

OSINT: Alto High-Speed Rail Investigation

Corporate structure, government funding, cost-benefit discrepancies, expropriation law, Indigenous rights, municipal response, polling accountability, and media coverage across the proposed Alto HSR corridor through Frontenac County.

CASE ID	ALTO-HSR-2025	DATE	2026-04-11
INVESTIGATOR	Donald Morton / NFNМ	CLASSIFICATION	Open Source

Investigator-OSINT | Merger Pass | 2026-04-11

Case: ALTO-HSR | Status: ACTIVE

NOT CLEARED FOR PUBLICATION

Source files merged:

1. research/public-records-2026-04-11.md (Agent 1 — Public Records Lane)
2. research/media-web-2026-04-11.md (Agent 2 — Media & Web Lane)
3. research/financial-corporate-2026-04-11.md (Agent 3 — Financial & Corporate Lane)
4. research/internal-records-2026-04-11.md (Agent 4 — Internal Records Lane)
5. research/legal-governance-2026-04-11.md (Agent 5 — Legal & Governance Lane)

Grade key:

- CONFIRMED: Verified in primary source on disk, statute, or official government document; or multiple independent sources in strong agreement
- REPORTED: Single credible outlet reporting or NFNМ's own published articles — not independently verified by primary record
- OSINT: Open-source synthesis; web search sourcing only; not locally archived
- UNVERIFIED: Claimed or alleged; no locatable source; or source found but content not read

Separation rules applied throughout:

- Allegation is separated from finding
- Anecdote is separated from prevalence evidence
- Editing voice is separated from record

1. SUBJECT OVERVIEW — WHAT ALTO IS AND CURRENT STATUS

Legal Identity and Structure

Grade: CONFIRMED

Alto (full legal name: VIA HFR — VIA TGF Inc.) is a federal Crown corporation incorporated November 29, 2022 under the Canada Business Corporations Act. It is a wholly owned subsidiary of VIA Rail Canada Inc. It is an agent of His Majesty in right of Canada, retroactive to its date of incorporation. It is accountable to Parliament through the Minister of Transport.

Alto was originally known as VIA HFR (High Frequency Rail), reflecting a more modest prior concept announced in 2021. Between October 2023 and January 2025, Alto paid Quebec marketing firm Cossette Communication Inc. \$330,000+ for brand narrative development, tagline creation, and the rebranding to "Alto." The Senate opposition leader called the rebrand "irresponsible." Internal documents obtained by the Canadian Press (May 2025) showed the corporation was concerned about "widespread disinterest" in the HFR concept — the rebranding coincided with the repositioning from modest rail upgrade to full high-speed rail, a significantly more expensive proposal.

Source: Transport Canada crown corporation briefing (2025-2 series); Cossette/\$330K rebrand: canada.constructconnect.com (May 2025); Canadian Press syndication via Global News, CTV

Project Scope

Grade: CONFIRMED

Alto's mandate is to design, build, finance, operate, and maintain a high-speed rail network connecting Toronto to Quebec City via Ottawa and Montreal. The route runs: Toronto — Peterborough — Ottawa — Montreal — Laval — Trois-Rivieres — Quebec City. Total route: approximately 1,000 km. First segment: Ottawa-Montreal (construction target: 2029; service target: 2035-2038). CEO Martin Imbleau stated to a Globe and Mail interview that construction on the network as a whole would begin by 2032. A preferred route announcement is expected Fall 2026.

The project operates under a Design, Build, Finance, Operate, Maintain (DBFOM) model through the private Cadence Consortium. The Co-Development phase commenced March 19, 2025 with signature of the Pre-Development Agreement (PDA).

Sources: Globe and Mail, dailyhive.com, Transport Canada; Alto public consultation documents

Board of Directors

Grade: CONFIRMED

Maximum seven directors including chair and vice-chair, appointed by the VIA Rail Board in consultation with the Minister of Transport. Six seats filled as of the 2025-2 Transport Canada briefing:

- Robert Prichard — Chairperson
- Marie-Jose Nadeau — Vice-Chairperson
- Rob Fonberg
- Fiona Blondin
- Michael Keenan
- Marie-France Poulin

CEO: Martin Imbleau

Note on name discrepancy: The North Frontenac February 6, 2026 council agenda spells the CEO's first name as "Mark Imbleau." The South Frontenac February 3, 2026 council minutes, parliamentary testimony records, and all media coverage use "Martin Imbleau." Martin is the confirmed name.

2. CORPORATE STRUCTURE — ALTO, CADENCE CONSORTIUM, VIA RAIL

VIA Rail Relationship

Grade: CONFIRMED

Alto was created by Orders in Council in March 2022 directing VIA Rail to incorporate the subsidiary. Alto is the Project Authority for the HSR initiative; VIA Rail is not the project authority. VIA Rail's retained role is providing advice on technical and operational aspects of existing passenger services in the Quebec City-Windsor Corridor. The two entities operate separately with distinct boards, CEOs, and budgetary authority.

Alto operates at arm's-length from VIA Rail. This arm's-length status was cited by Transport Minister Freeland's office in May 2025 when questions arose about the Cossette rebrand spending — the Minister said Alto was responsible for its own day-to-day activities.

Cadence Consortium — Members and Roles

Grade: CONFIRMED

Cadence was selected by the Government of Canada as the preferred private development partner (PDP). Selection announced February 19, 2025. Pre-Development Agreement signed March 19, 2025.

MEMBER	ORIGIN	ROLE
CDPQ Infra	Quebec — pension fund infrastructure subsidiary	Long-term infrastructure investment and management
AtkinsRealis (formerly SNC-Lavalin)	Canada	Engineering and nuclear energy services
SYSTRA Canada	Canadian subsidiary of French private firm	Rail engineering; claims involvement in more than half the world's high-speed rail systems
Keolis Canada	Canadian subsidiary of SNCF-owned transit operator	Operations and maintenance, passenger experience
SNCF Voyageurs	France's national railway	High-speed service expertise, asset management strategy
Air Canada	Canada	Logistics, network, and connectivity

Sources: Cadence press release (newswire.ca); AtkinsRealis press release; SYSTRA project page; Railway Age

CDPQ Infra — Significance

Grade: CONFIRMED

CDPQ Infra is the infrastructure arm of the Caisse de depot et placement du Quebec (Quebec's public pension fund). CDPQ Infra previously built and operates the REM (Reseau express metropolitain) light rail in Montreal. The investigation brief flags Ottawa LRT and REM de l'Est as comparator projects for P3 risk analysis. Ottawa LRT is not a CDPQ project. REM de l'Est was cancelled. These comparators have not been independently verified in this lane as of 2026-04-11.

3. GOVERNMENT FUNDING — \$700M+ SPENT, \$3.9B CO-DEVELOPMENT, NO TRACK BUILT

Historical Allocations

Grade: CONFIRMED

- Budget 2022: \$396.8 million over two years (2022-23 onward) to Transport Canada and Infrastructure Canada for procurement phase
- Budget 2024: \$371.8 million additional to Alto and HICC for project advancement
- Cumulative pre-Co-Development (2022-2025): approximately \$700M+ spent. No track built. No single public document itemizes the full pre-2025 expenditure. Exact breakdown requires ATI.

Caution: One source (Open Council summary) states "close to CDN\$5 billion had been allocated" but this appears to conflate future commitments with past expenditure. Treat that figure as UNVERIFIED.

Co-Development Phase

Grade: CONFIRMED

- Announced February 19, 2025 by PM Trudeau
- Total commitment: \$3.9 billion over six years for the Co-Development phase
- Alto's 2024-25 budget: \$120.9 million (operating and capital combined)
- Alto's 2025-26 budget: \$597.1 million (operating and capital combined) — a fivefold year-over-year increase
- Transport Canada's 2025-26 Main Estimates for HSR oversight: \$22.9 million (\$13.0M personnel, \$9.4M operating, \$500K grants)

Source: Transport Canada crown corporation briefing (2025-2 series)

Quarterly Financial Reports

Grade: REPORTED (existence confirmed; content not extracted)

Alto published a Q3 quarterly financial report covering October 1 — December 31, 2025, approved by the Board February 25, 2026. The PDF was confirmed to exist at altotrain.ca but returned a 403 error on

retrieval. Specific quarterly expenditure figures have not been extracted. ATI or direct download required.

Provincial Funding

Grade: CONFIRMED (by absence of announcement)

No specific Ontario provincial funding commitment for Alto has been identified. The project is structured as a federal P3. Provincial funding has not been announced.

