded that the applicant retained a traffic consultant who advised that the density of the proposed development would not be high enough to warrant any special work on Road #38 in terms of taper lanes or turning lanes.

Councillor Robinson suggested that making the entrance to the property wider by approximately 20 feet would be preferable.

Councillor McPhail asked for clarification on the present designation. Mr. Mills responded that it was designated "Rural." Councillor McPhail expressed his concern that the Planning Department was recommending this be approved partially due to the property being only 70 metres outside of the hamlet. He asked where we draw the line. He said the report does not give enough reasons why the Official Plan stipulates that such development should only occur within the boundaries of a hamlet. Why is this important? If we don't abide by these rules, then why do we have them? He added it is death by a thousand cuts.

Councillor Vandewal suggested that the developer couldn't get approval for two lots, so is proposing to put eight units on one lot using one entrance. He said our Official Plan would normally support only one single dwelling. Mr. Mills responded that this is why we need an Official Plan Amendment in order to approve a multi unit dwelling.

Councillor Stowe asked if there would be individual septic systems for each unit. Mr. Mills responded no, that there would be one septic system for all units.

Mayor Davison asked about wells. Mr. Mills advised there is presently one well on the property and that a hydro-geological study will be undertaken.

He added that tonight he was asking Council to support this application in principle only.

There were no comments from the public.

(c) **Review of Application Zoning By-law Amendment in Part of Lot 7, Concession VII, Portland District, Township of South Frontenac: Asselstine**

Mr. Lindsay Mills advised that the purpose of this report is to bring to Council an application for a zoning by-law amendment and to hold a public meeting on the application as required under the Planning Act.

The recommendation is that Council approve a by-law to amend the Comprehensive Zoning By-law to rezone a proposed new lot from Rural (RU) Zone to a special rural commercial zone. The amendment would recognize that the land is to be used only for a free-standing solar panel which already exists on the property.

Mr. Mills advised Council that the subject land has already had its maximum number of severances. Under the Official Plan any lot that existed in the year 2000 may have three severances created from it provided all other provisions of the Official Plan and zoning by-law are met. The Official Plan does not place any restriction on the creation of non-residential lots.

Mayor Davison asked for clarification on the Official Plan allowing a maximum of three severances if you change the zoning.

Councillor McPhail said that he believed the intent of the Official Plan was not to allow such a concept to continually rezone lots to commercial in order to gain another severance. He said this is like "death by a thousand cuts" and expressed his grave concern with this application.

Councillor Robinson said he feels it should be left as "Rural."

Mr. Mills responded that it has to be rezoned in order to create another lot.

Mayor Davison asked if he thinks the applicant will sell it. Mr. Mills responded that he believes this is the intent of the applicant, that he wants to keep that lot and sell the remainder.

Councillor Robinson noted that we would be charging \$1,100 and not doing anything with it.

Councillor Vandewal wants to ensure that the property is used only for solar panels and not for any other commercial uses.

Councillor Stowe said that if the applicant sells the property, that new owners can apply to rezone and this creates a dangerous precedent.

Councillor Naish asked how many commercial severances can occur. Mr. Mills responded that the Official Plan only places a limit of three severances on lots that are zoned "Residential."

Councillor Vandewal asked if the property as it is presently zoned, could be sold with the solar panels, and a house built next to the solar panels.

Mayor Davison said that Council needs to review the Official Plan with respect to the placing of no restrictions on commercial severances. He believes that this is not the intent of the Official Plan. Mr. Mills responded that this type of situation has not previously occurred.

Councillor Vandewal asked if it was cleaner to sell with the solar panels than to apply for rezoning. Mr. Mills said that is why he is severing it, so he can sell the remainder of the land.

Mr. Scott Asselstine, Applicant addressed Council. He asked Mr. Mills to check the wording in the Official Plan. He confirmed that three residential lot severances were addressed. He said that the proposed use of the property is for two things only – a hayfield and solar panels. He has no plans to do anything else with the property; no house and no subdivision. He wants it separated. He asked Council if they had any questions for him.

Councillor Vandewal asked if he had no intent to do anything else with the property, then why are we going through this.

Mr. Asselstine responded that the severance would only be approved on the condition that it is rezoned. He may sell, but he currently has no plans.

Councillor Vandewal said there is the reason, he wants to sell.

Resolution No. 2012-27-06

Moved by Councillor Robinson Seconded by Councillor Vandewal

THAT an opportunity having been provided to discuss planning matters, that the public meeting be closed.

Carried.

7. Approval of Minutes

Resolution No. 2012-27-07

Moved by Councillor Vandewal Seconded Councillor Robinson

THAT Council approve the following minutes:

- **Minutes of the August 7th, 2012 Council meeting.**

Carried.

8. Business Arising from the Minutes

- (a) Report from Anne Levac, Assistant Planner re: Closing of Unopened Road Allowance: Nature Conservancy of Canada**

Resolution No.: 2012-27-08

Moved by Councillor McPhail Seconded by Councillor Tinlin

THAT Council supports the closing of that portion of the unopened road allowance between Concession 11 and Concession 12, in Lots 1 and 2, District of Storrington, and the sale of the closed road allowance to the Nature Conservancy of Canada, at a sale price of \$2.41 per square foot for any portion of the road allowance lying within 300 feet of Elbow Lake, and \$.21 per square foot for any additional road allowance. Council reserves final decision on the road closing pending input from the public, and other interested parties as well as any other information relevant to the road closing process.

| | YES | NO | ABSTAIN |
|--------------|-----|----|---------|
| J. McDougall | | ✓ | |
| A. McPhail | ✓ | | |
| C. Naish | ✓ | | |
| B. Robinson | ✓ | | |
| D. Stowe | | ✓ | |
| M. Tinlin | | ✓ | |
| R. Vandewal | ✓ | | |
| L. York | ✓ | | |
| G. Davison | | ✓ | |

Carried.

- (b) Report from Wayne Orr, Chief Administrative Officer, re: Resident Request for Endorsement of Solar Panel Application / Dillon

Resolution No.: 2012-27-09

Moved by Councillor McPhail

Seconded by Councillor Tinlin

THAT Council decline to support the individual request from Mr. Dillon for a solar installation on a farm building and endorse the Municipal Council Blanket Support Resolution for roof top solar projects.

Carried.

9. Reports Requiring Action

- (a) Accounts

Resolution No. 2012-27-10

Moved by Councillor McPhail

Seconded by Councillor Tinlin

THAT Council receive for information the listing of the Accounts Payable and Payroll dated September 4, 2012 in the amount of \$1,609,949.72.

Carried.

- (b) Report from Wayne Orr, Chief Administrative Officer, re: Economic Development, Fish TV

Resolution No. 2012-27-11

Moved by Councillor Tinlin

Seconded by Councillor McPhail

THAT Council endorse the Fish TV Proposal from the Land o' Lakes Tourist Association and commit \$5,000 to be paid in 2 equal instalments in 2013 and 2014.

Carried.

- (c) Report from Wayne Orr, Chief Administrative Officer, re: Joint Council / Management Meeting Date and Time

Council agreed that Joint Council / Management meeting date and time would be set for Friday, September 28th, 12:00 noon to 4:00 p.m.

- (d) Report from Wayne Orr, Chief Administrative Officer, re: Parkland Fee Policy

Resolution No. 2012-27-12

Moved by Deputy Mayor McDougall

Seconded by Councillor McPhail

THAT Council establish that in all circumstances where a parkland fee is to be charged, under the Planning Act, that effective September 4, 2012, the parkland fee shall be apportioned at five percent (5%) for all non commercial or industrial purposes and that Delegation requests to alter this percentage not be scheduled before Council be approved as amended.

Carried.

10. Committee Meeting Minutes

(a) Resolution No.: 2012-27-13

Moved by Councillor McPhail Seconded by Deputy Mayor McDougall

THAT Council receives the Committee minutes of various Township Committees of Council as presented at the September 4th, 2012 meeting:

- **Corporate Services Committee Minutes, July 11th, 2012**
- **South Frontenac Portland Recreation Committee Minutes, May 28th, 2012.**

Carried.

11. By-laws

(a) Resolution No.: 2012-27-14

Moved by Deputy Mayor McDougall Seconded by Councillor Tinlin

THAT By-law 2012-54, being a by-law to amend By-law Number 2003-75, as amended, by changing the zoning on a proposed lot from Rural (RU) Zone to Special Rural Commercial (RC-13) Zone; Part Lot 7, Concession VII, District of Portland: Asselstine be given first and second reading this 4th day of September 2012.

| | YES | NO | ABSTAIN |
|--------------|-----|----|---------|
| J. McDougall | ✓ | | |
| A. McPhail | ✓ | | |
| C. Naish | | ✓ | |
| B. Robinson | | ✓ | |
| D. Stowe | | ✓ | |
| M. Tinlin | | ✓ | |
| R. Vandewal | ✓ | | |
| L. York | | ✓ | |
| G. Davison | | ✓ | |

Defeated.