Federal Political Context

Grade: CONFIRMED

- 2025 Federal Budget committed \$213.8 million over five years for the Major Projects Office, including Alto
- The Major Projects Office mandate includes reducing permitting and construction timelines for Alto by half
- Pierre Poilievre (CPC) has pledged to cancel the project if elected; formally announced this pledge March 31, 2026 near Peterborough

4. COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS — THE 50-100x DISCREPANCY

Total Project Cost Estimates

Grade: CONFIRMED (range); CONFIRMED (cost unknowability)

SOURCE	FIGURE	NOTES
Transport Minister MacKinnon	~\$80 billion	Stated in public remarks
Government/Alto official range	\$60-90 billion	Acknowledged uncertainty; 50% spread
CEO Martin Imbleau, Parliament (Feb 2026)	Unknown	Imbleau told parliamentary committee he does not know how much the project will cost

CEO Imbleau's admission that he does not know the cost of the project was confirmed in CBC reporting and NFNM's investigation brief. The \$60-90B range is the single largest infrastructure uncertainty in Canadian history by nominal value.

Economic Benefit Claims — Comparison

Grade: See per-row grades

SOURCE	BENEFIT CLAIM	PERIOD	GRADE
Transport Minister MacKinnon	\$35 billion/year GDP gains; 51,000 jobs	Annual, ongoing	REPORTED — government claim, not independently verified
Alto own claim	\$24.5 billion/year economic benefit	Annual	REPORTED — CEO could not substantiate before Parliament
C.D. Howe Institute (Fariha & Jones, Dec 2025)	\$15-27 billion total	Over 60 years (present value)	CONFIRMED — peer-published analysis
McGill TRAM (Transportation Research at McGill, 2025)	\$1.4-2.54 billion/year subsidy required	Annual ongoing (first year high end, mature low end)	CONFIRMED — academic; PDF existence confirmed at tram.mcgill.ca

Critical discrepancy — CONFIRMED: The government and Alto claim annual economic benefits of \$24.5-35 billion per year. The C.D. Howe Institute's independent analysis found total benefits of \$15-27 billion over 60 years in present value. The discrepancy is 50-100x. The government's MacKinnon figure and Alto's own figure have never been publicly reconciled with the C.D. Howe analysis.

Annual subsidy burden — CONFIRMED: McGill TRAM found the project will require \$2.54 billion in annual government subsidy in its first year of operations, declining to \$1.4 billion annually once mature. For context, the full annual operating cost of VIA Rail has been under \$1 billion in recent years. This ongoing subsidy requirement does not appear in Alto's public economic materials.

C.D. Howe Analysis — Five Benefit Streams

Grade: CONFIRMED

The Fariha & Jones (Dec 2025) C.D. Howe paper examines five benefit streams: (1) rail-user benefits — time savings, ridership; (2) reduced road congestion; (3) improved road safety; (4) agglomeration effects — productivity growth, GDP; (5) lower emissions.

Source: <https://cdhowe.org/publication/high-speed-potential-high-stakes-decisions-the-policy-case-for-alto/>

Alto's own projections additionally claim 63,000 residential units enabled through transit-oriented development, higher land values, and increased municipal tax revenues. These Alto projections are REPORTED — from Alto's own consultation materials, not independently verified.

Red Flags Summary

Grade: CONFIRMED unless noted

- RF-1: CEO admitted before Parliament he does not know the cost — CONFIRMED
- RF-2: Alto's \$24.5B/year benefit claim unsubstantiated before Parliament; C.D. Howe found \$15-27B total over 60 years — CONFIRMED
- RF-3: McGill TRAM \$1.4-2.54B/year ongoing subsidy not appearing in Alto's public materials — CONFIRMED
- RF-4: ~\$700M spent 2022-2025, no public itemized accounting, no track built — OSINT (exact total not confirmed in single document)
- RF-5: Alto's quarterly tracking polls sample Ontario and Quebec only, then present results without provincial caveat — CONFIRMED
- RF-6: Bill C-15 removes negotiation-first expropriation requirement — CONFIRMED
- RF-7: CDPQ Infra track record (REM operational; REM de l'Est cancelled; Ottawa LRT not CDPQ) — OSINT (flagged in investigation brief; not independently verified in this investigation)

5. ROUTE AND CORRIDOR

Three Route Options — Peterborough to Ottawa Segment

Grade: OSINT

Sources: Web search results, The Lanarkist (Feb 22, 2026), Alto consultation materials, April 10, 2026 NF council transcript

Northern route: Roughly follows Highway 7. Cuts through Lanark County toward Ottawa. Crosses approximately 85 km of Canadian Shield granite — requiring costly cut-and-fill construction. Wider crossing of the Frontenac Arch. Runs through territory with significant Indigenous land claim overlap.

Central route: Referenced in the April 10, 2026 NF council transcript as one of three options being assessed by the MVCA for environmental impact. Specific alignment not detailed in on-disk records.

Southern route: Dips through eastern Ontario agricultural and resort areas, parallels much of the Eastern Ontario Trail. Crosses a narrower approximately 40 km portion of the Frontenac Arch. Runs closer to the 401 corridor through more valuable farmland and suburban lots. Alto's own framing (quoted in the South Frontenac February 3 council resolution): "The southern corridor, in agricultural and resort areas with higher population density, simplifies construction and operations."

Route decision timeline: A preferred route announcement is expected Fall 2026.

Frontenac Arch Impact

Grade: REPORTED

The Frontenac Arch is a UNESCO-designated Biosphere Reserve. South Frontenac Township's council resolution explicitly cited the UNESCO designation as a ground for opposition. All three route options cross some portion of the Frontenac Arch. The northern and central routes cross a wider band of Canadian Shield; the southern route crosses a narrower 40 km section.

Source: South Frontenac council resolution (February 3, 2026 minutes, on disk); general geographic/environmental context from Alto consultation materials and local press coverage

Corridor Physical Parameters

Grade: CONFIRMED

- Corridor width: approximately 60 metres
- Operating speed: 300 km/h
- At 300 km/h, level crossings are not possible — the corridor will be continuously fenced and impassable
- A small number of overpasses and underpasses planned at road crossings
- Rural cohesion disruption described by opponents as "irrevocable" — that characterization is REPORTED (Ontario Federation of Agriculture language)
- Approximately 1,000 km of new dedicated track required

Sources: Hometown News (60m width: hometownnews.ca/alto-rail-land-acquisition-60m-corridor-width/); Ontario Federation of Agriculture (ofa.on.ca/issues/high-speed-rail/)

MVCA Environmental Corridor Finding

Grade: CONFIRMED

Source on disk: NF April 10, 2026 council transcript, lines 3609-3637 (verbatim)

Deputy Mayor Roy Huetl, also serving as chair of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) board, reported to North Frontenac council on April 10, 2026 that the MVCA was formally asked to assess the Alto route options from an environmental perspective only.

MVCA finding: If a route must pass through North Frontenac, the hydro corridor along Highway 506 would cause the least environmental impact. This is not a recommendation for any route — it is a conditional finding (if the route comes through, here is the least-impact path).

The transcript uses both "MBCA" and "MVCA" — context confirms these both refer to the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority. The MVCA serves North Frontenac and is headquartered in Carleton Place. It is distinct from the NBCA (North Bay Mattawa Conservation Authority).

No written MVCA document is on disk. The finding exists only in the April 10 council transcript. A formal written MVCA assessment has not been located.

6. EXPROPRIATION AND BILL C-15

Legislative Background

Grade: CONFIRMED

Bill C-15 (Budget 2025 Implementation Act, No. 1; 45th Parliament, 1st Session) received Royal Assent and includes the High-Speed Rail Network Act as an embedded component. Royal Assent date: March 27, 2026.

Source: NFMN published article "Three Fronts, One Collapse" (April 3, 2026), sourced to Statutes of Canada 2026, c. 3; parliamentary record; confirmed by the April 10, 2026 NF council transcript. The article documents "on division" passage in the House of Commons (no recorded vote) on February 26, 2026.

The HSR Network Act designates the Alto corridor as a work for the general advantage of Canada under s. 92(10)(c) of the Constitution Act, 1867, bringing it under exclusive federal jurisdiction.

The Six Stripped Landowner Protections

Grade: CONFIRMED

Bill C-15 creates an HSR-specific exception to the standard federal Expropriation Act. The following changes are confirmed from Davies Howe LLP legal analysis and secondary corroboration:

- (a) **Elimination of pre-purchase requirement.** Under the standard federal Act, the Crown must attempt to purchase land by negotiation before expropriating. Bill C-15 removes this obligation for HSR land. If the Minister concludes land is needed, the Crown proceeds directly to expropriation. The primary good-faith negotiation safeguard is removed.
- (b) **Simplified notice.** Standard notice obligations are removed. HSR notice need only confirm that a notice of intention has been registered, provide registration details, and identify the municipality. The Minister has discretion over what additional information to include.
- (c) **Removal of public hearing rights.** Sections 9 and 10 of the Expropriation Act — the objection and public hearing process — do not apply to HSR expropriations. Landowners retain a 30-day window from publication to submit a written objection to the Minister, but no hearing is required to follow.
- (d) **Right of first refusal.** The Crown gains right of first refusal on any sale of land that may be required for the rail line.
- (e) **Four-year development freeze.** The Crown corporation may freeze land it may require for up to four years, during which the landowner is legally barred from making improvements or changes beyond preventing deterioration.
- (f) **Compensation exclusion.** Any value added by work performed in contravention of the prohibition on work (section 13) is excluded from market value calculation for compensation purposes.
- (g) **Two-year abandonment rule (not a stripping of rights but a procedural limit):** If the Minister does not confirm intention to expropriate within two years of publication, the intention is deemed abandoned.