12. Reports for Information - nil

13. Information Items:

- (a) Letter from Dr. Ian Gemmill, Medical Officer of Health, KFL&A Public Health dated July 24, 2012 re Artificial Tanning Salons**
- (b) Letter from Stan Teeple dated August 20, 2012 re Charges to the Nature Conservancy of Canada (NCC) for the Road Allowance to Elbow Lake**
- (c) Email from Terry Thake dated August 13, 2012 re Request for a load of gravel**
- (d) 2013 Council Approved Budget Schedule**

14. New Business

(a) Carlton Matter

Mr. Orr asked Council to give staff direction on how to proceed.

Councillor Vandewal said he would like to see a resolution on this matter at the next Council meeting.

Mayor Davison said he is not against the Carlton's application, he just would prefer input from the condominium corporation.

Councillor Tinlin said he felt the applicants had been subjected to enough hoops and hurdles, and that he would like Council to take a "common sense" approach and approve the application.

Deputy Mayor McDougall said that we had already received a letter from the condominium corporation in which they declared they had no interest in controlling the subdivision lot owned by the Carlton's and MacKellar's.

Councillor Naish said that our lawyer, Mr. Fleming, said that the application could be approved through a restrictive covenant, however, from a planning perspective; the double easement option was preferred.

Councillor Vandewal said that he would like a motion prepared tonight noting that if the zoning can be established on the lot tying it in perpetuity to the two islands, then he would like to see Council vote on it so that is resolved tonight.

Resolution No. 2012-27-15

Moved by Councillor Vandewal

Seconded by Councillor Tinlin

THAT provided the mainland lot can be tied in perpetuity to the island lot(s) by a restrictive covenant registered on title, Council is supportive of the Carlton's/MacKellar's request.

Carried.

- (b) Councillor Robinson asked about Maple Drive Lane re a garage erected on a lot that was not large enough. Mr. Orr advised that our Chief Building Official did a site inspection and deemed that the site conformed to all requirements and a building permit was issued.**

Councillor Robinson asked about activity on Notre Dame Street. New culverts were put in; old culverts taken out and a new driveway entrance created. He asked that Mr. Mark Segsworth take a look at it to ensure they have a permit.

- (c) Councillor Robinson asked who authorized the Post Office Super Boxes to be placed on Centennial Drive near the ball park. Mr. Segsworth advised that he was informed that the Harrowsmith Post Office would be closing and a site was needed for the super boxes. Centennial Drive was considered a good choice as it is well lit by streetlights, the road is maintained and ploughed and will be made wheelchair accessible by Canada Post.**

Councillor Robinson said that there was a sufficient lot on Colebrook Road with space for parking. He said that Centennial Drive is the wrong spot due to vandalism.

Mayor Davison said that there was not enough space for parking, etc. at the location on Colebrook Road.

- (d) Councillor Tinlin read a petition addressed to Mr. Mark Segsworth, Public Works Manager regarding the condition of the Burrige and Ritchie side roads urging that these roads be given priority in future planning for upgrading.
- (e) Councillor Stowe advised that the first Friday in October there will be a tour of the trail through South Frontenac offering an opportunity to see what a jewel we have in our Township. Councillor Stowe will email the invitation to Council.
- (f) Deputy Mayor McDougall thanked staff for organizing the Joint Council/Lake Association Meeting held on August 30th, 2012. The atmosphere was one of cooperation and flexibility. It gave our staff insights. He said he felt it was a big success.
- (g) Mayor Davison congratulated Deputy Mayor McDougall on several positive activities that have occurred in Verona, specifically in recruiting a new doctor and the success of the annual Cattail Festival. Mayor Davison said that the Lions Club played a large role in facilitating these recent improvements to Verona.

15. Closed Session (if requested) - nil

16. Confirmatory By-law

Resolution No: 2012-27-16
Moved by Councillor York

Seconded by Councillor Naish

THAT By-law 2012-55, being a by-law to confirm generally previous actions of the Council of the Township of South Frontenac, be given first and second reading this 4th day of September 2012.

Carried.

Resolution No: 2012-27-17
Moved by Councillor Naish

Seconded by Councillor York

THAT By-law 2012-55, being a by-law to confirm generally previous actions of the Council of the Township of South Frontenac, be given a third and final reading this 4th day of September, 2012.

Carried.

17. Adjournment

Resolution No. 2012-27-18
Moved by Councillor York

Seconded by Councillor Naish

THAT the Council meeting of September 4th, 2012 be adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

Carried.



Mayor



Clerk Administrator