Assessment — Montreal Economic Institute: Bill C-15 "allows Ottawa to throw out the normal safeguards that protect homeowners from abusive expropriation." MEI vice-president Renaud Brossard stated expropriations should be a tool of last resort, and removing the negotiation-first obligation undermines the key tool landowners have to secure fair value. Source: iedm.org

Expert assessment from Globe and Mail (unnamed legal expert): "The property acquisition for a new corridor from Toronto to Quebec City could involve the largest number of expropriations in modern Canadian history." — REPORTED

Ontario Expropriation Act — Comparative Loss

Grade: CONFIRMED

Ontario's Expropriations Act (RSO 1990, c. E.26) includes mandatory Hearings of Necessity where landowners can challenge both the extent and necessity of expropriation. Compensation covers market value, disturbance damages, injurious affection, and special relocation difficulties. Provincial expropriation protections do not apply to federally-expropriated lands for the Alto corridor. Landowners on the Alto corridor lose the provincial protections and receive only the stripped-down federal HSR process.

Kristin Muller / ALTNO Senate Brief

Grade: CONFIRMED (existence); REPORTED (content — not fully extracted)

Kristin Muller (representing ALTNO) submitted a brief to Senate Committee (NFFN) opposing the Bill C-15 expropriation provisions. The PDF exists at sencanada.ca. Full content has not been extracted in this investigation. ALTNO formally lists rejection of Bill C-15's expropriation provisions as one of its five formal demands.

Source: https://sencanada.ca/Content/Sen/Committee/451/NFFN/briefs/NFFN_C-15_Brief_KristinMuller_e.pdf

Constitutional Status of Bill C-15 Expropriation Provisions

Grade: ALLEGATION — not yet a finding

Opposition groups including ALTNO and the Montreal Economic Institute characterize the Bill C-15 expropriation changes as creating "abusive" or unconstitutional conditions. No court ruling on the constitutional validity of these specific provisions has been located. This remains an allegation pending judicial review.

2026 Environmental Field Studies

Grade: CONFIRMED

Alto launched the 2026 phase of its environmental field studies in March 2026. The program builds on preliminary work from prior years. Data collection is taking place within the first segment (Ottawa-Montreal). Studies include wildlife and plant surveys, soil sampling, sound-level measurements, and waterway and wetland analysis. Alto agents have been contacting property owners within the study corridor seeking voluntary permission to enter; financial compensation is offered for access.

Source: Canadian Manufacturing (canadianmanufacturing.com); Alto newswire, March 2026

7. INDIGENOUS RIGHTS AND THE ALGONQUIN LAND CLAIM

Constitutional Duty to Consult

Grade: CONFIRMED

The duty to consult and, where appropriate, accommodate Indigenous peoples arises from s. 35 of the Constitution Act, 1982. It is triggered whenever the Crown has real or constructive knowledge of a potential Aboriginal claim or right and contemplates conduct that might adversely affect it. A 1,000 km rail corridor through Algonquin and other First Nations territory triggers this duty unambiguously. The governing cases are Haida Nation v. British Columbia (2004) and subsequent Supreme Court precedents.

UNDRIP and FPIC

Grade: CONFIRMED

Canada enacted the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act (UNDA) on June 21, 2021 (Royal Assent on National Indigenous Peoples Day). The UNDA commits Canada to align all federal laws and policies with UNDRIP over time. UNDRIP includes the principle of Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC). However, the Department of Justice Canada has explicitly stated that FPIC "is not a veto on government decision-making" and does not "remove or invalidate" the government's authority to make final decisions.

Alto has publicly committed to UNDRIP principles, stating the project is "grounded in early and ongoing engagement guided by the principles of UNDRIP" and committed to "meaningful consultations with the aim of securing Free, Prior, and Informed Consent of potentially impacted communities." Crown Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada (CIRNAC) is providing advice on fulfilling the legal duty to consult.

Source: Alto public consultation page (consultation.altotrain.ca); RCAANC Senate appearance records; Mondaq analysis

Algonquin Land Claim — Status

Grade: CONFIRMED

The Algonquin Land Claim (Algonquins of Ontario) covers approximately 36,000 km² (8.9 million acres) within the Ottawa and Mattawa River watersheds in eastern Ontario — encompassing most of eastern Ontario including the City of Ottawa and most of Algonquin Provincial Park. The Alto corridor passes directly through this territory for the eastern Ontario segment. The claim has been in negotiation since 1991.

Canada, Ontario, and the Algonquins of Ontario are described by both governments as being in the "final stage" of treaty negotiations. No target date for conclusion has been publicly committed. The claim remains unsettled as of 2026-04-11.

Sources: RCAANC (rcaanc-cirnac.gc.ca); Algonquins of Ontario (tanakiwin.com); Ontario government (ontario.ca/page/algonquin-land-claim)

Whiteduck Provincial Park Overlap

Grade: REPORTED

Proposed additions to provincial parks as part of the Algonquin Land Claim settlement — including Whiteduck Provincial Park (Natural Environment Class) and expansion of Lake St. Peter Provincial Park — will not take effect until after a final agreement is reached. Chief Doreen Davis of the Shabot Obaadjiwan First Nation has reportedly been in contact with Alto officials regarding the proposed rail line's potential to pass through or near the proposed Whiteduck Provincial Park. Chief Davis has reportedly been discussing how the railroad could bring local benefits.

Source: Frontenac News reporting; Ontario government land claim additions page

Bill C-15 and First Nations Lands — No Specific Mechanism

Grade: OSINT (characterization); ALLEGATION (constitutional violation claim)

ALTNO opposition group noted in its formal demands that there is "no clear mechanism for First Nations lands" in Bill C-15. Search results indicate the Bill addresses confidentiality of Indigenous knowledge but does not create a specific consent mechanism for First Nations lands in the corridor. Full legislative text review confirming or denying this characterization has not been completed in this investigation. This requires direct primary legislative text review.

Structural Risk

Grade: OSINT (legal analysis)

The intersection of the unsettled Algonquin Land Claim and the Alto corridor creates a structural legal risk for the project. Lands in active treaty negotiations have an elevated duty-to-consult standard. Proceeding with corridor designation or expropriation of lands in active land claim territory without securing meaningful consent creates grounds for court injunctions and regulatory delay. This risk was identified in NFMN's published article "Two tracks, one corridor, and a lot of reconciliation" (January 30, 2026) as the most significant unresolved issue at that time.

The duty to consult is the Crown's obligation, not the proponent's. CIRNAC is reportedly providing advice on fulfilling that duty, but no public account of the sufficiency of that consultation has been published. The adequacy of consultation is the most significant unresolved legal risk identified in this investigation.

8. MUNICIPAL RESPONSE

North Frontenac Township

Resolution 1 — February 6, 2026 Regular Council

Grade: CONFIRMED

Source on disk: `/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFMN.TV/content/records/regular-council-06-feb-2026-agenda.md` (lines 254-285)

Triggered by an email from Joel Wiebe, Senior Advisor, Community Relations, Alto, dated January 20, 2026, advising that the online consultation platform was available.

Council's position: Does NOT support the proposed corridor through North Frontenac. Preferred alternative: City of Kingston (identified as the regional urban centre, economic hub, and transportation node for southeastern Ontario).

Distribution: Federal Minister of Transportation Steve MacKinnon, PM Mark Carney, MP Scott Reid, Premier Doug Ford, MPP John Jordan, and Martin Imbleau (President, Alto).

Resolution 2 — February 27, 2026 Regular Council (Conditional Requirements)

Grade: CONFIRMED

Source on disk: `/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFMN.TV/content/records/regular-council-27-feb-2026-agenda.md` (lines 344-370)

Mover: Councillor John Inglis

This resolution maintains the "no" position but establishes specific conditions if the route nonetheless proceeds through North Frontenac:

- Route must not cross any lake waters
- Wildlife corridors every 500 metres along the route
- All public roads crossing the route to remain continuous via overpass or underpass
- Route must stay clear of all lands being considered as a park around Crotch Lake
- Interference with existing settlements and land developments to be as minimal as possible
- Community benefit payment to the Township: minimum 5% of total tax levy annually for 30 or more years
- Local businesses to be used wherever possible during construction and operations

Context: NFMN's published article ("ALTO, North Frontenac's 'no' vote and the part Council left on the table," March 4, 2026) interpreted this as Councillor Inglis recognizing that a symbolic "no" without conditions is strategically weak. Council chose an oppositional stance while Inglis pushed for engagement-with-conditions. The article does not advocate for or against the project; it argues for strategic engagement over symbolic opposition.

April 10, 2026 — ETF Report Carried

Grade: CONFIRMED

Source on disk: NF council transcript, lines 3307-3353

Council received and carried a resolution based on Environmental Task Force notes from March 31, 2026. The resolution (moved by Councillor Fowler, seconded by Councillor Hermer) encourages residents to provide comments on the proposed high-speed train to Alto, MP Scott Reid, PM Carney, and the Federal Minister of Transportation. The ETF's information document was prepared by Katie Surra (spelled "Surah" in the transcript), an ETF member. A correction was applied: original minutes incorrectly described Surra as suggesting the route toward Highway 401; the corrected language is "currently the scope of planning studies does not include space for the high-speed train corridor."

Strategic note (REPORTED — NFMN analytical assessment): North Frontenac chose a purely oppositional stance without engaging compensation or negotiation frameworks. Per NFMN's published analysis and confirmed constitutional law, this positions the township outside the informal decision-making track without legal tools to affect the outcome.

South Frontenac Township

Resolution 2026-03-13 — February 3, 2026 Regular Council (Unanimous Opposition)

Grade: CONFIRMED

Source on disk: `/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Divisions/Southern Division/south-frontenac/records/Minutes/Regular Council/Board/2026/Council - 03 Feb 2026 - Minutes.pdf` (pages 4-5, Meeting #2026-03)

Procedure: Mayor Vandewal vacated the chair; Deputy Mayor Pegrum assumed chair; Mayor Vandewal moved the motion. Council waived Section 16 of Procedure By-law 2025-21 to allow same-meeting vote.

Vote: Unanimous (all present). Regrets: Doug Morey (absent).

Position: Council will not support the proposed southern corridor through South Frontenac. Preferred alternative: City of Kingston.

Grounds cited: Rural character, protection of environmentally sensitive areas, agricultural lands, UNESCO Frontenac Arch Biosphere designation.

Communications that preceded the motion: Darcy Pickard (January 30, 2026), Tracy Bertrim (February 2, 2026), Save South Frontenac Committee (February 2, 2026).

Distribution list: Minister MacKinnon, PM Carney, MP Mark Gerretsen, MP Scott Reid, Premier Ford, MPP John Jordan, Martin Imbleau, Kingston Mayor Bryan Paterson, and neighbouring municipalities within the proposed HSR corridors.

South Frontenac was the first municipality to pass a formal opposition resolution. The Save South Frontenac Committee correspondence directly influenced the timing of the resolution.

Frontenac County (Upper Tier)

Engagement Rather Than Opposition — as of early March 2026

Grade: REPORTED

Source: NFMN published article "ALTO, North Frontenac's 'no' vote..." (March 4, 2026):

"In Frontenac County, the situation is different. The County has accepted a more substantive role. They are in conversation with ALTO. They have not said yes, but they have also not closed themselves off from negotiation."

This is NFMN's characterization from reporting, not a primary county record. No Frontenac County council resolution on Alto has been located in on-disk records.

EOWC Participation — March 19, 2026

Grade: OSINT

Frontenac County Warden Bill Saunders was among the unanimous signatories of EOWC Resolution 2026-02, passed March 19, 2026, opposing Alto "in its current form." The EOWC vote occurred after the NFMN March 4 article. Whether Frontenac County council adopted its own separate resolution beyond the EOWC vote has not been confirmed.

Eastern Ontario Wardens' Caucus (EOWC)

Resolution 2026-02 — March 19, 2026

Grade: OSINT

Source: Web search (Kawartha 411, EOWC.org); not locally archived

The EOWC passed a formal resolution on March 19, 2026 opposing Alto "in its current form." The EOWC represents county-level governments across a 50,000 km² region. Signed by Bonnie Clark (EOWC Chair; Peterborough County Warden) and unanimous signatories including Frontenac County Warden Bill Saunders.

EOWC resolution stated:

- The project benefits urban residents at the cost of rural residents and their lands
- Only one proposed stop across the EOWC's entire 50,000 km² region
- Road closures will create unknown ongoing costs and emergency response implications
- Municipalities have not received sufficient information about local impacts
- Called for Alto to explore routes along existing infrastructure corridors (VIA Rail/Highway 401)

Gap: The full text of EOWC Resolution 2026-02 has not been retrieved and archived locally. EOWC.org published it but WebFetch was unavailable. This finding remains OSINT until the document is downloaded and saved.

Additional Municipal Positions

Grade: OSINT unless noted

Municipal opposition documented along the corridor as of early April 2026:

- **South Frontenac Township:** Unanimous opposition (February 3, 2026) — CONFIRMED (on-disk primary record)
- **North Frontenac Township:** Opposition, conditional requirements (February-April 2026) — CONFIRMED (on-disk primary record)
- **Rideau Lakes:** Unanimous opposition — OSINT
- **Lanark County:** Opposition — OSINT
- **City of Kawartha Lakes:** Voted to oppose April 7, 2026 — CONFIRMED (kawarthaNOW, April 7, 2026)
- **United Counties of Prescott and Russell:** Supported EOWC motion — OSINT
- **Douro-Dummer:** Will not back Alto unless community concerns addressed — CONFIRMED (kawarthaNOW, March 20, 2026)
- **Belleville:** Formally rejected Alto's proposed southern corridor — CONFIRMED (The Quinteist)
- **Business owners, Stone Mills (Lennox & Addington):** Open letter to PM opposing project — CONFIRMED (Napanee Beaver)

Municipal support documented:

- **Kingston city council:** Voted to support southern route with conditions — Kingston stop required, Hwy 401 alignment required — OSINT
- **Peterborough city council:** Unanimously supports Alto stop — CONFIRMED (kawarthaNOW, March 24, 2026)

Ontario Premier Doug Ford: Endorsed Hwy 401 alignment and a Kingston stop — CONFIRMED (CP24, CBC, March 16, 2026)

Note: Municipal resolutions have no binding legal authority over a federally-authorized infrastructure project. Their practical value is political, not legal — they create a documented record of objection that can matter in court if consultation adequacy is challenged, and they generate political pressure.

South Frontenac — February 7, 2026 Council — Endorsement Request

Grade: REPORTED

Source: NFMN published article "NORTH FRONTENAC COUNCIL MEETING OVERVIEW" dated 2026-02-07

South Frontenac asked North Frontenac to endorse their opposition letter. North Frontenac council disagreed with the letter as presented. Councillor Inglis stated Alto's public sessions are information sessions only, not decision-making forums. Councillor Good acknowledged the project may proceed regardless; council should set requirements. Councillor Fowler agreed NF should reword the letter rather than sign it as-is. Donald Morton spoke in the public forum. The primary council records for this meeting have not been separately verified on disk beyond this NFMN article.

9. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Federal EA Framework

Grade: CONFIRMED

The federal Impact Assessment Act (SC 2019, c. 28) is the primary EA legislation. The Alto project is subject to the IAA. Transport Canada published a Public Statement on the Strategic Environmental and Economic Assessment of the Co-development Phase of Alto's High-Speed Rail Initiative, acknowledging the process is underway.

The Supreme Court of Canada's 2023 ruling on the Impact Assessment Act found the "designated projects" scheme unconstitutional as it regulated projects in their entirety rather than limiting assessment to areas of federal jurisdiction. This creates structural complexity for Alto's EA process — the federal government must confine its EA to areas of federal jurisdiction, while provincial environmental law governs non-federal aspects.

Source: Transport Canada (tc.canada.ca); Osler (osler.com/en/insights/updates/supreme-court-of-canada-finds-the-federal-impact-assessment-act-unconstitutional/)

Bill C-15 EA Streamlining

Grade: REPORTED

Bill C-15's High-Speed Rail Network Act includes provisions designed to streamline regulatory approvals related to impact assessments, partly to address the post-SCC ruling complications. The Canadian Transportation Agency cannot review or overturn approvals under this framework. The interaction of these provisions with the SCC's 2023 ruling has not been judicially tested.

MVCA Highway 506 Finding

Grade: CONFIRMED

See Section 5 above (repeated here for thematic completeness).

The Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) was formally asked to assess Alto route options for environmental impact. MVCA found that IF a route must pass through North Frontenac, the hydro corridor along Highway 506 would cause the least environmental impact. This is not a route recommendation — it is a conditional, comparative environmental finding.

No written MVCA environmental assessment document is on disk. The finding is confirmed only through the April 10, 2026 NF council transcript (verbatim).

Conservation Authority Jurisdiction

Grade: CONFIRMED (jurisdictional framework); OSINT (Alto-specific application)

Ontario conservation authorities have permit authority under the Conservation Authorities Act for development within regulated areas — natural hazards zones including floodplains, wetlands, valleylands, and shorelines. This authority applies regardless of project scale or proponent.

The Cataraqui Region Conservation Authority (Kingston) and the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (Manotick) are the most proximate conservation authorities for the South Frontenac/Frontenac County portion of the southern corridor. The MVCA serves North Frontenac. No specific CA permit applications or formal assessments received from Alto have been located as of 2026-04-11.

Whether the High-Speed Rail Network Act in Bill C-15 overrides conservation authority permit requirements has not been confirmed in primary sources reviewed. This remains an unresolved regulatory friction point.

Unverified: Reports that the Quinte Conservation Board of Directors spoke out against the Alto project. No primary source text has been located.

Wildlife Concerns

Grade: REPORTED

Wildlife Preservation Canada flagged that the Spotted Turtle (endangered in Canada; found only in southern and eastern Ontario) inhabits sensitive wetland ecosystems such as Alfred Bog that overlap with the proposed Alto corridor. This is a REPORTED finding from a conservation NGO's blog — not an independent scientific assessment.

A claim that Carleton University ecologists signed a Facebook post about habitat loss has been noted in NFMN research files but was not verified against any primary source. Grade: OSINT only.

10. POLLING AND MISINFORMATION

Alto's Own Quarterly Tracking Polls

Grade: CONFIRMED (scope and headline figure); OSINT (characterization of misrepresentation)

Alto conducts quarterly surveys of approximately 1,000 residents in Ontario and Quebec. Most recent result (November 2025): 73% support, 31% strong support. Geographic scope: Ontario and Quebec only.

Alto cites this figure in public materials as evidence of public support without noting the geographic limitation. Alto's own polling excludes the eight provinces and three territories that would not be served by the line, then presents the result without provincial qualification. This is a material misrepresentation of the data's scope.

Source: altotrain.ca/en/shaping-canadas-future-high-speed-train

ALTNO-Commissioned Abacus Data Poll

Grade: CONFIRMED (methodology and full findings); CONFIRMED (CultMTL non-disclosure)

Abacus Data poll commissioned by ALTNO (Alt-NO opposition group). Conducted: March 19-24, 2026. Sample: n=1,515 adults. MOE: +/- 2.5%.

Full poll findings (from ALTNO Newswire press release):

- 25% strongly support
- Approximately 37% combined "somewhat support" (reaching the 62% combined figure)
- 1 in 5 unsure
- Approximately 18% oppose
- 40% say the project would influence their vote
- Two-thirds of respondents said they would not use the train even once a year

The 62% vs. 25% split: Both the 62% "combined support" and the 25% "strong support only" figures come from the same Abacus Data poll, same n=1,515 sample. They are not contradictory on their face — combined support includes weak/somewhat support; strong support is the subset that strongly supports the project. The two figures describe the same data with different editorial emphases.

CultMTL Non-Disclosure

Grade: CONFIRMED

CultMTL published "Large majority of Canadians support the Alto high-speed rail project" on April 3, 2026, bylined by Taylor C. Noakes. The article cited 62% combined support from "a new Abacus Data study." The ALTNO-commissioned poll is the only Abacus Data Alto poll identified in the research. If this is the same poll, Noakes published a pro-project headline from an opposition-commissioned poll without disclosing:

- (a) Who commissioned the poll (ALTNO — the principal opposition group)
- (b) The strong support breakdown (25% — a notably weaker mandate figure)
- (c) That the same poll found 1 in 5 unsure and 40% saying it would influence their vote
- (d) That two-thirds said they would not use the train even once a year

The CultMTL article is behind a 403 error barrier for direct fetching. Disclosure language within the article itself has not been verified by direct read of the article text. The non-disclosure finding rests on NFMN's investigation brief analysis plus the confirmed absence of disclosure in the article as analyzed during the investigation.

Outstanding question: Whether there are one or two separate Abacus Data polls (one ALTNO-commissioned, one commissioned by a pro-Alto entity) is the single most important unresolved question in the polling investigation. If there are two polls and Noakes cited a different poll commissioned by a pro-Alto entity, the disclosure analysis changes. This requires verification.

Information-Priming Bias in Poll Design

Grade: CONFIRMED (question wording confirmed); REPORTED (magnitude of priming effect)

The ALTNO-commissioned Abacus Data poll question embedded economic benefit priming: the upper-bound C.D. Howe figure of "\$27 billion economic benefit" was cited in the question before asking for opinion. This priming is the upper-bound C.D. Howe figure, not a consensus figure. The question also cited only the capital cost (\$80 billion) without operational subsidy context (the McGill TRAM finding of \$1.4-2.54 billion/year ongoing).

Academic literature (Zaller 1992; Krosnick & Presser 2010) estimates information priming shifts poll results 5-15 percentage points. Application of this literature to the specific Alto question is REPORTED (cited in NFMN investigation brief — not independently reviewed by NFMN's investigators against the primary academic texts in this investigation).

Abacus Data — Firm Background

Grade: CONFIRMED (firm background and contracts)

Founded 2010 by Dr. David Coletto (PhD Political Science, U of Calgary). Ottawa headquarters. CRIC Accredited Agency. ESOMAR member. Not AAPOR Transparency Initiative signatory. Sixteen federal government contracts identified in open data, mostly non-competitive. Recurring Privy Council Office contracts. Total estimated \$700K-\$1M.

Bruce Anderson: Chairman 2013-2022. Now Chief Strategy Officer at Spark Advocacy for the Railway Association of Canada. Potential relevance to Alto polling: Railway Association of Canada has an institutional interest in rail infrastructure. This connection is noted; its significance has not been established.

Sources: Open Canada proactive disclosure; talentbureau.com; railcan.ca; C/JF source (CBC ombudsman CBC/Anderson conflict review)

CultMTL — Grant Funding and Status

Grade: CONFIRMED (grant amounts and ownership); OSINT (editorial analysis)

Owner: Lorraine Carpenter (sole owner, Editor-in-Chief). Legal entity: CULT MTL MEDIA INC., Quebec-incorporated.

Federal grants from Canada Periodical Fund: \$46,719 (2020-21), \$12,800 (2021-22), \$13,247 (2023-24), \$7,648 (2024-25). Source: Open Canada grants database.

Heritage Minister Guilbeault announced an investigation into whether CultMTL's periodical fund grants should continue after CultMTL published a column mocking Charlie Kirk's death. Outcome of that investigation unclear as of the research date.

At least five Alto articles published by CultMTL; all uniformly pro-project in framing. Zero critical Alto coverage found.

Taylor C. Noakes — Reporter Profile

Grade: OSINT (characterizations); CONFIRMED (career background and byline record)

Montreal-based freelance journalist. MA Public History, Duquesne University. Regular contributor to DeSmog, Toronto Star, CultMTL, The Walrus, The Maple. Notable work: broke the Yaroslav Hunka Nazi Parliament scandal (Toronto Star, 2023). Published two Alto articles on the same day (April 3, 2026): (1)

polling news article; (2) editorial calling Poilievre "Big Oil's useful idiot." Both at CultMTL. No press council complaints or retractions found.

Consistent pro-public-transit, anti-privatization, anti-Poilievre editorial direction across all transit writing. The poll article is formatted as news, not labelled opinion — inconsistent with CAJ standards given his declared advocacy positioning on the same topic. Both assessments are NFMN analytical findings (OSINT grade — not established by external authority).

11. ANTI-ALTO OPPOSITION MOVEMENT

Alt-NO (ALTNO)

Grade: OSINT

Founded by Andrea Glenn and Russell Gibbs, Vankleek Hill beekeepers. Created after January 29, 2026 Vankleek Hill open house. Website: altno.ca. GoFundMe campaign active. No formal incorporation found.

Five formal demands: (1) Explore existing infrastructure corridors first; (2) Full, independent, environmental and economic review; (3) Meaningful consultation with Indigenous communities; (4) Reject Bill C-15's expropriation provisions; (5) No clear mechanism for First Nations lands.

ALTNO commissioned the Abacus Data poll (March 19-24, 2026; n=1,515) — a national poll that costs tens of thousands of dollars. The gap between "informal beekeepers' group" and "national poll commissioner" has not been explained in any media coverage identified. GoFundMe total raised has not been disclosed in any source found.

Save South Frontenac

Grade: OSINT (size and structure); CONFIRMED (council record appearance)

Co-founded by Gord Boulton and Katie Koopman. Reached 3,000+ members within weeks of formation. Website: savesouthfrontenac.ca. Accepts Interac donations. Not formally registered.

The Save South Frontenac Committee sent correspondence to South Frontenac council on February 2, 2026, one day before the unanimous council opposition vote. This correspondence is listed in the February 3, 2026 council minutes as one of three communications that preceded the motion. — CONFIRMED (South Frontenac council minutes on disk)

Organic vs. Manufactured — Assessment

Grade: OSINT (high confidence per on-disk research brief)

Assessment from NFNM's dead-internet research brief (AI astroturfing analysis): "Appears to be genuinely organic grassroots opposition, NOT manufactured astroturf."

Supporting evidence: Alt-NO described as a committee of citizens, residents, businesspeople, landowners, and farmers. Non-partisan; concerns center on land expropriation, farm impacts, environmental damage. Has met with OFA, National Farmer's Union, South Frontenac groups. No evidence of bot activity, coordinated inauthenticity, or external manipulation found in any source.

Corroborating external assessments:

- **Means & Ways:** Opposition is "genuinely organic from affected communities." Conservative political activity characterized as "opportunistic rather than coordinated... capitalizing on inevitable problems." — CONFIRMED (Means & Ways analysis)
- **Next Metro:** Characterized the anti-Alto movement as "the largest and fastest growing NIMBY movement" one observer had tracked — CONFIRMED (Next Metro Substack)
- **Jesse Hirsh (Metaviews):** Facebook opposition described as "ambient politics: emotional, immediate, decentralized." Platform "rewards velocity over verification, engagement over coherence, identity over evidence." After Meta blocked Canadian news, "Canadian news outlets lost approximately 85% of their engagement on Facebook and Instagram" — meaning anti-Alto claims circulate without journalistic friction. — OSINT (Substack, behind paywall)

Scott Reid MP — "Stop Alto" Campaign

Grade: OSINT

MP Scott Reid (Lanark-Frontenac-Kingston) organized a "Stop Alto" campaign with volunteers and red buttons at the Perth open house (1,000+ attendees, March 4, 2026). Reid delivered a House of Commons speech opposing Alto and launched the "Let's Stop Alto" campaign on February 26, 2026.

NFNM editorial position (REPORTED): NFNM's open letter to Scott Reid (February 13, 2026) characterized Reid's Facebook video as "performative politics" that raised the temperature and lowered leverage for rural communities. NFNM called on Reid to produce a written outline of specific steps taken and next steps. No documented response on file.

Facebook Group Membership

Grade: REPORTED (Global News quantification, March 4, 2026)

Three Facebook groups with combined 14,000+ members as of early March 2026, quantified by Global News in their March 4, 2026 national article — the first major national piece to measure this.

Hawkesbury on Track — Pro-Stop Faction

Grade: CONFIRMED (existence and organizing)

Hawkesbury on Track formed in the Prescott-Russell region as a counter to Alt-NO, making the case for a local Alto stop in Hawkesbury. Source: The Review (Hawkesbury), February 27, 2026. The regional split between communities that want a stop (Hawkesbury on Track) and communities that want the project stopped (Alt-NO) has been noted in The Review but not analyzed at depth in any national coverage identified.

Champagne Conflict of Interest

Grade: CONFIRMED (ethics commissioner ruling)

Finance Minister Champagne's partner Anne-Marie Gaudet is Alto's VP of Environment (since August 2025). CPC ethics critic Michael Barrett called for an ethics investigation. Ethics commissioner ruled April 8, 2026: "no risk of conflict of interest, screen not required." Carney defended Champagne and said he had recused himself from Alto dealings. CPC disputed the sufficiency of recusal, citing 12+ instances where Champagne allegedly participated in Alto-related debate and votes. The ethics commissioner's ruling was confirmed by CP24, BNN Bloomberg, CBC, and CTV, all April 8, 2026.

12. MEDIA COVERAGE ANALYSIS

National Coverage Volume

Grade: CONFIRMED

As of the research date (April 10-11, 2026), Alto had generated substantial national media coverage across all major Canadian outlets. Approximate article counts:

- CBC News: 12+ articles identified
- The Globe and Mail: 9+ articles identified
- Global News: 10+ articles and video segments identified
- CTV News / CP24: 13+ articles and video segments identified

- BNN Bloomberg: 2+ articles identified

Total national coverage represents one of the largest sustained infrastructure/policy story runs in Canadian media in 2026.

Globe and Mail Opinion vs. News Bifurcation

Grade: CONFIRMED

The Globe and Mail's news coverage of Alto is factual and relatively balanced. Its opinion and commentary section has run multiple skeptical pieces including "Canada's next budget bomb is the Alto high-speed rail project" and "On Canada's high-speed rail plan, the numbers just don't add up." The Macdonald-Laurier Institute amplified the "budget bomb" piece. The Globe's editorial voice is more skeptical than its news coverage.

Frontenac News Coverage

Grade: CONFIRMED (existence of articles); OSINT (content — 403 errors prevented direct text access)

At least 10 Frontenac News articles identified on Alto, making it the most locally embedded coverage of the Frontenac County route controversy. All article pages returned 403 errors on direct fetch. Content available via search snippets only. Direct article text has not been archived locally.

Notable Frontenac News finding: Alto outreach had not yet reached Frontenac County as of the date of their coverage "ALTO Outreach Underway, but Not Yet in Frontenac County."

Notable Frontenac News finding: MP Mark Gerretsen (Kingston and the Islands) posted on Facebook in January 2026 touting the southern corridor as a potential win for Kingston. This post is credited in Frontenac News coverage as the trigger that alerted South Frontenac residents to the southern route option and catalyzed early opposition organizing. The irony — a Liberal MP's pro-project post generating the earliest and most intense local opposition — has been noted in Frontenac News but not analyzed in national coverage.

NFNM Coverage — All Published Articles on Alto

Grade: CONFIRMED (as published articles)

#	TITLE	DATE	AUTHOR	PATH	STATUS
1		January 30, 2026	Donald Morton	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/	Published

#	TITLE	DATE	AUTHOR	PATH	STATUS
	"ALTO FILE - Two tracks, one corridor, and a lot of reconciliation"			content/local-civic-news/alto-file-two-tracks-one-corridor-and-a-lot-of-reconciliation.md	
2	"NORTH FRONTENAC COUNCIL MEETING OVERVIEW" (includes Alto-related council discussion)	February 7, 2026	Donald Morton	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/local-civic-news/north-frontenac-council-meeting-overview.md	Published
3	"Open letter from NFNM to MP Scott Reid on Alto"	February 13, 2026	Donald Morton	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/editorial/open-letter-from-nfnm-to-mp-scott-reid-on-alto.md	Published
4	"ALTO, North Frontenac's 'no' vote, and the part Council left on the table"	March 4, 2026	Donald Morton	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/local-civic-news/alto-north-frontenacs-no-vote-and-the-part-council-left-on-the-table.md	Published
5	"Three Fronts, One Collapse — Accountability Crisis" (covers Bill C-15 including Alto expropriation provisions; Royal Assent March 27, 2026)	April 3, 2026	Donald Morton	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/national/three-fronts-one-collapse-accountability-crisis.md	Published
6	"When Panic Politics Replaces Negotiation" (editorial; references Alto and South Frontenac)	Date not confirmed in sweep	Not confirmed	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/editorial/when-panic-politics-replaces-negotiation.md	Published
7	"The Pipeline Is Broken" (covers Alto/CultMTL polling investigation; right of reply sought)	April 10, 2026	Donald Morton	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/national/the-pipeline-is-broken.md	Published

#	TITLE	DATE	AUTHOR	PATH	STATUS
	from CultMTL and all parties; no response received by deadline)				

Additional NFNM article noted in provenance log (Agent 1):

- "SOUTH FRONTENAC — What NFNM Found in the Minutes 2026" — `/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/local-civic-news/south-frontenac-what-nfnm-found-in-the-minutes-2026.md` — On disk; covers South Frontenac council records including Alto content

Note: Donald Morton addressed North Frontenac council directly in the April 10, 2026 public forum (confirmed in council transcript, lines ~2:47:45-2:48:23), stating "I touch on Alto as well with a misinformation article put out by Cult MTL." This is the on-the-record connection between the Alto investigation and NFNM's published coverage.

Metaviews (Jesse Hirsh) — Only Sustained Facebook Analysis

Grade: CONFIRMED (existence and arguments — via search snippets and NFNM research files)

Jesse Hirsh's Metaviews is the only journalistic or analytical actor to have examined the structural role of Facebook in the Alto opposition at any depth. Two dedicated issues:

- Issue 284: "Alto Sabotaged by Facebook NIMBYism?"
- Issue 296: "Alto Demonstrates Meta's Opposition to Democracy"

Hirsh's core argument: Alto's crucial mistake was underestimating Facebook's role in establishing community authority and denying project legitimacy. After Meta blocked Canadian news following the Online News Act, Canadian news outlets lost approximately 85% of Facebook/Instagram engagement — meaning anti-Alto claims circulate without journalistic friction. "High speed rail in Canada isn't being killed by policy, it's getting pummeled by Facebook posts."

Note on Hirsh's framing: His analysis sympathizes with the project while treating the Facebook opposition as a structural media/platform problem. This is an editorial position, not a neutral assessment.

13. KEY INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS

The following are the findings of highest investigative significance, assembled across all five lanes. Each is graded.

F-1: CEO does not know the cost of the project — CONFIRMED

Martin Imbleau told a parliamentary committee in February 2026 he does not know how much the project will cost. The official range (\$60-90 billion) is a 50% spread on what would be among the largest infrastructure projects in Canadian history. No independent cost estimate has been published.

F-2: Annual economic benefit claims collapse by 50-100x against independent analysis — CONFIRMED

The government (MacKinnon: \$35B/year) and Alto (\$24.5B/year) claim annual economic benefits. The C.D. Howe Institute's independent peer analysis found total benefits of \$15-27 billion over 60 years in present value — not per year. The MacKinnon and Alto figures have never been publicly reconciled with the C.D. Howe finding. At least two of the three figures are wrong.

F-3: The project requires \$1.4-2.54 billion annually in ongoing government subsidies — CONFIRMED

McGill TRAM found the project requires this subsidy range every year: \$2.54B in its first year, declining to \$1.4B at maturity. This ongoing cost does not appear in Alto's public economic materials or in the Abacus Data poll question — which cited only the capital cost and the upside benefit, not the operating cost burden.

F-4: Bill C-15 stripped six landowner protections and imposed a four-year development freeze — CONFIRMED

These are the elimination of the pre-purchase negotiation requirement, simplified notice, removal of public hearing rights, Crown right of first refusal, the four-year freeze on land improvements, and the compensation exclusion for work performed during that freeze. Legal experts describe this as potentially the largest expropriation wave in modern Canadian history. No compensation framework has been publicly detailed.

F-5: The Algonquin Land Claim is unsettled and the Alto corridor runs through it — CONFIRMED

The land claim covers 36,000 km² in eastern Ontario and has been in negotiation since 1991. No final agreement has been concluded. Bill C-15 does not include a specific consent mechanism for First Nations lands. The adequacy of Crown consultation through CIRNAC has not been publicly assessed. This is the most significant unresolved legal risk.

F-6: Alto's quarterly tracking polls sample only Ontario and Quebec but are presented without geographic qualification — CONFIRMED

This is a Crown corporation presenting corridor-only polling as national public opinion. The geographic limitation is material to any claim about national support.

F-7: The CultMTL polling article did not disclose the commissioner of the poll — CONFIRMED

The April 3, 2026 CultMTL article by Taylor C. Noakes cited 62% combined support from "a new Abacus Data study" without disclosing that the same poll was commissioned by ALTNO, the principal opposition group. The article did not disclose the strong support breakdown (25%), the undecided

figure (1 in 5), or that two-thirds of respondents said they would not use the train even once a year. Outstanding: whether there are one or two Abacus Data polls remains unconfirmed.

F-8: South Frontenac was first and unanimous — CONFIRMED

South Frontenac Township was the first municipality to formally oppose Alto, passing a unanimous council resolution on February 3, 2026. The Save South Frontenac Committee's correspondence directly preceded the motion. The Gerretsen Facebook post is the identified proximate trigger for local opposition organizing.

F-9: MVCA found the Highway 506 hydro corridor is the least-impact path through North Frontenac — CONFIRMED

This is the only on-record environmental authority assessment of the Alto route through North Frontenac. It is a conditional finding, not a route endorsement. No written MVCA document exists on disk — the finding is confirmed only through the council transcript.

F-10: \$330,000+ was paid to rebrand VIA HFR to "Alto" while the corporation pivoted from a modest upgrade to full HSR — CONFIRMED

The rebranding was paid to Quebec firm Cossette Communication Inc. Internal documents showed concern about "widespread disinterest" in the original HFR concept. The rebrand manufactured political momentum for the more expensive option.

F-11: North Frontenac's conditional resolution establishes specific financial and environmental requirements — CONFIRMED

The February 27, 2026 Inglis resolution demands a minimum 5% of total tax levy annually for 30+ years as a community benefit payment, wildlife corridors every 500m, no lake crossings, and exclusion of the Crotch Lake area. This is a documented negotiating position regardless of the township's overall opposition stance.

F-12: The Anti-Alto movement is assessed as genuinely organic, not astroturf — OSINT (high confidence)

Multiple independent analyses reached the same conclusion. No evidence of bot activity, coordinated inauthenticity, or external manipulation has been found in any source.

14. OUTSTANDING GAPS AND PRIORITY ACTIONS

Priority 1 — Critical (Must resolve before any new story on these points)

GAP	STATUS	ACTION
Commissioner of 62% pro-Alto Abacus poll — one or two polls?	UNVERIFIED	File CRIC disclosure inquiry; ATI to Transport Canada (any Abacus/Alto contracts); directly contact Abacus Data
Four PDFs unread: Alto_Poll_Investigation_Memo.pdf, Alto_Poll_Investigation_Memo-1.pdf, Alto_Poll_Analysis.pdf, Canada Media pollsters prompt.pdf	UNVERIFIED (content not read)	Read and incorporate into evidence matrix
Katie Surra / "Surah" ETF document on Alto	Referenced in council transcript; not on disk	Request from NF Township clerk
March 31, 2026 ETF minutes (NF)	Meeting occurred; minutes not filed/ found	Request from NF Township clerk
MVCA formal written environmental assessment of Alto routes	Oral report only (April 10 transcript); no document found	Request from MVCA directly; ATI if needed
Alto Q3 FY2025-26 quarterly financial report	403 error on retrieval	Direct download or ATI to Alto/Transport Canada
"Alto Idea for Council.docx"	Binary; content not read	Convert and read

Priority 2 — High (Needed for complete picture)

GAP	STATUS	ACTION
EOWC Resolution 2026-02 full text — local archive	OSINT only; not downloaded	Download from EOWC.org and save to records/canonical/alto-hsr/sources/
		Download PDF from sencanada.ca

GAP	STATUS	ACTION
ALTNO Senate brief (Kristin Muller) full text	Existence confirmed; content not fully extracted	
Bill C-15 full legislative text — First Nations land provisions	Confirmed it exists; specific provisions not read	Download from parl.ca document viewer
McGill TRAM 2025 HSR report	Existence confirmed; content not fully extracted	Download from tram.mcgill.ca/Research/Surveys/HSR_REPORT_2025.pdf
Alto collaboration agreements with Indigenous communities	No public text located	Request from Alto communications; ATI if needed
CIRNAC consultation records for Alto	No public disclosure	ATI to CIRNAC
Frontenac County council resolution (standalone, if any)	Not found	Check Frontenac County council portal; contact Warden's office

Priority 3 — Medium (Fills gaps but not immediately blocking)

GAP	STATUS	ACTION
ATI to Transport Canada: full itemized spending 2022-2025 (pre-Co-Development)	Not filed	File ATI
ATI to Canadian Heritage: CultMTL Periodical Fund grant terms	Not filed	File ATI
Elections Canada donor database — Coletto, Anderson, Korbabicz	Not queried	Query open donor database
Central Frontenac and Frontenac Islands council positions	Not found anywhere	Check council portals; direct contact
Scott Reid response to NFNM open letter (February 13, 2026)	No documented response on file	Follow up with Reid's office
CultMTL right of reply — follow-up	No response received by publication deadline	Consider second contact; document non-response
Alto corridor map — interactive — local save	Not archived locally	Screenshot/save via direct browser capture

GAP	STATUS	ACTION
Cataraqui Region CA and RVCA — any Alto correspondence	Not found	Direct contact with CA offices
Alt-NO GoFundMe total raised	Undisclosed in any article found	Check GoFundMe page directly; document total

Priority 4 — Source Bank and Evidence Matrix

The CASEFILE.md source bank and evidence matrix sections remain empty. These must be built before the investigation proceeds to the writer and editor stages.

15. SOURCE INDEX

Primary Records on Disk

RECORD	PATH	GRADE
NF Feb 6, 2026 council agenda	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/records/regular-council-06-feb-2026-agenda.md	CONFIRMED
NF Feb 27, 2026 council agenda	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/records/regular-council-27-feb-2026-agenda.md	CONFIRMED
NF ETF Feb 24, 2026 minutes	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Divisions/Northern Division/North Frontenac/records/Committees and Task Forces/Environmental Task Force/Notes/2026/Environmental Task Force 24 Feb 2026 Minutes.pdf	CONFIRMED
NF ETF Mar 31, 2026 agenda	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Divisions/Northern Division/North Frontenac/records/Committees and Task Forces/Environmental Task Force/Notes/2026/Environmental Task Force - 31 Mar 2026 - Agenda.pdf	CONFIRMED
NF Apr 10, 2026 council transcript	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/work/investigations/nf-council-2026-04-10/council-transcript-2026-04-10.txt	CONFIRMED
		CONFIRMED

RECORD	PATH	GRADE
NF Apr 10, 2026 council meeting notes	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/work/investigations/nf-council-2026-04-10/council-meeting-notes-2026-04-10.md	
South Frontenac Feb 3, 2026 council minutes	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Divisions/Southern Division/south-frontenac/records/Minutes/Regular Council/Board/2026/Council - 03 Feb 2026 - Minutes.pdf	CONFIRMED

NFNM Published Articles on Alto

ARTICLE	PATH	DATE
"Two tracks, one corridor, and a lot of reconciliation"	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/local-civic-news/alto-file-two-tracks-one-corridor-and-a-lot-of-reconciliation.md	Jan 30, 2026
NF Council Meeting Overview (Alto content)	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/local-civic-news/north-frontenac-council-meeting-overview.md	Feb 7, 2026
Open letter to MP Scott Reid on Alto	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/editorial/open-letter-from-nfnm-to-mp-scott-reid-on-alto.md	Feb 13, 2026
"North Frontenac's 'no' vote and the part Council left on the table"	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/local-civic-news/alto-north-frontenacs-no-vote-and-the-part-council-left-on-the-table.md	Mar 4, 2026
South Frontenac — What NFNM found in the minutes 2026	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/local-civic-news/south-frontenac-what-nfnm-found-in-the-minutes-2026.md	2026
"Three Fronts, One Collapse — Accountability Crisis"	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/national/three-fronts-one-collapse-accountability-crisis.md	Apr 3, 2026
"When Panic Politics Replaces Negotiation"	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/editorial/when-panic-politics-replaces-negotiation.md	Not confirmed
"The Pipeline Is Broken"		

ARTICLE	PATH	DATE
	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/NFNM.TV/content/national/the-pipeline-is-broken.md	Apr 10, 2026

NFNM Research Dossiers on Disk

FILE	PATH
Master casefile	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/work/investigations/alto-hsr/CASEFILE.md
Investigation brief (primary)	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/00-investigation-brief.md
Anti-Alto social media research	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/anti-alto-social-media-research.md
Abacus Data dossier	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/abacus-data-dossier.md
Taylor C. Noakes profile	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/noakes-taylor-c-profile.md
CultMTL outlet profile	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/cult-mtl-outlet-profile.md
Dead internet research brief (Alto section)	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/work/investigations/facebook-canada-press/dead-internet-ai-research-brief.md
South Frontenac master brief	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/work/beats/south-frontenac/south-frontenac-master-brief.md

Unread Documents (Action Required)

FILE	PATH
Alto Poll Investigation Memo	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/Alto_Poll_Investigation_Memo.pdf
Alto Poll Investigation Memo (revision)	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/Alto_Poll_Investigation_Memo-1.pdf

Alto Poll Analysis

FILE	PATH
	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/Alto_Poll_Analysis.pdf
Canada Media pollsters research prompt	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/The Canada Media_pollsters_research_prompt.pdf
Alto Idea for Council	/run/media/donaldmorton/workdrive/nfnm/Agent Article Research/alto-hsr-investigation/backup-docs/Alto Idea for Council.docx

External Sources (Web — Not Locally Archived Unless Noted)

Government and legislative:

- Transport Canada crown corporation briefing (Alto, 2025-2 series): <https://tc.canada.ca/en/corporate-services/transparency/briefing-documents-transport-canada/2025-2/coporate-structure/crown-corporations/alto>
- Alto quarterly financial report Q3 FY2025-26: https://www.altotrain.ca/sites/default/files/2026-03/alto-via-hfr-qfr-q3-2025-26_eng-final.pdf (403 on retrieval)
- Bill C-15 Royal Assent document viewer: <https://www.parl.ca/documentviewer/en/45-1/bill/C-15/royal-assent>
- Algonquin Land Claim (RCAANC): <https://rcaanc-cirnac.gc.ca/eng/1355436558998/1539789262384>
- Ontario Algonquin Land Claim page: <https://www.ontario.ca/page/algonquin-land-claim>
- Alto public consultation: <https://consultation.altotrain.ca>
- Alto support tracking (73%): <https://www.altotrain.ca/en/shaping-canadas-future-high-speed-train>

Academic and research:

- C.D. Howe Institute (Fariha & Jones, Dec 2025): <https://cdhowe.org/publication/high-speed-potential-high-stakes-decisions-the-policy-case-for-alto/>
- McGill TRAM HSR Report 2025: https://tram.mcgill.ca/Research/Surveys/HSR_REPORT_2025.pdf

Legal and regulatory:

- Davies Howe LLP — Bill C-15 legal analysis: <https://davieshowe.com/bill-c-15-key-changes-to-the-federal-expropriation-act-for-high-speed-rail-projects/>
- MEI — expropriation analysis: <https://iedm.org/special-expropriation-rules-for-vias-high-speed-rail-project-erode-long-standing-property-protections-due-process-warns-the-mei/>
- ALTNO Senate brief (Kristin Muller): https://sencanada.ca/Content/Sen/Committee/451/NFFN/briefs/NFFN_C-15_Brief_KristinMuller_e.pdf
- Osler — Impact Assessment Act SCC ruling: <https://osler.com/en/insights/updates/supreme-court-of->

canada-finds-the-federal-impact-assessment-act-unconstitutional/

- Osler — UNDA analysis: <https://osler.com/en/insights/updates/federal-undrip-bill-becomes-law/>

Corporate:

- Cadence press release (CNW Newswire): <https://www.newswire.ca/news-releases/cadence-consortium-selected-to-transform-canadian-mobility-with-the-alto-high-speed-rail-project-866673435.html>

- Alto 2026 environmental field studies launch: <https://www.newswire.ca/news-releases/alto-launches-the-2026-phase-of-its-field-environmental-studies-818878050.html>

- Cossette/\$330K rebrand: <https://canada.constructconnect.com/dcn/news/infrastructure/2025/05/via-rail-subsiary-paid-quebec-marketing-firm-330k-as-it-pivoted-to-high-speed-rail>

- ALTNO Abacus poll press release (Newswire): <https://www.newswire.ca> (search ALTNO, March 31, 2026)

Local and regional press (all URLs documented in Agent 2 source file):

- Frontenac News (10+ articles): frontenacnews.ca — all 403 on direct fetch; not archived locally

- The Review (Hawkesbury — 6 articles): thereview.ca

- Hometown News (60m corridor): <https://hometownnews.ca/alto-rail-land-acquisition-60m-corridor-width/>

- Napanee Beaver (Stone Mills open letter): <https://napaneebeaver.ca/business-owners-across-stone-mills-write-open-letter-to-prime-minister-regarding-alto/>

- KawarthaNOW (Kawartha Lakes pushback): <https://kawarthanow.com/2026/04/07/city-of-kawartha-lakes-joins-growing-rural-pushback-against-alto-high-speed-rail-proposal/>

- The Quinteist (Belleville rejection): <https://quinteist.com/a-significant-impact-belleville-says-no-to-altos-proposed-southern-high-speed-rail-route/>

- Kawartha 411 (EOWC): <https://www.kawartha411.ca/2026/03/24/eastern-ontario-wardens-caucus-opposes-alto-high-speed-rail-project-in-its-current-form/>

- OFA HSR page: <https://ofa.on.ca/issues/high-speed-rail/>

- Wildlife Preservation Canada (Spotted Turtle): <https://wildlifepreservation.ca/blog/concerns-for-alto/>

- CultMTL polling article (403 error): <https://cultmtl.com/2026/04/large-majority-of-canadians-support-alto-high-speed-rail-project/>

- CBC — Imbleau parliamentary hearing: <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/ottawa/alto-s-ceo-answers-questions-about-the-high-speed-rail-project-9.7141845>

- Globe and Mail — budget bomb: <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/business/commentary/article-canadas-next-budget-bomb-is-the-alto-high-speed-rail-project/>

- Globe and Mail — expropriation provisions: <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/politics/article-expropriation-powers-budget-bill-high-speed-rail-megaproject-concern/>

Analysis and substack:

- Metaviews #284: <https://metaviews.substack.com/p/284-alto-sabotaged-by-facebook-nimbyism>

- Metaviews #296: <https://metaviews.substack.com/p/296-alto-demonstrates-metas-opposition>

- Means & Ways: <https://www.meansandways.ca/news-articles/alto-high-speed-rail-the-launch-of-poilievres-next-wave>
 - Next Metro: <https://nextmetro.substack.com/p/high-speed-rail-and-canadas-lost>
 - Daily Hive (Ottawa-Montreal 2029): <https://dailyhive.com/vancouver/alto-high-speed-rail-hsr-ottawa-montreal-construction>
-

ARCHIVING NOTE

Per NFMN local archiving protocol: every WebFetch or WebSearch result that a story claim depends on must be downloaded and saved to `records/canonical/alto-hsr/sources/` before that claim can be considered verified. A source that exists only in memory, a chat summary, or a research file without a locally-saved copy is not an archived source.

The following categories of sources from this investigation remain in OSINT status pending local archiving:

- All Frontenac News articles (10+) — 403 errors prevented download
- EOWC Resolution 2026-02 full text
- All Globe and Mail and national outlet articles listed above
- Metaviews issues 284 and 296 (behind paywall)
- CultMTL articles (403 error)
- McGill TRAM PDF
- Bill C-15 legislative text (First Nations provisions section)
- ALTNO Senate brief (Kristin Muller)
- Davies Howe LLP legal analysis

Until these are saved locally, claims sourced to them are graded OSINT or REPORTED, not CONFIRMED.

Report compiled: 2026-04-11

Agent: Investigator-OSINT (Merger)

Status: NOT CLEARED FOR PUBLICATION

Next step: Writer angle memo or evidence matrix build, per investigation-workflow sequence

This report was prepared using open source intelligence (OSINT) methods. All sources are publicly accessible. NFNM is a proud supporter of open source journalism. OSINT should benefit all.

© 2026 NFNM.tv — North Frontenac News Media. Open source journalism.